The Feminine Messiah

King David in the Image of the Shekhinah in Kabbalistic Literature

THE BRILL REFERENCE LIBRARY OF JUDAISM

Ruth Kara-Ivanov Kaniel

BRILL

BRLJ 68

The Feminine Messiah

The Brill Reference Library of Judaism

Editors

Alan J. Avery-Peck (*College of the Holy Cross*)
William Scott Green (*University of Miami*)

Editorial Board

Herbert Basser (*Queen's University*)
Bruce D. Chilton (*Bard College*)
Mayer I. Gruber (*Ben-Gurion University of the Negev*)
Ithamar Gruenwald (*Tel Aviv University*)
Arkady Kovelman (*Moscow State University*)
Baruch A. Levine (*New York University*)
Allan Nadler (*Drew University*)
Jacob Neusner Z"l (*Bard College*)
Maren Niehoff (*Hebrew University of Jerusalem*)
Gary G. Porton (*University of Illinois*)
Aviezer Ravitzky (*Hebrew University of Jerusalem*)
Dov Schwartz (*Bar Ilan University*)
Güenter Stemberger (*University of Vienna*)
Michael E. Stone (*Hebrew University of Jerusalem*)
Elliot R. Wolfson (*University of California, Santa Barbara*)

VOLUME 68

The titles published in this series are listed at *brill.com/brlj*

The Feminine Messiah

King David in the Image of the Shekhinah in Kabbalistic Literature

By

Ruth Kara-Ivanov Kaniel

BRILL

LEIDEN | BOSTON

Cover image: Schwebel, Ivan, "David plays for Saul", from the series David's Journey. 81 × 100 cm, oil on canvas, 1995. Copyright Adva Frank-Schwebel.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Kara-Ivanov Kaniel, Ruth, 1979– author.
Title: The Feminine messiah : King David in the image of the shekhinah in Kabbalistic literature / by Ruth Kara-Ivanov Kaniel
Description: Leiden ; Boston : Brill, 2021. | Series: The Brill Reference Library to Judaism, 1571–5000 ; vol.68 | Includes bibliographical references and index. | Summary: "In The Feminine Messiah: King David in the Image of the Shekhina in Kabbalistic Literature, Ruth Kara-Ivanov Kaniel presents an in-depth study focusing on the centrality of the figure of King David in Jewish culture and mystical literature. King David is one of the most colorful, complex, and controversial personalities in Jewish lore. While numerous studies have focused on David's centrality to biblical literature and late antiquity, to date no comprehensive scholarly attempt has been made to investigate his image in Jewish kabbalistic literature. This innovative study also contributes to the understanding of the connection between the mystical and psychoanalytic perception of the self, as well as illuminating issues of gender fluidity, identity, and sexuality in medieval kabbalistic literature"— Provided by publisher.
Identifiers: LCCN 2021019194 (print) | LCCN 2021019195 (ebook) | ISBN 9789004462182 (hardback) | ISBN 9789004462199 (ebook)
Subjects: LCSH: David, King of Israel. | Cabala. | Presence of God.
Classification: LCC BS580.D3 K35 2021 (print) | LCC BS580.D3 (ebook) | DDC 222/.4092—dc23
LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2021019194
LC ebook record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2021019195

Typeface for the Latin, Greek, and Cyrillic scripts: "Brill". See and download: brill.com/brill-typeface.

ISSN 1571-5000
ISBN 978-90-04-46218-2 (hardback)
ISBN 978-90-04-46219-9 (e-book)

Copyright 2021 by Ruth Kara-Ivanov Kaniel. Published by Koninklijke Brill NV, Leiden, The Netherlands.
Koninklijke Brill NV incorporates the imprints Brill, Brill Nijhoff, Brill Hotei, Brill Schöningh, Brill Fink, Brill mentis, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Böhlau Verlag and V&R Unipress.
Koninklijke Brill NV reserves the right to protect this publication against unauthorized use. Requests for re-use and/or translations must be addressed to Koninklijke Brill NV via brill.com or copyright.com.

This book is printed on acid-free paper and produced in a sustainable manner.

להורי היקרים, מיכאל קרא-איוונוב ואירה דשבסקי, שנטעו בחכמה ובבינה עץ של חיים

”אֲרוֹמִמְךָ ה׳ כִּי דִלִּיתָנִי... ה׳ אֱלֹהָי שִׁוַּעְתִּי אֵלֶיךָ וַתִּרְפָּאֵנִי: ה׳ הֶעֱלִיתָ מִן־שְׁאוֹל נַפְשִׁי חִיִּיתַנִי מִיָּרְדִי בוֹר“ (תהילים ל, ב-ד)

Contents

Preface IX
Acknowledgments XIII

Introduction 1

1 **Pre-Zoharic Milestones in the Birth of the Hero: David from the Bible to Late Antiquity** 6
1 The Myth of the Birth of the Hero: Who Was King David's Mother? From the Early Midrashim to *Yalkut ha-Makhiri* 9
1.1 *David's Appearance* 9
1.2 *Nitzevet, Daughter of Adael: The Birth Mother and the "Standing" Mother* 11
1.3 *Indeed, I Was Born Guilty* 14
1.4 *The Counsel of the Serpent* 22
2 "When the Music Started" (II Kings 3:15): King David and Psalms 30
2.1 *David and Jerusalem* 33
2.2 *David as Poet* 36

2 **King David as the *Shekhinah* in the Zohar: A Theological Revolution** 40
1 Gender Reversal in Kabbalah 42
2 David as the *Shekhinah*: A Background 48
3 David and the *Shekhinah* in the Zohar: The Moon, the Holy City, Beauty, and Liturgy 50
4 David as King's Jester and Penitent (*Ba'al Teshuvah*) 65
5 David's Identification with the Female Persona of the City of Jerusalem 74

3 **King David as the Fourth Leg of the Divine Chariot** 83
1 The Fourth Leg: From the Twelfth-Century Bahir to the Thirteenth-Century Geronese Kabbalah 85
2 Castile and Beyond: The Zohar and the Kabbalists of Its Generation: Theosophical-Theurgical Kabbalah in the Thirteenth and Fourteenth Centuries 90
3 David as the *Shekhinah* in Lurianic Kabbalah and Sabbateanism 104
4 Symbolic Chariot: *Maʿarekhet ha-ʾElohut* and *Shoresh Yishai* 113

5 Sabbatai Ṣevi and King David in the Image of the *Shekhinah* 117
5.1 *Women and Heresy in Sabbateanism* 117
5.2 *David and Sabbatai Ṣevi as the Fourth Leg of the Chariot* 125
5.3 *Conclusions* 132

4 **The Multiple Selves of King David** 134
1 "The Man Who Did This Deserves to Die" (II Sam. 12:5): Splitting and Denial, Repression and Projection 135
2 Dumah and King David 141
3 The Dialectics of Heroism 153
4 Multiplicity of the Self, Trauma and Repair 160

Conclusion: David as a Case Study in Judeo-Christian Dialogue and Polemics 165

Epilogue 171

Bibliography 177
Index 202
Index of Rabbinic and Zoharic Primary Sources 213

Preface

King David is one of the most colorful, and controversial, figures in Jewish lore. He is variously depicted as a warrior, poet, sinner, penitent, conqueror, musician, and adulterer. And perhaps most significantly, he is depicted as the Messiah. The many facets of his character are rooted in biblical narratives and continue to develop in rabbinic midrash and the various phases of Jewish Kabbalah. Each phase in this tradition has added new and unique features to the picture, thus creating the complex portrait of his persona. Indeed, "David" reflects the cumulative visions and hopes of those who followed in his footsteps throughout the ages. In short, what we realize in the writings that are at the center of discussion here is a substantial transformation of "King David" from a figure dynamically active in the historical realms of Scripture to a multifaceted figure operating in meta-historical realms. As we are going to see, these realms consist of entities and concepts that are made to play a significant role in the mystical realization of the Godhead.

This book focuses on the figure of King David as he appears in Jewish mystical, and particularly kabbalistic, literature. Most important in this connection are the gender-oriented issues in which the feminine configuration of "King David" plays a major role. I intend to examine the interplay of continuity and transformation in the rabbinic and kabbalistic depictions of King David, thus giving full attention to the dialectic characteristics of the sinner and repentant King-Messiah as they unfold in kabbalistic writings. I shall follow processes of shaping paradigms of imagining and thinking that are typical of archetypical modes of realization. In this context, doors are opened wide to modes of discussion that are relevant to several domains of study, not least among them being the psychoanalytic ones.

While numerous studies have focused on David's centrality to biblical and rabbinic literature, no comprehensive scholarly attempt has been made to investigate his image in kabbalistic literature—a lacuna that this book aims to fill. The question at the heart of this study is this: why does almost every zoharic homily that refers to King David do so in terms of the female divine presence, the *Shekhinah*? I will explore images of the "feminine David" as they appear in the Zohar in comparison to how they appear in Castilian kabbalistic texts, and the impact that these images had on later sources such as Lurianic Kabbalah and Sabbatean texts, and even Hasidic readings, though this last group is beyond the scope of this book. I will show that this new, gendered perception of King David indicates a crucial turning point in Jewish thought, one whose influence was not limited to the mystical realm.

This study will address a dialectic issue, namely, why the "masculine David"—warrior and conqueror—was "converted" in the Zohar into a representation of the *Shekhinah*, the major feminine configuration of the Godhead. To answer this question, this study will combine different fields of research: literary theories of myth and mysticism, methods of gender studies, psychoanalysis, and theories of masculinity and sexuality. In addition, it will discuss topics pertinent to the study of comparative religion, such as the figure of David in medieval Jewish and Christian entanglement. It will here be argued that this tendency to identify David with a feminine figure may be rooted in Judeo-Christian discourse, interfaith polemics, and interfaith dialogues. In addition, it will suggest that notions of the feminized Messiah reflect issues of national identity and political authority. For example, in the course of the book I will claim that the figure of the *Shekhinah*, or the feminine *sefirah* of *Malkhut*, may represent the fragility of the Jews, who, though deprived of sovereignty, derived from the noetic realm of the divine *sefirot* the invigorating power of endurance. The belief in a spiritual kingdom enhanced these feelings. While *malkhut* (kingdom) does not equate to *melekh* (king), the term holds a dual meaning, which enables the consideration of the gender factor to function on both the mystical and the political level. Thus, by adhering to "David" and his mystical representation in *Malkhut* (i.e., the *Shekhinah*), the Kabbalists transformed their national vulnerability into a virtue.

David is viewed throughout the ages as a historical and epic figure, while at the same time he is presented as a symbol of a mythical entity, one diversely "reincarnated" in various phases of Jewish religious experience. The plethora of homilies about him and their richness in the rabbinic, kabbalistic, and Sabbatean corpora alike highlight the centrality of the figure of David in literature and mythology. In this body of writings, David reflects the faces of the homilists observing him and thus serves as an ideal case study for the gender-oriented and psychoanalytic components of Jewish mysticism.

In my previous book, *Holiness and Transgression: Mothers of the Messiah in the Jewish Myth* (2017), I analyzed the dominant role of the motherly figure in Davidic dynastic genealogy. Based on that study, I argue here that David became a messianic figure not only because of his superior maternal line but, rather, because his "feminization" alludes to the feminine plots in his lineage that include narratives of incest, seduction, and harlotry. As I have shown, these factors are unique to the mystical perception of the messianic dynasty. The sexual transgression that allegedly leaves an imprint on David's character and biography mirrors the history of seductions of his ancestral mothers. It enables a significant breakthrough in the presentation of David and his personality. The unique aspect of this appearance is the merging of the

feminine and masculine aspects of David's messianic image, as underlined in the Zohar. Redemption is thus characterized in the Zohar as a process leading from sin to repentance and finally to the restoration of the self. Furthermore, in its motherly configuration, redemption can be likened to giving birth or to the rebirth of the soul.

The Zohar's identification of the last *sefirah*, *Malkhut*, with that of King David and other male figures raises the issue of the unique dynamics of the discourse on gender within the mystical literature in general. Using current gender theories, I will compare ubiquitous zoharic models to the multifaceted figure of the *Shekhinah* found in other contemporary thirteenth- and fourteenth-century Jewish mystical writings. The aim of this book is to expand upon existing research in order to enable a new understanding of the nexus between gender and Kabbalah. I propose an examination of David's kaleidoscopic portrayal in the Zohar—as a sinning and repenting messiah, a poet and warrior, believer and sufferer, persecuted slave, and imposing king—through the prism of gender reversal. This will enable an expansion of existing research in new theoretical directions.

By asking theological and theosophical questions and by utilizing gender theory and psychoanalytic discourse, I will analyze the cultural function of the "feminized Messiah" in the medieval and modern eras. Themes such as the sinner's soul, the process of *teshuvah* (repentance), gender and sexuality, identity, and the redemptive power of sexual transgression will highlight both conceptual and structural continuities in the transition from early to later generations of homilists. In addition, I will follow the evolution of gender and the body in characterizations of David in the mystical literature of the Middle Ages as well as in later kabbalistic sources. Of course, a complete exploration of the Lurianic and Sabbatean messianic theology in such sources would be a well-nigh impossible project. Thus, I will focus on mythical readings of the figure of King David and the transformation, over the centuries, of his image as a feminized redeemer.[1]

1 I will not treat in detail the figure of King David in rabbinic literature, since there has been extensive research on this subject. Yet, many of the zoharic and other kabbalistic *derashot* are based on his rabbinic image, and in such cases I will turn to the readings of the Sages and analyze the transformation of the homilies. To date, little attempt has been made by scholars to reconstruct a thematic continuum of rabbinic homilies in order to examine the way in which the very same homilies appear in a new, daring form in the kabbalistic literature. In order to further explore the ways in which the ancient homilies are transformed in medieval and modern literature, I will focus on the feminized David, a figure who reflects the feminine aspects of the kabbalists themselves.

Accordingly, the chapters of this book will chronologically examine the feminized figure of King David through the analysis of the rhythms of sin and redemption within the retelling of his biographical narrative—beginning with the biblical story of his birth, through various medieval mystical sources, and culminating with sixteenth- and seventeenth-century kabbalistic texts. Simultaneously, I will thematically analyze terms such as *bediḥa de-malka* (the king's jester) and the concept of David as "the fourth leg of the Divine Chariot," through the utilization of psychoanalytic tools and concepts, such as repression, projection, and denial, and through the employment of gender theories concerning performance, lack, and agency.

The figure of King David will be compared with the figures of Sabbatai Ṣevi and of R. Haim Vital, through themes such as reincarnation, the suffering soul, and the notion of the Messiah as *bar nafle* (a non-viable infant). Through the prism of biblical texts and zoharic late spectacles, I will examine David as a poet, a musician, and the writer of Psalms, and then I will explore his identification with the female persona of the city of Jerusalem as well as other feminine symbols such as the moon, the gazelle, and the dawn.

Acknowledgments

This book would not have come to fruition had it not been for the help of my teachers and colleagues alike. First and foremost, my deepest gratitude goes out to my teacher Yehuda Liebes, who spent many hours studying with me all of the zoharic and kabbalistic homilies concerning King David. My heartfelt thanks go out to Ada Rapoport Albert Z"L, Michael Schneider Z"L, and Tsippi Kauffman Z"L, who, before their untimely deaths, gave me many of their precious insights, now incorporated in this book. I send my deepest gratitude to Iris Felix for her English translation of kabbalistic and Hebrew texts in this study, and my warmest thanks are due to all who have given me their support and encouragement, be it with their criticism or advice, throughout the writing process—my teachers and colleagues: Moshe Idel, Elchanan Reiner, Ithamar Gruenwald, Oded Israeli, Melila Hellner Eshed, Ishay Rosen-Zvi, Biti Roi, Bracha Sack, Avri Bar-Levav, Vivian Liska, Ilana Pardes, Omri Shasha, Ronit Meroz, Moshe Halamish, Dov Elbaum, Haviva Pedaya, Moshe Halbertal, Art Green, Daniel Matt, Joel Hecker and Nathan Wolski (for the Pritzker Project), Elizabeth Michel, Levi Morrow, Daniel Price, Gene Matanky, Yossi and Levana Chajes, Lawrence Fine, Uri Safrai, Hillel Ben Sasson, Avishai Bar-Asher, Jonathan Benarroch, Shifra Asulin, Sandra Valabregue and Micha Perry, Nathaniel Berman, Leore Sachs-Shmueli, Gili Kugler, Ruth Mazo Karras, Myrna Milun, Chana Ullman, Michael Rosenberg, Hartley Lachter and Ellen Haskell. Finally, I am grateful to Erika Mandarino, Kristen Chevalier and Michael Helfield from Brill Publishing House for their editorial help.

I would also like to thank the Department of Jewish Thought at the University of Haifa and its faculty members for many stimulating conversations, and my many colleagues at the Shalom Hartman Institute for their comments and suggestions. Last but not least, I would like to thank the members of my family, whose tireless support made the writing of this book possible. It is to them I owe my deepest apologies for time not spent with them, and my deepest love and appreciation for their patience and understanding.

Some chapters of this book have been published as articles: Chapter 2 in the collection *Perspectives on Jewish Texts and Contexts* (ed. Ophir Muntz-Manor and Ilana Pardes; 2019); and Chapter 3 in the collection *Canonization and Alterity* (ed. Willi Goetschel and Gilaad Sharvit; 2020). Both volumes were published by De Gruyter (Berlin) in the series edited by Vivian Liska. I am grateful to the editors for allowing me to reprint my articles here.

Introduction

Although in biblical literature the term "messiah" means simply *mashuah*, "the anointed one," and is usually attributed to both priests and kings who are anointed and chosen by God, evidence in the Prophets and other Scriptural writings suggests that the Davidic lineage was perceived as messianic also in an eschatological sense. The literature of the Second Temple describes King David as a future savior, an idea which is rooted in his portrayal in Psalms, Samuel, Kings, and Isaiah: "The Lord he said to me: You are my son, today I have begotten you" (Ps. 2:7); "A shoot shall come out from the stock of Jesse, and a branch shall grow out of his roots" (Isa. 11:1); "The oracle of the man whom God exalted, the anointed of the God of Jacob, the favorite of the Strong One of Israel" (2 Sam. 23:1).[1]

In many ways, King David represents the pinnacle of the Judean dynasty. Rabbinic and Second Temple literature (Apocrypha, Pseudepigrapha, Qumran Scrolls, and Christian Gospels) both develop the elected status of the Davidic dynasty and present the "Scion of David" as a historical figure, but also as a transtemporal and eternal mythic symbol.[2]

Rabbinic literature hints that David is not only the father of the Messiah, but also that he himself will be resurrected, as it is written in the Palestinian and Babylonian Talmuds.[3] Additionally, in the continuation of this messianic discussion in Tractate Sanhedrin, it is said that David symbolizes the "viceroy," who, sitting to the right of the emperor, represents God or the future Messiah.[4]

1 Sigmund Mowinckel, *He That Cometh: The Messianic Concept in the Old Testament and Later Judaism* (Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1956); Yair Zakovitch, ed., *David: From Shepherd to Messiah* (Jerusalem: Ben-Zvi Institute, 1995).

2 Joseph Klausner, *The Messianic Idea in Israel from Its Beginning to the Completion of the Mishnah*, trans. W. Forrest Stonespring (New York: Macmillan Company, 1955); Jacob Liver, *The House of David from the Fall of the Kingdom of Judah to the Fall of the Second Commonwealth and After* (Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1959).

3 See y. Berkahot 2:4 [13d]: "If the King Messiah comes from among the living, his name will be David; if from among the dead, his name will be David as well"; b. Sanhedrin 98b: "The Holy One, blessed be He, will raise up another David for us." See also Zohar 1:82b: "So David is king forever (*hai le-almin*) even in the days of King Messiah he will be king for we have learned: 'If King Messiah is of the living, David is his name; if of the dead, David is his name.'"

4 As is written in b. Hagigah 14a: "Till thrones were places, and 'One that was ancient of days did sit!' (Dan. 7:9). There is no contradiction: one [throne] for Him, and one for David." In contrast, Rashi (R. Shlomo ben Yiṣḥaqi) on b. Sanhedrin 98b interprets the image as showing the "new" David as the emperor and the "old" David as the viceroy.

© Ruth Kara-Ivanov Kaniel, 2021 | DOI:10.1163/9789004462199_002

David's figure will be analyzed below in light of his birth story in the later midrash, and as an idealized masculine figure that can be compared to other cultural myths of the hero's birth in the ancient world. The paradox of perceiving David as an actual historic figure—who, in the future, nonetheless returns—signifies a parallel to that of Jesus; and in fact Judaism and early Christianity do share a messianic nucleus. Therefore, I will discuss the motifs of repentance and rebirth linked to David and Jesus as well as the hope planted in them, even before their births. Similar depictions of David and Jesus as saviors illustrate the theme of resurrection and allude to the myth of the "two Messiahs": the son of David who is preceded by the slain son of Joseph.[5] Just as the House of R. Judah ha-Nasi claimed Davidic lineage,[6] Matthew and Luke position Jesus as the Davidic Messiah.[7] Scholars have shown visual parallels between the two, such as their depictions as Orpheus playing the lyre while surrounded by animals, or as being crowned by an aura.[8]

The tense anticipation of the coming of the Redeemer is shared by Judaism and Christianity, and in both religions it is presented as a paradoxical desire which cannot come to fruition. Or, in the modern, jarring rendering of Franz Kafka, "the Messiah will come only when he is no longer necessary; he will

5 David Flusser, *Judaism and the Origins of Christianity* (Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1988); David Flusser, *Jesus* (Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2001); David Flusser, "The Reflection of Jewish Messianic Beliefs in Early Christianity," in *Messianism and Eschatology: A Collection of Essays*, ed. Zvi Baras (Jerusalem: Zalman Shazar Center for Jewish History, 1983), 103–134; Yehuda Liebes, "Maẓmiah Qeren Yeshu'ah," *Jerusalem Studies in Jewish Thought* 3 (1984): 313–349; Yisrael Knohl, *The Messiah before Jesus: The Suffering Servant of the Dead Sea Scrolls* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2000).

6 Ephraim E. Urbach, *The World of the Sages: Collected Studies* (Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1988); Sandra Shimoff, "Hellenization among the Rabbis: Some Evidence from Early Aggadot concerning David and Solomon," *Journal for the Study of Judaism* 18, no. 2 (1987): 168–187; Aharon Oppenheimer, *Rabbi Judah ha-Nasi: Statesman, Reformer, and Redactor of the Mishnah* (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2017).

7 Daniel Block, "My Servant David: Ancient Israel's Vision of the Messiah," in *Israel's Messiah in the Bible and the Dead Sea Scrolls*, ed. Richard Hess and M. Daniel Carroll (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 2003), 17–56; Moshe Halbertal and Shlomo Naeh, "May'anei Ha'Eshua," in *Higayon L'Yona: New Aspects in the Study of Midrash, Aggada and Piut*, ed. Yehoshua Levinson, Jacob Elbaum, and Galit Hasan-Rokem (Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2007), 179–197.

8 Paul Corby Finney, "Orpheus-David: A Connection in Iconography between Greco-Roman Judaism and Early Christianity?" *Journal of Jewish Art* 5 (1978): 6–15; Rainer Stichel, "Scenes from the Life of King David in Dura Europos and in Byzantine Art," *Jewish Art* 23–24 (1998): 100–116; Shalom Tsabar, "King David in the Mirror of Jewish Art," in *David: From Shepherd to Messiah*, ed. Yair Zakovitch (Jerusalem: Ben-Zvi Institute, 1995), 201–244.

come only on the day after his arrival; he will come, not on the last day, but on the very last."[9]

In the Bible, David is described as a mighty king, a poet and warrior, a conqueror of women and of cities. David is the Chosen One, whose dynasty has an eternal covenant with God. Israelite and Judean kings are all compared to him, and he appears in the Bible as a symbol of moral justice on earth. Psalms and Prophets characterize him as bestowed with an eschatological mission, while emphasizing his place at the right hand of God.[10] In the Book of Chronicles, a pro-Judean text, David establishes the "cultic poetry" and Levites' holy liturgy,[11] thus having the building of the earthly temple be preceded by a spiritual formation. Biblical verses, such as "the Lord he said to me: You are my son, today I have begotten you" (Ps. 2:7), help to emphasize David's role as future savior and *Divi filius* (son of God).[12]

The centrality of Psalms to Christian liturgy also contributed to this positive image of David: this is not only because the authorship of the book was attributed to him, but because David's words established a congregation of believers that is based on the idea of repentance and atonement.[13] Later, following the Byzantine emperors, the Carolingian monarchs and later royal dynasties in the West identified themselves with King David, whose priestly and prophetic status they perceived as paramount.[14] In twelfth-century Christian Europe, at the

9 Franz Kafka, *Parables and Paradoxes*, ed. Nahum N. Glatzer (New York: Schocken Books, 1961), 81. See also Elliot R. Wolfson, *Open Secret: Postmessianic Messianism and the Mystical Revision of Menahem Mendel Schneerson* (New York: Columbia University Press, 2009), 268.

10 Robert Alter, *The David Story: A Translation with Commentary of 1 and 2 Samuel* (New York: W. W. Norton & Company, 1999); Peter Schäfer, *The Jewish Jesus: How Judaism and Christianity Shaped Each Other* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2012).

11 Joel Baden, *The Historical David: The Real Life of an Invented Hero* (New York: HarperCollins, 2013); George Brooke and Hindy Najman, "Dethroning David and Enthroning Messiah: Jewish and Christian Perspectives," in *On Prophets, Warriors, and Kings*, ed. George J. Brooke and Ariel Feldman (Berlin: De Gruyter, 2016), 111–127.

12 Yair Lorberbaum, *Disempowered King: Monarchy in Classical Jewish Literature* (London: Continuum, 2011).

13 Rolf Rendtorff, "The Psalm of David: David in the Psalms," *The Book of Psalms: Composition and Reception*, ed. Peter Flint, Patrick D. Miller, Aaron Brunell, and Ryan Roberts (Leiden: Brill, 2005), 53–64; Alan Cooper, "The Life and Times of King David according to the Book of Psalms," in *The Poet and the Historian*, ed. Richard E. Friedman (Chico, CA: Scholars Press, 1983), 117–131.

14 Ruth Karras, "Goliath Thought David Rather Boastful: Royal Masculinity in Kingless Societies," *Haskin Society Journal* 28 (2016): 85–100; Gabrielle Spiegel, *Romancing the Past: The Rise of Vernacular Prose Historiography in Thirteenth-Century France* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1993).

dawn, so to speak, of Kabbalah, David was regarded as a symbol of ideal spirituality, military leadership, poetry, and art ("*David rex et propheta*").[15]

As we will see, Jewish exegesis, as well as that of the Church Fathers, justified David's deeds. The Sages labored to present him as the model of a penitent even as they claimed that he had never sinned. By transforming the historical battlefields of the Bible into the metaphorical field of Jewish law, they were able to present David as a judge and a pious rabbi.[16] Following Irenaeus, Augustine, and others, later Christian commentators explained that the sins of David had been transformed into virtue. For example, St. Gregory and Angelomus describe David's life as an allegory for the life of Jesus, in which Bathsheba represents the Church (or the New Testament that replaces the Old Testament), and Uriah represents the Jewish nation, namely the Devil, who must be put to death. Thus Angelomus determines "Let us love David inasmuch as he is to be loved, since he freed us from the devil by his mercy."[17]

As Ruth Karras has demonstrated, in both medieval Jewish and Christian exegesis, David's masculinity was cleansed of negative incidents like adultery and infidelity, while his other characteristics (such as his overt sexuality and

15 Hugo Steger, *David Rex et Propheta: König David als vorbildliche Verkörperung des Herrschers und Dichters im Mittelalter, nach Bilddarstellungen des achten bis zwölften Jahrhunderts* (Nuremberg: H. Carl, 1961).

16 Richard Kalmin, *The Sage in Jewish Society of Late Antiquity* (New York: Routledge, 1999); Avigdor Shinan, "Al Demuto shel ha-Melekh David be-Sifrut Hazal," in *David: From Shepherd to Messiah*, ed. Yair Zakovitch (Jerusalem: Ben-Zvi Institute, 1995), 181–199; James Diamond, "King David of the Sages: Rabbinic Rehabilitation or Ironic Parody?" *Prooftexts* 27 (2007): 373–426; Shulamit Valler, "King David and His Women: Biblical Stories and Talmudic Discussions," in *A Feminist Companion to Samuel and Kings*, ed. Athalya Brenner (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1994), 129–142; see also Sandra Shimoff, "David and Bathsheba: The Political Function of Rabbinic Aggada," *Journal for the Study of Judaism* 24, no. 2 (1993): 246–256. The presentation of David as talmudic scholar reflects, according to Isaiah Gafni, the "rabbinization of the past." As he states: "By rabbinization, I refer to the representation of earlier figures or institutions of Jewish history in the image of the rabbinic world in which the sages functioned." Isaiah Gafni, "Rabbinic Historiography and Representations of the Past," *The Cambridge Companion to the Talmud and Rabbinic Literature*, ed. Martin S. Jaffee and Charlotte E. Fonrobert (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007), 295–312, esp. 305–309 and n. 36, referring to Avigdor Aptowitzer's theory regarding pro-Davidic rabbinic statements as an expression of "legitimizating the appropriation of the monarchy by the Hasmoneans."

17 Henri De-Lubac, *Medieval Exegesis: The Four Senses of Scripture* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2000), 64–67; see in Ruth Karras, "David and Bathsheba: Masculine Sexuality in Medieval Judaism and Christianity," in *God's Own Gender? Religions and Their Concepts of Masculinity*, ed. Daniel Gerster and Michael Krüggeler (Würzburg: Ergon Verlag, 2018), 201–218, here 203–204.

physical power) were emphasized. This was done to ensure his rights of ultimate sovereignty and his enduring kingdom.[18]

Sharing with rabbinic literature a common linguistic infrastructure—while at the same time introducing unprecedented sophistication and following a mystical-theurgical orientation—the Zohar creates a new linguistic and hermeneutic field. Later on, the Sefadian and Hasidic literature develops the artistic roots of the Zohar, its expressive tropes, hermeneutical imagination, homiletical playfulness, and linguistic freedom, while adding to them a deep psychological understanding of the human soul and its connection to the divine. The combination of the motifs of transgression and redemption in the figure of the Redeemer reveals a model of chosenness that stems from sexual deviancy, which in turn is vindicated through *tikkun* (reparation) and repentance. These motifs characterize King David in all the Jewish corpuses, whether midrashic, kabbalistic, Lurianic, or Sabbatean, as I will demonstrate throughout this book. A focus on their ethical, visual, symbolic, and metaphysical aspects will help us to understand both the continuous themes that characterize the figure of David and the transformations he undergoes, and, above all, to solve the riddle of his femininity.

---

18 Karras, "David and Bathsheba." While in the kabbalistic literature we find justification of the sexual sin, Karras claims that rabbinic literature mainly emphasizes the killing of Uriah rather than the seduction of Bathsheba. More on this subject, see below p. 65 (ch. 2 n. 83).

CHAPTER 4

The Multiple Selves of King David

> The manifestation of the intimate relationship between dream and myth … entirely justifies the interpretation of the myth as a dream of the masses of the people.
>
> OTTO RANK, *The Myth of the Hero*

•••

> Now, is King Messiah called poor? However, Rabbi Shim'on said as follows: Because he has nothing of his own, and we call him King Messiah-holy moon above, who has no light except from the sun.
>
> ZOHAR 1:238a

∴

In this chapter, I will discuss the figure of the feminized David and the question of identity and salvation through the prism of psychoanalytic theories of the self. I will bring together different approaches, such as Donald Winnicott's concept of constitutive "going on being" and the true and false aspects of the self; and Melanie Klein's notions of projective identification and splitting, which function as defense mechanisms to protect the self from annihilation, and her theory of oscillations between the paranoid-schizoid position and the depressive position as states that reflect the inner self.[1] I will then suggest that David's appearances in the Bible, Midrash, and Kabbalah can be understood through another psychoanalytic approach, the intersubjective, and the relational notion of the multiple self.

In relational psychoanalysis, many contemporary discussions focus on questions of gender fluidity, transgender theory, and the internalized desire to be both sexes. This issue has been more relevant than ever in the last decade, and I believe that a discussion on this issue will help us uncover new faces in

1 Klein, *Love, Guilt and Reparation*; Donald W. Winnicott, "Ego Distortion in Terms of True and False Self," in *The Maturational Process and the Facilitating Environment: Studies in the Theory of Emotional Development* (New York: International UP Inc., 1965), 140–152.

© RUTH KARA-IVANOV KANIEL, 2021 | DOI:10.1163/9789004462199_006

the rich messianic persona of King David and its identification with the divine presence in the Kabbalah, despite the anachronism involved. Additionally, our exploration will make use of Heinz Kohut's ideas of heroism and narcissism, as well as the theories of other theorists dealing with the myth of the hero and the perils of power, charisma, and the instrumentalization of others for messianic or divine purposes.

1 "The Man Who Did This Deserves to Die" (II Sam. 12:5): Splitting and Denial, Repression and Projection

As I argued above in Chapter 2, there is a split in the character of David between the warrior and conqueror depicted in the Book of Samuel and the suffering, passive David depicted in the Psalms. I will therefore begin this chapter by focusing on the interpretive implications that this split has on the homiletic level. David first exerts his power and exploits others, and then sees himself as a victim of circumstances; the Sages follow his lead and use splitting and repression in order to justify his actions. Later, the Zohar expresses a self-conscious awareness of this leitmotif, and suggests a new splitting narrative.

The image of David as scholar (*talmid ḥakham*, sage) and a righteous judge sitting on his throne reflects the way commentators identify with him, depicting him in their own image. Instead of a king fighting on the battlefield, he is fighting in the arena of Torah study, as we have seen in the example of the blood motif (discussed above in Section 2 of Chapter 2).[2] At the same time, he is the archetypal sinner who, according to the Sages, was born to set up "the yoke of repentance."[3] By vindicating him, the Sages defend and justify themselves as is reflected in his idealized image. In the scholarly literature, many studies have focused on rabbinic texts' vindication of David. Richard Kalmin, Avigdor Shinan, James Diamond, Shulamit Valler, Sandra Shimoff, and others lay out the various techniques used by the Sages in their homilies about David.[4] Yet the psychoanalytic aspects of repression and narcissism, and the image of the threatening doppelganger, have yet to be discussed in this context. Sigmund Freud claimed that one of the strongest experiences of the "uncanny" (*Unheimlich*) is seeing a "double," that is, suddenly and unexpectedly meeting

---

2 b. Berakhot 4a: "All the kings of the East and the West sit with all their pomp among their company, whereas my hands are soiled with the blood, with the fetus and the placenta, in order to declare a woman clean for her husband."

3 b. Moed Katan 16b; b. Avodah Zarah 5a.

4 See above, Introduction, n. 17.

one's own image in a mirror.[5] Other thinkers conceptualized this image either as the self's wishful, positive need for a twin figure that it can admire and in light of which it can develop healthily,[6] or as a hallucinatory, pathological state reflecting intrusive identification with the object.[7] Both of these explanations might be seen in the following homilies from the tenth chapter of Tractate Sanhedrin (a chapter that deals with those who do and do not have a place in the world to come):

> Rab Judah said in Rab's name: One should never intentionally bring himself to the test, since David king of Israel did so, and fell … as it is written, "Examine me, O Lord, and try me." He answered "I will test thee, and yet grant thee a special privilege; for I did not inform the Patriarchs, yet, I inform thee that I will try thee in a matter of adultery." Straightway, And it came to pass in an eveningtide, that David arose from off his bed etc. R. Johanan said: "He changed his night couch to a day couch, but he forgot the *halachah*: there is a small organ in man which satisfies him in his hunger but makes him hunger when satisfied. And he walked upon the roof of the king's house: and from the roof he saw a woman washing herself; and the woman was very beautiful to look upon. Now BathSheba was cleansing her hair behind a screen (honeycomb), when Satan came to him, appearing in the shape of a bird. He shot an arrow at him, which broke the honeycomb, thus she stood revealed, and he saw her. Immediately, And David sent and enquired after the woman" … Thus it is written, (Ps. 17, 3) "Thou host proved mine heart; thou hast visited me in the night; thou host tried me, and shalt find nothing"; I am purposed that my mouth shall not transgress. He said thus: "Would that a bridle had fallen into the mouth of mine enemy [i.e., himself], that I had not spoken thus." … [1] Raba expounded: "What is meant by the verse (Ps 11, 1) 'To the Chief Musician, A Psalm of David. In the Lord put I my trust: how say ye to my soul, Flee as a bird to your mountain?' David pleaded before the Holy One, blessed be He: "Sovereign of the Universe! Forgive me that sin, that men may not say, 'Your mountain [sc. the king] has been **put to flight by a bird**.'" [2] Raba expounded: "What is meant by the verse, 'Against thee, thee only, have I sinned, and done this evil in thy sight:

5 Sigmund Freud, *The Uncanny* (London: Hogarth, 1953), 217–256.
6 Kohut, Heinz, *The Analysis of the Self* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1971).
7 Wilfred Bion, "The Imaginary Twin," in *Second Thoughts: Selected Papers on Psychoanalysis* (London: William Heineman Michial Books, 1967), 3–22. In the kabbalistic context, see Pedaya, "The Wandering Messiah and the Wandering Jew"; Berman, *Divine and Demonic in the Zohar and Kabbalistic Tradition*, 189–193.

> that thou mightest be justified when thou speakest, and be clear when thou judgest' (Ps. 51,6)"? David pleaded before the Holy One, blessed be He: Thou knowest full well that had I wished to suppress my lust, **I could have done so, but, thought I, let them [the people] not say, 'The servant triumphed against his Master' …** [3] Raba expounded: "*BathSheba, the daughter of Eliam, was predestined for David from the six days of Creation*, but that she came to him with sorrow … but that he enjoyed her before she was ripe …" [4] Raba expounded: "David exclaimed before the Holy One, blessed be He, ' Sovereign of the Universe! Thou knowest full well, that had they torn my flesh, my blood would not have flown. Moreover, when they are engaged in studying the four deaths inflicted by *Beth din* they interrupt their studies and taunt me saying, 'David, what is the death penalty of him who seduces a married woman?' **I reply to them, ' He who commits adultery with a married woman is *executed by strangulation*, yet has he a portion in the world to come. But he who publicly puts his neighbor to shame has no portion in the world to come."**
>
> b. SANHEDRIN 107a

I will start with the end of the sermon, at the point where David enters the study hall during a dispute about the world to come. The scholars taunt him about Bathsheba, and he rebukes them about the flaw in their morality. "He who commits adultery with a married woman is executed by strangulation, yet he has a portion in the world to come. But he who publicly puts his neighbor to shame has no portion in the world to come."

This text presents David as a righteous scholar and a halakhic authority. His colleagues humiliate him publicly, and he, rather than attack them, controls himself and demonstrates that they are greater sinners than he. Poetic irony here hides corrupted ethics. This text creates a new hierarchy of values, one that, in different language, is later adopted by the kabbalists. In this paradigm, humiliating a friend is worse than taking another man's wife and then killing him. As we have seen in the previous chapters, this motif provides an important source of power for David as a victim and persecuted target.

The end of the text echoes its beginning, where David's jealousy leads him to sin. Unlike the Patriarchs, David knows that God will test him with a sexual sin, "for I did not inform the Patriarchs, yet, I inform thee that I will try thee in a matter of adultery," and he tries to prevent it by changing "his night couch to a day couch." The cluster of symbols used to describe the failure itself contributes to obscuring the severity of David's licentiousness. Symbols like Satan in the shape of a bird, the nighttime setting on the roof, beautiful women with long hair, a screen and a honeycomb, and, finally, the king

shooting an arrow that must find the bullseye. All these images might be easily interpreted from a Jungian or Freudian perspective as pertaining to the soul's unconscious. Yet these literary embellishments disguise the horrifying reality of murder and rape.

At the beginning, David forgot the *halakhah*: "There is a small organ in man which satisfies him in his hunger but makes him hunger when satisfied," but at the end he himself teaches *halakhah*, and shows that the brutal satisfaction of sexual desires might result in receiving praise from God. David's portrayal as a teacher of other sages and a holy man is presented as a foregone conclusion in the four preceding statements by Raba [1–4].

Let us now turn to the heart of this *sugiyah* and explore the ways in which David's sins undergo a symbolic transformation, until they are revealed as pious deeds. In the first reading [1], Raba continues David's wishful thought that his mouth would not cause his failure: "I am purposed that my mouth shall not transgress." The homily is built on a play of words in the verse from Psalms 17:3. Based on Psalms 11:1, Raba adds to this that God, symbolized by the great mountain, could not be felled by the hand of a little bird, the Devil. Raba thus shifts the responsibility from the sinner to Satan. But the most striking comment at this juncture is attributed to David, who says: "Would that a bridle had fallen *into the mouth of mine enemy* that I had not spoken thus."

On the surface, David regrets not being able to place a bridle on his mouth, but here again his tongue reveals hidden thoughts. Literally, "the man who hates me," or "his enemy," is David himself. This statement exposes self-hatred, one that resonates with his first immediate answer to the prophet in Samuel "*the man who did this deserves to die*" (II Sam. 12:5). In Hebrew, the split and denial appear more strongly—"*ki ben mavet ha-ish ha'ose zot*"—as well as in the translation of the King James Bible: "And David's anger was greatly kindled against the man; and he said to Nathan, *As* the LORD liveth, *the man that hath done this thing shall surely die*." Only after Nathan's accusation, "You are the man" (II Sam. 12:7), did David take back his disavowal and no longer wish death to "that man."

By using the psychoanalytic method or working with free association and uncontrolled thoughts, his words serve well his hidden *Thanatos* (death drive; lit. "death"), and mirror his split personality: one part is perceived as "me," and the other part is perceived as "not-me."[8] Following Sándor Ferenczi, Hayuta Gurevich deals in her article, "The Language of Absence and the Language of Tenderness," with early psychic trauma that results in identifying with the

8 Bromberg, "Standing in the Spaces: The Multiplicity of Self," 509–535.

aggressor and attacking the self from inside.[9] In her analysis, she uses Ferenczi's idea of *Orpha phenomenon*, which reflects the self's elements of tenderness and vitality that continue to exist even in harsh traumatic situations alongside the dead parts of the self.[10] Indeed, David's element of vitality can be seen struggling against his murderous elements. Moreover, as a *bar nafle* (a non-viable infant)[11]—even before the formation of his self—David was forced to struggle for his survival. In Winnicott's terms, David's "going on being" represents an existential fear of breaking down, falling, and death. His pathological states of "disintegration" reflect not only a struggle between the true and false aspects of his personality but also a more nuanced split in his "true self."[12]

The Allegory of the Poor Man's Lamb also fits the way in which symbols transform from one structure of meaning to another. The lamb can be seen as either a feminine victim and erotic symbol (*rechela*), or as a future intentional sacrifice, or even as a dish in a feast on the king's table. There is a long journey, according the Talmud and later the Zohar, between David's rejection to the recognition "*I have sinned against the Lord*" (II Sam. 12:13).

Raba's first comment sharpens the tendency to denial. At the beginning, he puts in David's mouth words of forgiveness and grief for his sin, but later he minimizes and reduces it (i.e., his sin) by turning to images of birds and mountains. In that sense, Raba preserves David's disposition to talk about that "man" without realizing that "that man" is himself. On the other hand, it seems that the Sages in these homilies reveal David's blindness to his wrongdoing; they disclose his inability to acknowledge his deeds and to look inside his soul. On a poetic level, it might be that the Sages also blame David for his hypocrisy and the penchant to preach to others instead of taking responsibility for his own actions. Indeed, the structure of the psychotic mind is built on denial and non-recognition; at the moment when projection no longer works, the revealing of

9 Sándor Ferenczi, "Confusion of the Tongues between the Adults and the Child: The Language of Tenderness and of Passion," *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 30 (1949): 225–230.

10 Hayuta Gurevich, "The Language of Absence and the Language of Tenderness: Therapeutic Transformation of Early Psychic Trauma and Dissociation as Resolution of the Identification with the Aggressor," *Fort Da* 21 (2015): 45–65. In his discussion of the idea of "Interject," Christopher Bollas refers to these incorporated external forces, which penetrate and activate the mind from within.

11 See Chapter 3 above, n. 25.

12 Winnicott, "Fear of Breakdown"; Ogden, *The Primitive Edge of Experience*, 146–147. Winnicott, "Ego Distortion in Terms of True and False Self." On the split in the true-self, see Galia Avishur Mizrahi's introduction to the Hebrew translation of Jan Abram, *The Language of Winnicott: A Dictionary of Winnicott's Use of Words* (Tel Aviv: Tola'at Sefarim, 2019).

the truth may cause the psychotic mind to collapse. Its disintegration serves as a key to survival in a dual world and split tale.

It is interesting enough to note David's laconic and brief self-disclosure in II Sam. 12, which stands in contrast to his abundant lyrics in the Psalms. David is *the* word artist, and his story symbolizes a crescendo in the artistry of biblical narratives. This short statement represents one shade of the diverse literary fabric of this colorful and two-faced figure.

The following homilies of Raba [2–4] continue analogously with the double reading of the story: on the one hand, they portray David as a victim, as a servant-slave and king's jester (an image that we have discussed in detail in Section 3 of Chapter 2) and describe Bathsheba as designated for him from the time of the six days of creation, just as Eve was Adam's designated rib. At the same time, Raba brings verses of atonement and forgiveness that divulge restlessness and discomfort with his own white-washing of David's sins and his pretense of amusing the Divine.

The Sages may use this splitting technique in order to help the reader accompany the hero through a process of recognition of his sin. Instead of David (who refuses to take responsibility), the Sages themselves fill in the gaps and recognize his moral distortion where he does not. Thus, eventually, the reader is also forced to take responsibility and look into his or her own story and soul. The editors' choice of placing the issue within a section dealing with death penalties and the world to come is not accidental of course. Raba's sermons are arranged as well in a planned order, and each one of them adds new layers to the understanding of this diversified hero—the fighter, the fallen, the worldly, the dancer, the poet—and, more than all these, admired penitent that is beloved by man and God. All his homilies use verses from Psalms, an intertextual book that already vindicates the king in the Bible, and in a way split off his aggressive, violent personality in Samuel and the beginning of I Kings from the persecuted, feeble, and lyrical image in Psalms.

During this sermon, the Sages deal with various levels of sin: between man and God (e.g., David's envy of the Patriarchs); between man and his friend (e.g., shaming him publicly); and between man and woman (e.g., in the field of sexuality and the evil inclination). In the closing remarks of the *sugiyah* in b. Sanhedrin 107a, it is said: "Rab Judah said in Rab's name: 'Even during David's illness he fulfilled the conjugal rights [of his eighteen wives],' as it is written, 'I am weary with my groaning: all the night make I my bed to swim; I water my couch with my tears.'" This closure can be a grotesque ending to the process of denial, or, on the contrary, a moment of upheaval, in which the actual death of man—and not merely a symbolic reading of the terms "sin" and "death"—causes him to recognize the truth and acknowledge his sins. Here, for the first time, David

is not described as a righteous man who preaches to the other; but rather, as the commentator Rab Judah recognizes, as someone whose compulsive repetition of bad deeds up until his death belies an addiction that reflects his core identity and deep-seated illness.[13]

From another radical declaration, we learn about the Sages' profound engagement with the statement that reflects King David's sharpest split: "*Whoever says that David sinned is merely erring*" (b. Shabbat 56a). Here, the paradoxical attitude of Hazal and their self-denial reaches new heights in a clear fashion: they labored to present him as the model of a penitent even as they claimed that he had never sinned. Each generation has its own King David, and in each generation he suffers in a new way. The Sages stand as a mirror to reflect light and project onto him their own personal traits, their faults, and their hopes to be redeemed. By transforming the historical battlefields of the Bible into the metaphorical field of Jewish law, they were able to present David as a judge and a pious rabbi. In addition, they identified David as a model of a worshipper. The prayers attributed to him in Psalms are now presented as basic liturgical composition for every believer, as we learn, for example, from the statement "Rabbi Elazar said that Rabbi Avina said: Anyone who recites 'A Psalm of David' (Ps. 145) three times every day is assured of a place in the World-to-Come" (b. Berakhot 4a).

All of the readings above reflect the efforts of the homilists to vindicate David and justify his deeds while recognizing the difficulty of acknowledging sin and bearing it. In these sermons, the Sages follow different psychological stages of projection—split, "intrusive identification,"[14] denial, and hate—that the soul goes through until it finally accepts a sense of "wholeness" and responsibility. The hero suffers much until he recognizes himself and can achieve the integration of his dissociated parts.

2 Dumah and King David

In their book, *The Beginning of Politics: Power in the Biblical Book of Samuel*, Moshe Halbertal and Stephen Holmes focus on the first monarchs in Jewish history and analyze their plots through the prism of sovereignty and the

13 On David's fear from sin, see b. Berakhot 4a. For a parallel reading of the stories of Judah and Tamar and David and Bathsheba, in the light of *ona'at devarim*, verbal abuse, and humiliating speech, see also b. Baba Metziah 58b.

14 Meltzer following Bion's concept. See Donald Meltzer and Meg Harris Williams, *The Apprehension of Beauty: The Role of Aesthetic Conflict in Development, Art, and Violence* (London: Karnac, 2008).

ambivalence toward political power.[15] This book reveals eternal clashes between "the logic of love and the logic of power" as well as the ambiguity of political motivation and justifications.[16] According to the authors, while the sovereign struggles to embellish his public image, the writer discloses the ruler's pseudo-moral façade.

David's plot reveals, more than any other story, paradoxes concerning the use of power, such as the sacrifice of freedom in return for security; the capriciousness of a sovereign who can turn his protection to violence against his subjects; and, the most dangerous case, the turning of power into an ideal in itself. In his stories, values such as love, holiness, family relations, and religion are all corrupted by the monarch, who instrumentalizes others for his needs "because obligation and calculation, the moral and the instrumental, are very likely to be juxtaposed and fused in a sovereign ruler's mind."[17] In the course of their study, they discuss the differences between the respective moral characters of Saul and David, yet they also show the horror and collapse of values with which they both end. Saul's narrative reflects a confusion between victim and aggressor. Although he tries to kill David time and again, "Saul presents himself as a helpless, friendless, isolated victim … Such an inversion of roles, whereby an emotionally aroused perpetrator describes himself as the beleaguered victim, is frequently a prelude to violence."[18] While Saul's starting point is humility, humbleness, and avoidance of power, his endpoint is violent murder and reflects the paranoid and obsessive fear of losing his throne. On the other hand, David develops the opposite ruling pattern, since he "is portrayed as a figure at home with power."[19] David's tyrannical and manipulative behavior in the killing of Uriah expresses the unlimited power of the one who can commit any political crime without taking responsibility and who denies his guilt by means of overconfidence, a sense of entitlement, and decadence. This profound study, which exposes the perils of instrumentalization, is helpful in analyzing the following dialogue between David and Dumah in Zohar 1:8a–b .

At the heart of this *derashah*, the Zohar condones David through an imagined dialogue between God and the Angel of Death, Dumah. Each side of the discussion presents opposing arguments, with Dumah speaking against the sinning king and God defending David's good intentions. Each side of the argument expresses one of the hero's voices, his two aspects

15 Moshe Halbertal and Stephen Holmes, *The Beginning of Politics: Power in the Biblical Book of Samuel* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2017).

16 Halbertal and Holmes, *Beginning of Politics*, 118.

17 Ibid., 168.

18 Ibid., 70.

19 Ibid., 35.

locked in combat. By shifting the scene from human reality into the Divine Pleroma, this homily reflects not only the battle in David's soul but also the war between the powers of healing and repair and the evil powers within the Godhead, as well as the relationship between the upper and lower realms. David here represents the *Shekhinah*, the archetype of the multiple self that I will discuss below. The tension between his qualities of mercy and judgment is also, at the same time, the story of exile and redemption, and the story of dialogue between heaven and earth.

This text includes irony and humor, but also horrifying cruelty. Even though David, who symbolizes the nation of Israel, wants to be rescued, there is always an inner Satan that stands in his way and impedes the process of salvation. The figure that repeats the words "*The man who did this deserves to die*" (II Sam. 12:5) represents in some ironic way the voice of the conscience that reminds us of David's immoral, manipulative behavior. Messianic redemption always contains regressive, primordial energy that undermines its foundations. Thus, a psychoanalytic reading fits the heteroglossia of this homily well and illuminates the multiple personalities of King David, his feminine and masculine aspects, and his celestial and human representations:

> Rav Hamnuna Sava said as follows: "*Do not let your mouth induce your flesh to sin*" (Ecclesiastes 5:5). One should not let his mouth reach evil fantasies, causing that holy flesh in which the holy covenant is sealed to sin. If he does, he is dragged into Hell. The one appointed over Hell is named Dumah, who is escorted by many myriads of angels of destruction. He stands at the door of Hell, but he is not permitted to approach any of those who guarded the holy covenant in this world. King David—when that incident befell him—was frightened. That moment, Dumah rose in the presence of the blessed Holy One and said to him: "Master of the universe, it is written in the Torah: '*A man who commits adultery with a man's wife*' (Leviticus 20:10), and '*To your neighbor's wife*' (Leviticus 18:20). David, who ruined the covenant by lewdness, what shall be done to him?"
>
> ZOHAR 1:8a–b = Matt, I, 54–55

The opening of the sermon is attributed to *Hamnuna Sava*, a hero who bears a resemblance to *Sabba deMishpatim* and other figures, wonderous old men who reveal mystical secrets. These figures appear in what Jonatan Benarroch and others consider the "late layer" of the Zohar, that intermediate layer between *Guf ha-Zohar* and the *Tikunim* literature.[20] As opposed to Benarroch,

---

20 Benarroch, *Sabba and Yanuka*, 17, 346, 411.

Ronit Meroz claims that the fictional figure of *Hamnuna Sava* is based on a real historical figure who lived around the year 1250, and that he was one of the main establishers of the zoharic movement, living a generation before Rashbi.[21] Taken as one, the fragments of this layer represent a literary framework for the zoharic corpus, with a sensitive, refined artistic sensibility.[22] The old man's statement deals with the central zoharic theme of *shemirat habrit*, "preserving the covenant of circumcision," and observing sexual purity.

Unlike David, who seemingly transgressed this divine commandment, the kabbalists are called *shomrei habrit*. As it is said in the previous *derashah*: "Those masters of the covenant are called *the work of His hands* … (*ma'ase yadav*, Psalms 90:17)—This is the covenant sealed in a man's flesh." This term alludes to phallic symbolism, since the kabbalists support the *Shekhinah* and "the Bride is aroused to enter the canopy … together with the Companions rejoicing with Her that whole night, while She rejoices with them" (Zohar 1:8a). They adhere to the covenant, while David, who is identified with the bride, violates this principle. As a result of the sin, David began to be afraid, and fear is an emotion that protectors of the *Shekhinah* should inherently feel. As it is stressed: "King David—when that incident befell him—was frightened." Dread can lead a person to profound repentance, but it can also raise the Devil.

In the Talmud, Dumah is described as the head of the spirits (b. Sanhedrin 94a) and the Angel of Death, who judges both the wicked and the common man (b. Shabbat 152b). Rashi explains that the name plays on the word "*edom*," red, while other commentators describe him as being "in charge of silence" and situated at the place of "the grave."[23] Others interpret his name as deriving from the words describing the dark silence of exile. Judith Weiss discusses the appearance of this well-known demonic figure that is described in detail in the Zohar.[24] She claims that the word originally had several unrelated meanings. However, since the rabbinic era, homilies dealing with the enigmatic biblical meaning of "Burden of *Dumah*" have added the association between

---

21 Meroz, *The Spiritual Biography of Rashbi*, 151–157, 222–230, 250–252. On *Hamnuna Sava's* pious and devoted tendencies, and the anthropomorphic attitude, see 153–154.

22 Liebes, "Zohar and Eros"; Yisraeli, *The Interpretation of Secrets*.

23 Moving from a grammatical analysis to a psychoanalytic reading, it may also be that the word *dumah* bears a phonetic resemblance to the deceptive imagination, *dimayon*, which is connected with the Devil, who constantly attempts to appear as you. The encounter with the Devil represents an encounter with a person's repressed, rejected aspects.

24 Judith Weiss, "Polemical Anti-Christian Discourse in the Zohar: The Polyseme 'Dumah', the Paschal Sacrifice, and the Host of Heaven," in *Ma'aseh Sipur: Studies in Jewish Narrative*, Vol. 4, ed. Avidov Lipsker (Ramat Gan: Bar Ilan University, 2018), 37–60. Dumah is mentioned in Castilian, Catalonian, and Geronize traditions (n. 13). See also Liebes, "Christian Influences in the Zohar."

edom and hell, reflecting an anti-Christian polemic, and shifted the word from a homonym to a polyseme. Consequently, the figure of Dumah was thought of as being connected with Jesus in medieval kabbalistic Spain, insofar as allusions were concerned. In the Zohar on Exodus, she recognized a polemical narrative about the humiliated sovereign, Jesus, who descended from heaven to earth and was identified as the God of Egypt and the Paschal Lamb. The role of this counter-narrative was to subvert elements from the life of Jesus and turn them against the Christian belief.

We might develop Weiss's claim in the context of our passage from the Zohar's introduction. The text contains no explicit references to events from the life of Jesus, but it presents two messianic figures struggling one against the other. The defeated Christian Messiah (i.e., Dumah) fights against God's beloved David, who attains divine protection, although the text justifies the claims of his opponent.

In this homily, God's first response to Dumah's accusation against David is based on the talmudic justification according to which Bathsheba had received a *get kritut*, a contingent bill of divorce from Uriah, thereby freeing her to marry David (b. Shabbat 56a). It is also based on the claim of Rava that David and Bathsheba were designated for each other from the time of the six days of creation (b. Sanhedrin 107a). As we read in Zohar 1:8a–b:

> The blessed Holy One said to him: "David is innocent, and the holy covenant stands arrayed, for it is revealed before Me that Bathsheba was destined for him since the day the world was created."
>
> He replied [D.]: "Even if it is revealed before You, before him it was not!"
>
> He said [G.]: "Further, what happened, happened with permission, for of all those entering battle, no one would enter until he legally divorced his wife."
>
> He replied [D.]: "If so, he should have waited three months, and he didn't."
>
> He said [G.]: "Concerning which case was that rule established? Where we fear she might be pregnant. But it is revealed before Me that Uriah never approached her, for look, My name is sealed within him as evidence: it is spelled both אוריה (*Uriyyah*) and אוריהו (*Uriyyahu*). My name is sealed in him, proving he never cohabited with her."
>
> He replied [D.]: "Master of the universe, as I already said, even if before You it is revealed that Uriah did not lie with her, was it revealed to him? He should have waited three months for her. Furthermore, if he knew that he never lay with her, why did David send for him and order him to

> have intercourse with his wife, as is written: *Go down to your house and bathe your feet* (2 Samuel 11:8)?"
>
> ZOHAR 1:8a–b= Matt, I, 55–56

The dynamic between God and Dumah reflects the human interactions in this drama: Bathsheba, Uriah, David, Nathan, as well as the roles of the secondary characters such as the commander of the army Joab son of Zeruiah, the protagonists' dead baby, and the newborn child, Solomon. The background exposition echoes the dialogue of God and Satan in the Book of Job, which may hint at a deeper resemblance between the two stories. For example, the loss of all of Job's family and properties mirrors the taking of the only property of Uriah, his little lamb, Bathsheba. The appearance of Satan and the dialogue that happens in heaven (Job 1:6–11) is parallel to our story's exposition: "Dumah rose in the presence of the blessed Holy One and said to Him." In addition, the heavenly family (*famil'a shel ma'alah*) of ministers and the circle of the powers of fear-despair-evil serve as a shared issue in both stories.

Without going into every detail in this dialogue, it is clear that Dumah responds to each of God's claims like a talmudic scholar deftly overcoming logical challenges. If he represents the figure of Jesus, as Weiss suggests, his knowledge is indeed based on familiarity with the Jewish sources and a corruption of their meaning. Yet, Dumah has ironic and tragic aspects that arouse compassion in the reader. Just like the Jewish and Christian Messiah, he is a demon, half-human and half-divine, undermining the endeavors of both God and the people, and he serves as *ioculator Domini*, the Lord's jester (as David himself does, in *Sabba deMishpatim*, Zohar 2:106b–107a, as well as in Zohar 1:148a–b).

In the Talmud, David the warrior transforms into a *talmid ḥakham* and a righteous judge; here, Dumah replaces David and takes this role. Indeed, he seems to be David's persecuting *super ego*, or the fear of death that is revealed in moments of horror and sin. In Tractate Sanhedrin, David arrogantly compares himself to the Patriarchs ("Examine me, O Lord, and try me"), and the Devil appears before him as a bird, which he kills with an arrow. Here, the Zohar puts David face to face with Satan as an external, concrete figure, who uses David's own words against him.

The kabbalistic idea of "internalization," developed later in Hasidism, recognizes the Snake/Satan as a reflection of the human being's own evil inclination. As the Zohar says elsewhere: "The serpent (was the shrewdest of all the wild beasts that God had made) (Genesis 3:1). Rabbi Yitzchak says this refers *to the evil inclined*, Rabbi Yehuda says it refers to an actual snake [Zohar 1:35b]." Thus, exile and war against demonic forces can also be read as a description of internal struggles.

The main innovation of the zoharic reading is rooted in the idea that Bathsheba was a virgin before she married David. As a result, the Zohar turns David into Bathsheba's "first husband," as an extension of the rabbinic claim that "*Bathsheba, the daughter of Eliam, was predestined for David from the six days of creation*" (b. Sanhedrin 107a). Thus, their marriage reflects a divinely intended program, and the death of Uriah did not cause any disruption in the destined plan. In this case, the claim of the Sages that Bathsheba received a *get kritut*, a contingent bill of divorce (b. Shabbat 56a), before he went to war seems redundant and is similar to the excessive apologetic excuses that God presents to Dumah.

Clearly, this claim has to do with the Jewish-Christian entanglement and polemics regarding the high status of chastity in Christianity. The Zohar claims that the Divine Name was "sealed" in Uriah in order to prove that he never cohabited with Bathsheba, which is an argument designed to reflect the Christian idea of "marital chastity."[25] Virginity is not a rabbinic Jewish ideal, but here it serves as a device by which another man's union with a woman is made possible. For example, in the *Sabba deMishpatim*, the Zohar permits and condones sexual misbehavior. While discussing the case of a divorcée, it is written in the Zohar (103:a–b): "If this woman does not marry—even if she whores with all the men of the world—if her husband wishes, he may return her." As I have claimed elsewhere, by using an antinomian reading the Zohar permits the husband to remarry his divorcée despite her misdeeds, as long as she had not legally married another man, since second marriages disrupt the reincarnation system.[26] Seemingly, in our homily, in order to solve the problem of destined marriage the first husband is argued to have died without having had relations with his wife, thus preserving the possibility of reincarnation for David and Bathsheba.

Unlike interpreters who follow the perception of Uriah as a sinner and *mored be-malchut*, a rebel against authority, the Zohar defends him and states that Uriah is a righteous and pious man. Other kabbalists in Castile do not follow this direction. In the thirteenth-century treatise "The Secret of the

---

25 Dyan Elliott, *Spiritual Marriage: Sexual Abstinence in Medieval Wedlock* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1993). The commentator *Derech Emet* bases this reading as on the verse from II Samuel 12:3: "But the poor man had nothing except one little ewe lamb he had bought. He raised it, and it grew up with him *as his daughter*." For a discussion of the distinction between Uriah and Uriyyahu in the Bible, see Matt, I, 55, n. 395. The name "Uriah" shares the same letters as *reuya* (destined), a play of words that might hint at the massacred and the change of roles in our homily.

26 Kara-Ivanov Kaniel, Ruth, "Between Kabbalah, Gender and Law: Sexual Ethics in the Zohar," *AJS Review* 39, no. 1 (2015): 14–51 [Hebrew section].

Marriage of David and Bathsheba," which was discussed above in the previous chapters, R. Joseph Gikatilla compares Uriah to the primordial snake that "infected Eve with contamination" and calls him *Orla* (!): "When David came [to desire Bathsheba] she was still in the realm of the foreskin."[27] It may not be a coincidence that Christian sources such as Angelomus and St. Gregory describe Uriah as the Devil (and the Jew), who must be put to death.

Therefore, I would suggest that the Zohar reflects not only interfaith polemics, but also internal debates among contemporary kabbalists concerning the multifaceted figure of David and his role as the Messiah. Indeed, comparing ubiquitous zoharic models of the *Shekhinah* found in compositions of other contemporary Jewish mystics, such as R. Joseph Gikatilla, R. David ben Yehuda he-Hasid, R. Isaac of Acre, R. Joseph of Shushan, and others, we find less fluid images of these heroes, sometimes running contrary to similar ideas found in the Zohar. The Zohar emphasizes the pious reading of Uriah, in order to blame David, but at the same time it does so to present him as a feminine object that is never responsible for his deeds.

Ruth Karras, based on yet another zoharic reading (Zohar Noah 1:73b), states that Bathsheba married Uriah before David for the same reason God gave the holy land to the Canaanites before the Children of Israel: "The right time had not yet arrived."[28] Furthermore, these scenes resonate and present anew the Edenic relationship between Adam, Eve, and the serpent. Unlike other traditions that are hinting at the sexual union between Eve and the serpent, as mirrored by Uriah and Bathsheba, here we find a new, revolutionary understanding of the biblical story. A unique example of a reading that demonizes Uriah appears in the tradition cited by the seventeenth-century Sabbatean author of *Yalkut ha-Reuveni*:

27 Gikatilla, "The Secret of the Marriage of David and Bathsheba," 186.

28 Rabbi Abba said to him, "Look, we have learned that Bathsheba was destined for King David since the day the world was created! So why did the blessed Holy One give her first to Uriah the Hittite?" He replied, "Such are the ways of the blessed Holy One. Even though a woman is destined for a certain man, another—anticipating—marries her before the time of this other one arrives … This mystery of Bathsheba who was given first to Uriah the Hittite—go search and you will discover why the Holy Land was given to Canaan before Israel arrived … Even though David confessed his sin and repented, his heart and mind did not depart from those sins he sinned or from that sin regarding Bathsheba, for he feared them constantly, lest one of them prevail and accuse him in time of danger. So he did not obliterate them from his mind." On connections of this reading to "The Secret of the Marriage of David and Bathsheba," see Mopsik, *Sex of the Soul*, 156–169, 190–191.

King David, may he rest in eternal peace, was a great Torah scholar and was well versed in (the wisdom of) the substitutes.[29] When he first saw Uriah he recognized that he was the primordial Serpent who seduced Eve. David also knew that he himself was Adam, and when he saw Bathsheba he recognized her as Eve. Indeed, David knew his soul-mate was Eve and therefore wanted to take Bathsheba away from Uriah, but, lest he give rise to slander[30] he held himself back. David then wanted to kill Uriah by his own hand, on account of his being the seducer and instigator, for, in the case of he who seduces to sin, the stringencies of the Law do not apply. He reasoned, however, that "the court will suspect me and will demand of me a sign proving that Uriah was indeed the Serpent." So instead he accomplished his aim in roundabout way, by sending Uriah into battle where he was killed. Blessed is the Name of God who knew that Uriah was guilty as charged and deserved to be put to death, yet since He is slow to anger and exacted punishment on him.[31] If so, why did the prophet Nathan admonish David? On account of David's haste,[32] he did not wait to exact Uriah's full sentence. For, since King David himself was not yet in a perfected state, free from sin, Bathsheba remained "unclaimed" (*hefker*). Uriah was then able to be her husband, since she was free (*hefker*). For he who merits the "unclaimed" becomes its rightful owner, and only God is able to return the "unclaimed" to its original owner! Therefore, Rabi (R. Judah ha-Nasi), who himself was from the seed of David, said; "Anyone who claims that David sinned is mistaken [b. Shabbat 56a]." Granted that he sinned by acting hastily (to possess Bathsheba), however, he did not commit the sin of adultery. On account of his haste to possess her, their first born son died, but from then on there was no trace of Satan nor evil demons. Again they asked, "what reparation [*tikkun*] did David make for Bathsheba (by his rash behavior)?" Rabi answered them, "(If events had taken their course) she would have had to first have intercourse with a Jewish commoner, *hede'iot*, and only afterwards with the king. This is because Uriah was an 'outsider,' *hizoni*, and as such defiled her with his polluted seed, only having had intercourse with a Jew would have removed that pollution, as we find in the laws pertaining to the leper, and only after this would she have been worthy of a king." One student persisted, "so why did David not wait (for this process) and

29 *Temurot*, according to *Sefer HaPeliah*, or *temunot*, archetypes, in the later version.
30 *Dibah*, or, according to *Sefer HaPeliah*, *hurvah* (destruction).
31 God waited for Uriah's death (i.e. in battle) to come.
32 To right the serpent's wrongdoing.

> then make his *tikkun*?" He answered him, "my son! David reasoned thus: better our first born son should suffer death than my soul-mate should be possessed by another man! For Eve only knew the Serpent (before Adam) and Bathsheba only knew Uriah and David. This is the proof for you—David had many sons from his other wives and none of them ruled Israel except for Solomon, who came from Bathsheba, since she alone was David's soul-mate."[33]

This mythical reading is built, according to Moshe Idel, on symmetric parallel symbolism that the kabbalists received from external traditions. The latter developed hierarchic duplicated structures that resemble each other.[34] Adam and Eve, David and Bathsheba, and against them "the Third," demonic power, that must be removed, in order to keep the harmony. In addition, the tradition of *Sefer HaPeliah* and *Yalkut ha-Reuveni* reflects glorification of the image of David to a superhuman hero. The woman is described in this late midrash as an object, an "unclaimed *hefker*." In all other cases of normal kings, after the woman is defiled by an "outsider," *hizoni*, she is expected to have intercourse with a commoner (*hede'iot*), and only afterward with the king. This is not the rule in the case of David. David does not need a commoner or any mediator to "purify" his wife, because, like Adam, he is the first, having a direct path to redemption and repair, just like the *Shekhinah*, which, though located at the bottom of the *sefirot*, alone has the ability to ascend directly to the *Keter*.

Unlike the approach of *Sefer HaPeliah* and *Yalkut ha-Reuveni*, the Zohar invents a new biography for Uriah. Rather than being portrayed as a stranger, the other, or a gentile Hittite soldier, he is described as God's loyal follower and believer, in whose flesh the Divine Name is engraved, embodying the greatest symbol of preserving the holy covenant of circumcision.[35]

As was noted above, the zoharic homily recalls shared issues with the image of David as a king's jester in *Sabba deMishpatim* (discussed in Section 3 of Chapter 2), although here the image is presented in a new light. David admits

33 *Yalkut ha-Reuveni* (Warsaw 1883 and Jerusalem 1965), Vol. 1, 72. The text is based on the fourteenth-century *Sefer HaPeliah* version, "who is Adam."

34 Idel, *Ben.* See there more on the "fourfold divine family" as a parallel structure (380–385, 420, 471–472).

35 On the symbolism of circumcision in the medieval and kabbalistic worlds, see Elisheva Baumgarten, "Marking the Flesh: Circumcision, Blood and Inscribing Identity on the Body in Medieval Jewish Culture," *Micrologus* 13 (2005): 313–330; Elliot R. Wolfson, "Circumcision, Vision of God, and Textual Interpretation: From Midrashic Trope to Mystical Symbol," *History of Religions* 27, no. 2 (1987): 189–215; and Yehuda Liebes, "Zakkain inun Yisrael: Zoharic Blessing and Its Judeo-Christian Context," *Jewish Studies* 3 (2007): 85–94.

here that he killed Uriah and that his punishment for that was the death of his first child with Bathsheba, while in the *Sabba* his sin is committed against God. Moreover, in the introduction of the Zohar, a new subject appears that deals with the centrality of the inner world and the function of genuine repentance, unlike the theme of God's amusement in *Sabba deMishpatim*:

> He [God] said, "He certainly did not know. But he waited longer than three months—actually four, for so have we learned: On the twenty-fifth of Nisan David issued a proclamation throughout Israel, and by the seventh of Sivan, they had assembled under Joab; then they set out and destroyed the land of the children of Ammon. They lingered there for Sivan, Tammuz, Av, and Elul, and on the twenty-fourth of Elul happened what happened with Bathsheba. On Yom Kippur the blessed Holy One forgave him that sin. Some say: he issued the proclamation on the seventh of Adar, they assembled on the fifteenth of Iyyar, on the fifteenth of Elul happened what happened with Bathsheba, and on Yom Kippur he was assured: *YHVH has removed your sin; you will not die* (2 Samuel 12:13). What does *you will not die* mean? *You will not die* at the hand of Dumah." Dumah replied: "Master of the universe, I still have one thing against him: he opened his mouth and said As *YHVH lives, the man who did this deserves to die* (ibid., 5). He condemned himself. I claim him!"
>
> He [God] said, "You are not entitled! He confessed to Me, saying, *I have sinned against YHVH* (ibid., 13), even though he did not sin! But as for his sin against Uriah, I sentenced him to punishment, which he received."
>
> Immediately Dumah returned in despair to his site. Concerning this, David said *Unless YHVH had been my help, my soul would soon have dwelt with Dumah* (Psalms 94:17). By a thread as fine as a filament of hair, separating me from the Other Side. By that measure *my soul* did not *dwell with Dumah*. "So a person should be on guard not to speak as David did, since one will not be able to plead with Dumah *that it was an error* (Ecclesiastes 5:5), as happened with David, when the blessed Holy One defeated him legally. *Why should God be angry at your voice?*—at the voice in which one speaks. *And destroy the work of your hands*—holy flesh, holy covenant that he damages, and he is dragged into Hell by Dumah."
>
> ZOHAR 1:8b = Matt, V, 56

In this homily, we can find a battle as to what the truth is—until death, which hints at the death of Uriah. Rather, here, the fear from the Angel of Death is quelled as well as the temptation to sin. Eventually David recognizes his absolute dependency on God, and says: "*Unless YHVH had been my help, my soul*

would soon have dwelt with Dumah." It is not an accident that the culmination of the process of repentance happens on Yom Kippur, the Day of Atonement. Here, finally, David understood that indeed his soul "*would soon have dwelt with Dumah*" and only a thread separated him from the Other Side.[36]

As we have seen, in all the zoharic readings dealing with David's sin, Bathsheba appears as a passive object that is passed from hand to hand. Only in homilies that blame her for her role in the story does she have desire and a voice. For example, in a late midrash found in the Cairo Genizah, Bathsheba is described as a seductive figure, while David is described as a passive actor:

> Bathsheba saw by way of the Holy Spirit that David was worthy to father a son who would write 3,000 parables and 1,005 poems. Every day she would don royal garments—1,000 in the morning, another 1,000 in the afternoon, and still another 1,000 in the evening. She would also adorn herself with 105 perfumes and wrap herself in 1,080 cloaks of gold. She would then position herself in front of David so that he could see her, and look favorably at her. When she saw that he did not take note of her she then went up to the rooftop and stripped naked and even bathed there in her nudity. When David saw her thus, he then sent for her and took her as a wife. This was the reason Bathsheba was worthy of bearing Solomon, who in the future would write 3,000 parables and 1,005 poems.[37]

While at the frame of the midrash Bathsheba is presented as the mother of King Solomon and as a prophetess who is taking part in a divine plan, the main part of the text reflects the idea that the woman is guilty. Like with other biblical harlots, Bathsheba's union with David happens as a result of much effort on her side. She is described as a negative heroine who intentionally initiated the scene of seduction and was purposely bathing naked on the roof. In the Zohar, however, Bathsheba appears primarily as a secondary character rather than as a subject with personal choice and agency.[38] Indeed, David's multifarious soul

---

36 On the image of a thread, see b. Sukkah 52a: "For the righteous the evil inclination appears to them as a high mountain, and for the wicked it appears to them as a mere strand of hair."

37 Ginzberg, *Midrash and Haggadah*, 166.

38 For a different reading that highlights Bathsheba's centrality and agency as "the mother of Solomon," see Zohar 3:74b–76a. Both David and Bathsheba allude to the *sefirah* of *Malkhut* in Zohar 3:6b–7, a homily that is based on the verse from Amos 9:11: "On that day

suffices to reflect the entirety of the psychological drama, integrating both the feminine and the masculine within itself.

Ruth Karras summarizes the dialectic of Jewish and Cristian interpretations regarding David and Bathsheba as follows:

> Medieval Judaism for the most part was committed to David as an ideal king … In some rabbinic conceptions and particularly in those medieval texts that built on them, David was obedient to God in his relationship with Bathsheba … even his transgressions, both the adultery with Bathsheba and the killing of Uriah, were minimized. Bathsheba was unmarried and therefore available to David (indeed, in the late *Shmuel-bukh* already married to him when they have sex), and Uriah deserved death. Some medieval Christian interpretations were also exculpatory of David, choosing to read his sins metaphorically and presenting his union with Bathsheba as a prefiguration of the union of Christ and the Church … By the end of the Middle Ages, however, Christian interpretations of the story, while still holding David up as a masculine model of penance (along with Mary Magdalen as the primary female penitent), were taking the active sexual desire of a king for granted and blaming Bathsheba for seduction and sin. The idea of an unbounded male sexual desire that was a part of elite masculinities, although it had to be controlled for religious reasons, was never far from the surface.[39]

3 The Dialectics of Heroism

In the previous section, I claimed that the Zohar is actively building on the talmudic representation of David as talmudic scholar to turn Dumah into his superego. A Kleinian reading highlights David's use of the defense mechanisms of splitting, denial, and projection as protection from the total dissolution of the self. The psychoanalytic approach of Heinz Kohut reveals another understanding of his deeds and motivations. Kohut describes courage as attached to a healthy narcissistic center and defines the real hero as a person whose core self is dynamic and flexible. Yet, at the same time, this person's inner resilience

I will raise up the booth of David that is fallen, and repair its breaches, and raise up its ruins, and rebuild it as in the days of old."

39 Karras, "David and Bathsheba," 214.

and stability enable him to remain loyal to his goals and ideals, despite a threat from within or without.[40] As Kohut claims:

> The hero's willingness to die sometimes comes about as a result of a creative change in his nuclear self, a change by virtue of which he gets out of step with the goals, ambitions, and values of his environment. The capacity of the nuclear self to undergo changes, whether they take place slowly or occur abruptly (as in mystical experiences of illumination), is fully compatible with that firmness of attitude so characteristic of courage. Almost all heroic individuals face grave crises while they are still on the road to reaching the ultimate decision that they will remain faithful to their selves, whatever the cost. They are generally not beset by fear of the consequences they will suffer a result of their actions.[41]

It is worth noting David's words about his persecutor, Saul, during his youth, when Saul was the king. When his people suggest to David, who is hiding in a cave in Ein Gedi, that she should kill Saul, who is pursuing him, he answers: "The Lord forbid that I should do such a thing to my master, the Lord's anointed, or lay my hand on him; for he is the anointed of the Lord" (1 Sam. 24:6). David prefers to be killed than to assault Saul, yet in verse 9 he stresses his merciful deed: "This day you have seen with your own eyes how the Lord delivered you into my hands in the cave. Some urged me to kill you, but I spared you; I said, 'I will not lay my hand on my lord, because he is the Lord's anointed.'" A similar scene is repeated in the Zif Desert at night. Again, David risks himself and shows generosity and heroism in the encounter with Saul:

> So David and Abishai went to the army by night, and there was Saul, lying asleep inside the camp with his spear stuck in the ground near his head. Abner and the soldiers were lying around him. Abishai said to David, "Today God has delivered your enemy into your hands. Now let me pin him to the ground with one thrust of the spear; I won't strike him twice. But David said to Abishai, "Don't destroy him! Who can lay a hand on the Lord's anointed and be guiltless? As surely as the Lord lives," he said, "the Lord himself will strike him, or his time will come and he will die, or he will go into battle and perish. But the Lord forbid that I should lay

40 Heinz Kohut, *The Search of the Self—Selected Writings of Heinz Kohut: 1978–1981* (London: Karnac, 2011), III, 129–182.

41 Kohut, *The Search*, III, 136.

> a hand on the Lord's anointed. Now get the spear and water jug that are near his head, and let us go."
>
> 1 Sam. 26:7–11

Kohut states that the hero's loyalty unto death, like that of Jesus, "has influenced, in various ways, every Western hero, whether on the field of battle, in artistic and scientific faithfulness." In the case of David, we might ask whether this is truly authentic behavior or whether this is merely a manipulative mask. If we follow the Kohutian path, grandiosity is not necessarily an obstacle to genuine leadership, yet "in the decisions and actions of many other heroic individuals, however, the values of the nuclear self, rather than the subject-bound grandiosity, supply the decisive motive power for heroism."[42] Certainly, these moments reveal another side of David's persona. Kohut adds:

> The journey of the true martyr hero leads him increasingly toward clarity concerning the essence of his nuclear self. The beginning of this journey may be marked by a shock like recognition, which is often experienced as a revelation, i.e., as coming from outside … Suddenly there exists now a gap between the kind of behavior which would be in harmony with the self and the kind of behavior that is dictated by the demands of the environment. Once the martyr hero has become aware of his nuclear self (and of the inner and outer conflict situations to which he is brought by its demands), he can find no rest. His tensions are a manifestation of the fact that he is in a severe narcissistic disequilibrium until he has achieved the complete unification of his personality under the leadership of the nuclear self. As soon as the ultimate step in this direction is made and the ultimate decision has been reached, the hero experiences a sense of relief and of inner peacefulness and serenity. These feelings are manifestations of the narcissistic balance which has come through the establishment of a state of complete harmony between the nuclear self and the rest of the personality.[43]

As a "tragic hero," David has a sense of humor with a tendency to martyrdom. After the sin with Bathsheba, it seems that all the detached aspects of his character were integrated into one whole personality. This process signals a crucial turning point in the life of heroes as we learn from the dialogue between Joseph Campbell and Carl Jung regarding the journey of the hero. There are

42 Ibid., III, 138.

43 Ibid., III, 45.

two opposing theories of the hero's journey offered by the main schools of psychoanalysis: Sigmund Freud and his student Otto Rank focus their studies on the protagonist's childhood, whereas Jung and his students emphasize the later years of the hero's development, on his journey and initiation. In Freud's approach, the hero's journey is only a later fulfillment of Oedipal/infantile desires and ego conflicts rooted in the primary "family romance."[44] In contrast, Joseph Campbell, who was Jung's follower, viewed the hero's initiation into maturity as occurs after his return from his real or physical journey, and is reached by completing a transformative inner-spiritual journey. According to Jung, heroism is predicated on one's spiritual integration and acquiring the necessary preparation to enable the process of individuation, which reaches its climax in the middle of one's life—around the ages of forty or fifty.[45] As articulated by another Jungian scholar, Erich Neumann, it is not enough for a person to free his own consciousness from the womb of the Great Mother and to slay the Dragon/Uroboros. In order to achieve self-realization and spiritual awareness, one must develop an independent and "high" mystical consciousness.[46] Contrary to the illusion of omnipotence that accompanies one in one's early childhood years, maturity allows one to recognize one's own limitations and unify the disparate parts of one's personality.[47]

In her article, "Transforming the Hero: The Dialectics of Heroism and the Psychoanalytic Process," Chana Ullman claims that

> [in psychoanalytic treatment] the heroic is mitigated by the recognition of human vulnerability, destructiveness and helplessness. That which is considered heroic and life-giving may emerge as persecutory ideals or as defenses guarding grandiosity. Yet the hero may inspire, protect ideals and lives, both concretely and metaphorically. Analytic process threatens to transform or even reverse that which is considered bad, considered abject, or that which is revered and idealized. The heroic may then appear as a divide between patient and analyst, a tension and ambivalence

44 Sigmund Freud, *Family Romances* (London: Hogarth: 1953), 235–241; Rank, *The Myth of the Birth of the Hero*.

45 Carl G. Jung, *Memories, Dreams, Reflections*, ed. Aniela Jaffé, trans. Richard Winston and Clara Winston (New York: Vintage, 1965); Carl G. Jung, *Psychology and Religion* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1938); Carl G. Jung, *The Practice of Psychotherapy: Essays on the Psychology of the Transference and Other Subjects* (London: Routledge, 1954); Campbell, *The Hero with a Thousand Faces*.

46 Erich Neumann, *The Great Mother: An Analysis of the Archetype* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1963).

47 Rank, *In Quest of the Hero*, 121–123, 179–223.

> shaping transference and countertransference. It is often a gap across gender lines, and across the passions that fuel existential hopes and fears. The heroic emerges and is sustained in a specific cultural-social context. But it is also born of the individual unconscious.[48]

While Rank suggested that the individual's unconscious is expressed in the shared myth, creating the heroic lore of the culture, according to Ullman "contemporary psychoanalytic understanding of the links between the cultural context and the individual, influenced by critical theory, reverses the direction of the links. It is the culture that 'forces' its way into the individual psyche. Critical social theory maps the way in which culture expropriates individuals." Both ways of reading can be useful when dealing with the psychoanalytic understanding of King David's motivations.

As we have seen in the previous chapter, beginning with the origin of the Kabbalah in the Geronese tradition of the twelfth century, David is never called "Father" ("Patriarch"), as Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob are. As it is said in the *Commentary on the Talmudic Aggadoth* by R. Azriel of Gerona: "The Angels say *kadosh kadosh kadosh* and [in parallel] Israel say God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob."[49] Then he quotes the rabbinic formula, as said by Reish Lakish in Genesis Rabbah, "the Patriarchs are themselves the Chariot," and David should be mentioned as well, almost as an afterthought. "And David is the fourth." Nevertheless, David does not deserve to be a part of the *Kedushah* prayer. Later, the anonymous fourteenth-century kabbalist that composed *Maʿarekhet ha-ʾElohut* states:

> The Jewish people has only three Fathers … yet there is the *Atara*-King David's quality … He is never called Father … and he is the fourth leg of the Chariot. However, he is *never called Father like the Patriarchs*, since the form of his idea (*midda*) is feminine, and because the moon was diminished; her light comes [only] from the sun.[50]

---

48 Chana Ullman, "The Hero Transformed: The Dialectics of Heroism and Psychoanalytic Process," *Psychoanalytic Inquiry* 40, no. 7 (2020): 1–9; Chana Ullman, "Bearing Witness: Across the Barriers in Society and in the Clinic," *Psychoanal. Dialogues* 16, no. 2 (2006): 181–198.

49 R. Azriel of Gerona, *Commentary on the Talmudic Aggadoth*, 56, 98. See above, Chapter 3, note 14. R. Azriel calls the *sefirot* "gods" and "crowns," and thus the Patriarchs are their offspring based on the verse from Psalms 29:1 "Ascribe to the LORD, you heavenly beings [*Benei Elim*]." See also R. Asher ben David, "Commentary to the Thirteen Attributes," 54.

50 *Maʿarekhet ha-ʾElohut* (Jerusalem, 2012), ch. 11. See also b. Berakhot 16: "The Sages taught in a baraita: One may only call three people patriarchs, Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, but not Jacob's children."

The exclusion of David from the Divine Chariot might cause a narcissistic injury, jealousy, and envy. In order to compensate, the king falls into grandiosity and covers his "basic fault" by manipulative behavior. On the other hand, if David's figure indeed reflects an unfulfilled wish to become Father, the *Shekhinah* serves as a tool for this development. Although feminine, she has masculine aspects and the unique quality of being able to overcome gender differences. She represents, in Judith Butler's words, the "subversion of identity," one that works both ways without subordinating one sex to the other.[51]

In other zoharic *derashot*, David's jealousy is compensated by his superiority to the Patriarchs, as we learn from the following homily in Zohar Hadash:

> The blessed Holy One created seven celestial days in the world, planting and building worlds upon them … corresponding to those heavenly days He created seven truly righteous ones on earth—sustaining them and illuminating them, each and every one corresponding to his day … Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, Moses, Aaron, Joseph and David. They are called ancient mountains (Deuteronomy 33:15), suspended from the seven celestial days that we have mentioned: six days of creation and the seventh that goes before them. This is as said: "The House of David [will be] like a divine being—like an angel of YHVH before them" (Zechariah 12:8). Even though David is the seventh, he is the fourth in days. Joseph is the seventh but when Shekhinah is contained within Joseph, providing him with additional delight from the House of the king, then She too is called seventh. They all unite with the Shekhinah in the mystery of seven, since She is called *bathsheba* daughter of seven.
>
> ZOHAR HADASH 26c = Matt, XII, 517–519[52]

Even Moses, Aaron, and Joseph are all located under the dominance of the *Shekhinah* and David as "multiple Messiah." Here, David and Bathsheba are both identified with the *sefirah* of *Malkhut*, which is called "an angel of YHVH," who is the shining light in front of the camp that leads the way for the Israelites

51 Butler, *Gender Trouble*.

52 As Joel Hecker states, while in the printed editions the following passage appears in the section of *parashat Toledot* and is labeled *Sitrei Torah*, in the manuscripts all bestow the title "From *parashat Vayeshev*" while including much material that is missing from the printed editions; the entire passage bears a strong similarity to extended passages in Angelet's *Quppat ha-Rokhelin* and Angelet's *Livnat ha-Sappir*. See Ronit Meroz, "R. Joseph *Angelet* and His Zoharic Writings," *Te'uda* 21–22 (2007): 303–404, esp. 306. See also Zohar 3:301b–302b (*Tosafot*).

in the dessert.[53] David is also compared to the day of the Sabbath—the seventh holy day—and to the fourth leg of the Chariot, both of which bring to a close the whole process of creation.

Moshe Idel observed two models that reflect different types of experiences for the kabbalist.[54] In the Theurgical Model, the mystics turned the *Shekhinah* into a fully fledged divine female force, struggling as a knight striving for the rescue of his lady-love and seeking her attention as an identified male (and her revelation to him as an identified female). The climax of their relationship is symbolized in the Zohar and other theurgical texts as sexual union that will bring them both to a new state of redemption. In contrast, in the Ecstatic and Prophetic Model, the kabbalist assumes a *female identity*, as he meets the masculine powers of divinity. This model uses the mystical language of femininity and passivity, and describes the kabbalist as being influenced by the upper realm, here identified as masculine. The main symbol operative in this second model is one of the mystic as vessel, who retains all of the divine influx within himself.

At the close of this book, I will suggest that the Zohar reflects more than any other composition the ability of the kabbalist to change masks, roles, genders, and sexual identities during the process of his mystical initiation and revelations. As such, the fluidity of gender roles shows the core process of the zoharic mystical thought and language, and demonstrates the special openness of mystical experience in this text. This language reflects the different ways the kabbalists imagined the relationships between the divine powers, and therefore the *sefirot* were imagined by them as both feminine and masculine. Indeed, King David as the feminine Messiah appears as the main hero who empowers and combines these contradictions of past and future, brokenness and hope, and masculinity and femininity. In David's personality, different voices and powers struggle, all reflecting the changing roles of the hero. Cultural symbols and the development of interpretation help him go through a process of transformation.

As Ullman puts it: "The society's hunger for this heroism is in part a negation of the helplessness and passivity of the traumatic past, as it is a response to present threats … the Hebrew word for hero—'*gibor*'—comes from the same root as man—'*gever*'—and the same word also refers to overcoming dire circumstances. Heroism is linked to a willingness to risk oneself in order to save others, a drive to save the world, to reverse hopeless circumstances or

53 On the *Shekhinah* as "angel" in Nahmanides's school, see Halbertal, *By Way of Truth*, 186–190.

54 Idel, "Sexual Metaphors and Praxis in the Kabbalah."

to conquer life's limitations." David is a male hero, who overcomes dire circumstances, but he is not only a hero (*gibor*), he is also a divine entity that allows society to deal with the helplessness and passivity of the traumatic past through the female aspects of the Messiah.

4 Multiplicity of the Self, Trauma and Repair

Through the concepts of denial and dissociation, David is blamed as a corrupted and manipulative ruler who presents himself as a sacrifice in his psalms and poetry. Moreover, in the example of "another David," discussed above in Chapter 3, we met a split between two different figures that affects God: the earthly figure vis-à-vis the supernal entity located in the divine world—the "me" and the "not me" that are projected onto the upper world. One is a male sinner, and the other a beautiful divine consort. While the first part of this chapter assumed the existence of a "core self" as it appears in the theories of Klein, Kohut, and Winnicott, I will now introduce another approach, following the theories of Stephen Mitchell, Philip Bromberg, Muriel Dimen, Lewis Aron, Chana Ullman, and others. By using relational attitudes to the self, David's double persona reflects creative kabbalistic thought and multiplicity. As Mitchell claims: "From a contemporary psychoanalytic perspective—there is no way to ever 'really know' oneself, to have access to one's various, multiple self-organizations simultaneously."[55] In the same manner, Philip Bromberg defines "normal Multiplicity of Self" as follows:

> A human being's ability to live a life with both authenticity and self-awareness depends on the presence of an ongoing dialectic between separateness and unity of one's self-states, allowing each self to function optimally without foreclosing communication and negotiation between them. When all goes well developmentally, a person is only dimly or momentarily aware of the existence of individual self-states and their respective realities, because each functions as part of a healthy illusion of cohesive personal identity—an overarching cognitive and experiential state felt as "me." Each self-state is a piece of a functional whole, informed by a process of internal negotiation with the realities, values, affects, and perspectives of the others. Despite collisions and even enmity between

55 Stephen Mitchell, "Psychoanalysis and the Degradation of Romance," *Psychoanalytical Dialogues* 7, no. 1 (1997): 23–41, here 33.

> aspects of self, it is unusual for any one self-state to function totally outside of the sense of "me-ness"—that is, without the participation of the other parts of self.[56]

Bromberg states that "health is the ability to stand in the spaces between realities without losing any of them—the capacity to feel like one self while being many."[57]

In the zoharic paragraphs, David is presented as *both* masculine and feminine. He has high and low faces, a "lower" element, connected with sin, and an "upper" element, connected with beauty and reparation. Paradoxically, the split of his character into David and the "other David" allows him to take responsibility for his deeds. From a male hero, David becomes a symbol of a vessel and female lacking potency. His personality symbolizes, in Dimen and Aron's terms, the wish to overcome gender difference and to feel "both sexes."[58]

---

56 Bromberg, *Standing in the Spaces*, 512–513. He here quotes Malcolm Slavin and Daniel Kriegman, who add to this definition: "Multiple versions of the self exist within an overarching, synthetic structure of identity [which] probably cannot possess the degree of internal cohesion or unity frequently implied by concepts such as the 'self' in the self psychological tradition … the idea of an individual 'identity' or a cohesive 'self' serves as an extremely valuable metaphor for the vital experience of relative wholeness, continuity, and cohesion in self-experience. Yet, as has often been noted, when we look within the psyche of well-put-together individuals, we actually see a 'multiplicity of selves' or versions of the self coexisting within certain contours and patterns that, in sum, produce a sense of individuality, 'I-ness' or 'meness' … Although the coexistence of 'multiple versions of the self' that we observe introspectively and clinically may thus represent crystallizations of different interactional schemes, this multiplicity may also signal the existence of an inner, functional limit on the process of self-integration … The cost of our human strategy for structuring the self in a provisional fashion—around a sometimes precarious confederation of alternate self/other schemas—lies in the ever-present risk of states of relative disintegration, fragmentation, or identity diffusion."

57 Philip Bromberg, "Shadow and Substance: A Relational Perspective on Clinical Process," *Psychoanalytic Psychology* 10 (1993): 147–168, here 166.

58 Muriel Dimen states: "What gender seems to denote is one thing; what it actually connotes is another … Self and gender identity inhabit one another so intimately … Sometimes the mutual coding of gender and self is directly translatable. For example, the conventional split between masculine and feminine in psychology and culture, that is, the contrast masculine/feminine, speaks also to pleasure, activity, and passivity. Pleasure in activity is wont to carry the valence of masculinity, while pleasure in passivity is charged with femininity, a split aligned with the traditional dichotomy in sex roles … In this process, 'gender' appears to be less a determinate category than something resembling a force field. Much like the atom, once thought of as substance but now construed as a set of interacting forces, so gender looks to consist not of essences but of complex and shifting relations among multiple contrasts or differences. Sometimes these contrasts remain

Eventually, he symbolizes "gender-slippage," which assumes the dominance of the opposite gender traits within his male personality while this character leads him into a sinful situation.[59] In the surrounding ancient and medieval culture, as well as in Jewish circles, being a female was considered to be a disadvantage, as the woman occupied a lowly position in the hierarchy of power. David's personal rise to power is an example of the politics of the weak, and in this sense he was imitative of the path taken by his historical mothers.

Indeed, the Davidic family history testifies to a trauma that runs throughout the tribe of Judah. We see this in King David himself, the "son" who was born out of generations of incest and adultery, as well as in the stories of Tamar, Ruth, and the daughters of Lot, all of which contain illicit sexual relations, abuse, and harlotry.[60] As a result, David repeats the traumatic pattern by taking another man's wife and then killing the man himself. Eventually, he ends up with disintegration within his own family: Bathsheba's first baby dies, his daughter Tamar is tragically raped by her brother Amnon, and his son Absalom rebels against him—all examples of cross-generational transmission of trauma.

Therefore, David acknowledges his dissociated "thousand faces" only after the prophet Nathan accuses him and dramatically says: "*You are the man*!" David must, for the first time, recognize parts of his persona that appear as "not-me." These "bad objects" and parts of his personality were not only suppressed but absolutely denied and projected onto others around him. In order to survive and to cope with the traumas of his family, the hero must undergo a process of repentance, *teshuvah*. He must return to his "true multi-valent self," including the figures of the joker, the liar, the gambler, and the killer. He must take responsibility for his actions.

In addition, as we learn from trauma theory, the damaged self needs a witness who can bear what the hero cannot contain. Following Chana Ullman, Samuel Gerson, and Dana Amir, I would suggest that, in their homilies, the commentators take the place of the "third party" who can bear witness to the trauma.[61] The Sages, and later the kabbalists, actually liberate King David

distinct, at other times they intersect, and at still other times they fuse and exchange identities." Muriel Dimen, "Deconstructing Difference: Gender, Splitting, and Transitional Space," *Psychoanalytic Dialogues* 1 (1991): 335–352. See also Aron, "The Internalized Primal Scene."

59 Levinson, "Cultural Androgyny in Rabbinic Literature."

60 See Kara-Ivanov Kaniel, *Holiness and Transgression*.

61 Ullman, "Bearing Witness"; Samuel Gerson, "When the Third Is Dead: Memory, Mourning, and Witnessing in the Aftermath of the Holocaust," *International Journal of Psychoanalysis*

from his inhuman and superhuman state, from his “absolute otherness” of a *superhero*, into a healthy, normal otherness that includes deviance.

Alongside Sue Grand’s discussion of the “dark side of the heroic” that leaves individuals to struggle with the failures of the superhuman myth, I claim that in this case Davidic representation allows mass-therapy and working through the limits of heroism in Jewish culture.[62]

Thomas Ogden suggests that through the analytic process the therapist helps the patient dream his as-of-yet unimagined fantasies and revive dissociated parts of his self.[63] In the same manner, the commentators accepted David’s faults, suggesting a gender transformation, showing that the dead, dissociated parts of the hero could actually be brought back to life. Indeed, the kabbalists who represent David as a feminine figure could perhaps therefore be seen as trying to help him regain his feminine side and accept his *anima*. In being thus rectified, he once again attains a multitude of “faces.” Just as the therapist sees the patient as someone who had no other choice other than to develop as he developed, so too the commentators accept David’s basic faults and help him grow from his fragmentation.

David’s personality reflects the values and hopes of his interpreters throughout the generations. Each layer of interpretation, from the Hebrew Bible through the rabbinic literature and ending with medieval kabbalistic literature, adds a new facet to his character.

In the course of the chapter, I raised Kleinian themes such as projective identification, love, and hate as reflecting the paranoid-schizoid position. The Zohar develops this talmudic idea but adds a deeper dimension, discussing the mental cost of denial and the danger of self-slander and disapproval combined with grandiosity and self-admiration. At the end of the chapter, I claimed that the psychoanalytic term “multiple self,” which was developed in relational theory, might help us answer the question as to why this figure was “converted” by the Zohar to signify a feminine image. Since every generation has added new layers to David’s portrait, sketching him in a new light, he gained different strategies of repair and “repentance.” The sexual transgression which marks his biography and mirrors the seductions of his foremothers, eventually

90, no. 6 (2009), 1341–1357; Dana Amir, *Bearing Witness to the Witness: A Psychoanalytic Perspective on Four Modes of Traumatic Testimony* (London: Routledge, 2018).

62 Sue Grand, *The Hero in the Mirror: From Fear to Fortitude* (London: Routledge, 2009).

63 Thomas Ogden, “On Talking-as-Dreaming,” *International Journal of Psychoanalysis* 88, no. 3 (2007): 575–589.

allows him to break through to a new place, where the feminine and masculine aspects of personality are merged. This genealogical "transformative trauma" of the Judean dynasty helps David to develop a full and more integrated, multifaceted personality.[64]

64 Jean Laplanche, "Seduction, Persecution, Revelation," *International Journal of Psychoanalysis* 76, no. 4 (1995): 663–682. See also the epilogue in Kara-Ivanov Kaniel, *Holiness and Transgression*, 219–252.

CONCLUSION

David as a Case Study in Judeo-Christian Dialogue and Polemics

Through their homilies, the mystics expand on the processes of deification and sublimation in the upper world, which enable David/the *Shekhinah*/the nation to correct and atone for their sins. Atonement and forgiveness are attained thanks to the powers of seduction, adornment, and beauty that exist in the lower world, which attract the love and desire of God. David's psychological oscillation between self-contempt and a sense of chosenness, his inner distress and fear, unite scenes that document the biography of both the people of Israel and the *Shekhinah*. The *Shekhinah* is an outcast—lacking and flawed, yet also spectacular. Sometimes, it even seems that it is *she* who fascinates the mystics while overriding the individual personality of David.

As we have seen, ideas presented in the Zohar had a strong impact on Jewish thought and culture. Although its ideas are firmly based on biblical and rabbinic literature, the Zohar and its circle offered a new understanding of doctrinal concepts in the Jewish world of the Middle Ages.[1] The zoharic revolution in messianic and theological thought is demonstrated in the specific gender reversal of King David. What was the place of Christian–Jewish discourse and interactions in these developments?

Ruth Karras argues that in medieval Christianity "David as a model of masculinity has it both ways: he displays the strong sexual urge and the privilege that permits its immediate gratification, but he also can serve as a model of Christian virtue."[2] I have argued here that in medieval Kabbalah the picture is the opposite. If this different, feminized version of David was innovated by the Zohar, it follows that this shift may be rooted in an anti-Christian polemic. This new gender perception of King David might be understood in different ways: as a rebellion against the Christian concept of messianic redemption and the idea of Original Sin; as the humiliation of a Christian hero by his feminization; or, rather, as the internalization of Christian attitudes by the kabbalists, as happens with the veneration of Mary. It is upon this final possibility that I will now expand.

1 Liebes, *Studies in the Zohar*, 85–138.
2 Karras, "David and Bathsheba," 214.

© RUTH KARA-IVANOV KANIEL, 2021 | DOI:10.1163/9789004462199_007

Peter Schäfer and Art Green see the origin of the figure of the *Shekhinah* in the Bahir, and argue that it was influenced by the cult of Mary that began in the twelfth century.[3] The oppositional attitude stems from the internal Jewish traditions within which biblical, rabbinic, gnostic, philosophical, and mythical motifs were integrated in the figure of the *Shekhinah* in Kabbalah.[4] Mary and her son are central to this dialogue, but the figure of King David, who is portrayed as an archetypal symbol of masculinity in Christian literature, might also be a reason for struggle between the faiths. At the same time, we can find a remarkable parallel between the two figures: just as David is the fourth leg and the image of the *Shekhinah*, finalizing and completing the Divine Chariot, so too Mary serves as the secret completion of the masculine Trinity.

As we have seen, the figure of the *Shekhinah* represents national identity of the Jews, who were deprived of political authority. The fantastic realm of the divine *sefirot* gave them a sense of power and an imaginary kingdom. The recurrent use of the term "*Malkhut*" points to the intimate, and even, at times, erotic relationship between a nation and its God, who are considered to be partners in a sacred covenant. Outwardly oppressed, the Jews were nonetheless able to theurgically influence the divine world. Similarly, the *Shekhinah* is identified in the Zohar with the suffering Messiah, and she too will be purified by the "birth-pangs of redemption" to rise above all the *sefirot* and take her rightful place. This dual status enjoyed by the *Shekhinah* is illuminated through conflation with the parallel biography of King David. The two figures symbolize the "Wandering Jew," in whose mobility lies the key to his power;[5] moreover, both are situated at the "state of nothingness" and perform "rendering kenosis," to use Shaul Magid's terms.[6]

Ellen Davina Haskell claims that the symbols associated with the *Shekhinah*, such as *Malkhut* (kingdom) and *Malkhuta de-Raqia* (kingdom of heaven), reveal a hidden polemic with medieval Christianity. Through these counter-terms the Zohar meant to establish a Jewish alternative "kingdom of heaven" and reclaim the functions of the Messiah as mediator and savior. As she says:

3 See Chapter 3 above, n. 7.

4 See Yehuda Liebes, "Indeed the Shekhinah a Virgin? On the Book of Arthur Green," *Pe'amim* 101–102 (2005): 303–313; Idel, *Kabbalah and Eros*; and Daniel Abrams, "The Condensation of the Symbol 'Shekhinah' in the Manuscripts of the 'Book Bahir,'" *Kabbalah* 16 (2007): 7–82.

5 Pedaya, "And Now We Have No Mother"; Haviva Pedaya, "The Great Mother: The Struggle Between Nahmanides and the Zohar Circle," in *Temps i Espais de la Girona Jueva*, ed. Silvia Planas Marcé (Girona: Patronat Call de Girona, 2011), 311–328.

6 Shaul Magid, *Hasidism Incarnate* (Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2014), 87.

> In Christian interpretation of the New Testament's gospels, the Kingdom of Heaven signifies an exclusively Christian salvific future that comprises a new world of Christian redemption. Jesus holds authority over the Kingdom, and accepting his role as Christ determines who does or does not gain admittance to it. There, the twelve apostles sit on twelve thrones judging the twelve tribes of Israel. Pharisees—and by implication Jews—are barred from this perfected future … By generating a narrative in which the Kingdom of Heaven serves not as an exclusive Christian salvific domain, but rather as a divine manifestation that confirms God's association with the Jewish ancestors, the Kabbalists reclaim the Kingdom of Heaven from the twelve apostles and return it to the twelve tribes of Israel … [the] Zoharic texts provide an effective counterargument to Christian claims about Jewish exclusion from salvation by establishing Israel in a position of holiness and literally "Othering" Christianity, which is associated with Esau, Edom, idolatry, and the forces of evil that the Zohar refers to as the "Other Side" (*sitra aḥra*).[7]

Although both religions justified David's deviant behavior, they used different tactics. In the course of this book, I have shown some differences between Jewish and Christian discourses in their perceptions of David. Yitzhak Baer has argued that the description of David as "the King's Minstrel" in the Zohar (*bediḥa de-malka*) was influenced by the concept of the Lord's jesters (*ioculatores Domini*) as prescribed by Francis of Assisi for his followers.[8] According to Baer, the Zohar appealed to the masses, and was not an esoteric composition meant for a closed circle. However, King David is never presented in the Zohar as an exemplar; as the Messiah, he has unique permission to behave in an antinomian way. Thus, we should not see in the growing identification of the *Shekhinah* with David an indication of ethical guidance for the masses, but rather an esoteric mystical and messianic teaching.

David's centrality to medieval art and his influence on the institutions of dynastic royalty and kingship in developing European religious culture exposed the Kabbalists to overt images that challenged them and their assumptions regarding sin, repentance, and salvation. The phenomenon of a feminized David might also have been influenced by the perception among Christians during the High Middle Ages that Jesus and leaders such as Bernard of Clairvaux were feminine and motherly figures, as well as by the rise of gender

---

7 Haskell, *Mystical Resistance*, pp. 21–23.

8 Yitzhak Baer, *A History of the Jews in Christian Spain* (Philadelphia: Jewish Publication Society, 1971), 269; Matt, v, 124.

reversals and feminine imagery associated with male leaders (e.g., breastfeeding and containing their flocks).[9]

Using the prism of gender, we have seen that both David and the *Shekhinah* display the "power of the powerless," as both their kingdoms are based on subterfuge, intrigue, and trickery.[10] Apparently, it would seem that the *Shekhinah* does not possess "agency." Thus David, appearing in her image, is not liable for his sins. Moreover, as a metaphor for the personal messiah, Davis symbolizes the entire congregation of Jewish *men* (*Knesset Israel*), who are all absolved of guilt along with him.

In the course of this study, I have claimed that in the Middle Ages the kabbalists resisted ideas of asceticism, martyrdom, and suffering, substituting extreme sexuality, the reversal of roles, and gender fluidity. In addition, the Jewish males were accused at this time of being so feminine as to menstruate.[11] Hence, the symbolization of David with the *Shekhinah* might reflect how kabbalists turned these Christian accusations into virtues.[12] However, the identification of David with the *Shekhinah* may also be influenced by the androgynist nature of the mystical experience itself. Thus, it neither indicates

---

9 Caroline Bynum, *Jesus as Mother: Studies in the Spirituality of the High Middle Ages* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1982).

10 See chapter 3, n. 120, above.

11 Alexandra Cuffel, *Gendering Disgust in Medieval Religious Polemic* (Notre Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press, 2007); Irven M. Resnick, *Marks of Distinction: Christian Perceptions of Jews in the High Middle Ages* (Washington, DC: Catholic University of America Press, 2012); Irven M. Resnick, "Medieval Roots of the Myth of Jewish Male Menses," *Harvard Theological Review* 93 (2000): 241–263; Francesca Matteoni, "The Jew, the Blood and the Body in Late Medieval and Early Modern Europe," *Folklore* 1192 (2008): 182–200. See also David Biale, *Blood and Belief: The Circulation of a Symbol between Jews and Christians* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2007), 105–107, n. 87–89 and 98; and Willis Johnson, "The Myth of Jewish Male Menses," *Journal of Medieval History* 24, no. 3 (1998): 272–295.

12 For other examples of turning accusations into virtues, see Yuval, *Two Nations in Your Womb*; Hartley Lachter, *Kabbalistic Revolution: Reimagining Judaism in Medieval Spain* (New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 2014); and Rachel Elior, "Exile and Redemption in Jewish Mystical Thought," *Studies in Spirituality* 14 (2004): 1–15. For Christian influences on medieval Jewish culture and Kabbalah, see Green, "Shekhinah, the Virgin Mary, and the Song of Songs"; and Schäfer, *Mirrors of His Beauty*. For more on David in Judeo-Christian messianic symbolism, see Brooke and Najman, "Dethroning David," 111–128. On the Zohar's anti-Christian attitudes regarding David, I hope to expand on in another place. I find in the homilies on *Michtam leDavid* a polemic against the belief in the *immaculate conception* (since *michtam* alludes to *macula* = stain, fault, damage. See, for example, Zohar 3:233a). For other debates on this subject, see Sharon Koren, "Immaculate Sarah: Echoes of the Eve/Mary Dichotomy in the Zohar," *Viator* 41, no. 2 (2010): 183–201.

a "feminization" of the male Jew nor a "masculinization" of the female divinity. Rather, it demonstrates that the kabbalists' freedom with the notion of identity is a key for understanding the connection between heaven and earth (on the theosophical level) and as a tool of rebellion (on the political level).[13]

The Zohar embraced the dichotomous David (sinner turned saint, hated turned Chosen One, jester turned king, etc.), as presented in the Bible and the Talmud, but enhanced this David in its own image. Through a process of creating "counter history,"[14] the zoharic David underwent a process of transgenderization, as the medieval kabbalists indeed saw themselves as "female" and powerless in the face of their heightened mystical awareness and sensitivity to the unredeemed world in which they lived.[15] Starting from a defensive position, the (male) kabbalists turned the shameful situation into a virtue, passing through an "inward acculturation" (in the words of Ivan Marcus), by identifying themselves with David and the *Shekhinah*.[16] David and the *Shekhinah* represent both the power of the Jewish nation and, at the same time, its vulnerability.

Idel has already shown that the idea of individual redemption already appeared in the medieval ecstatic-prophetic Kabbalah of Abraham Abulafia. My research claimed that these elements are also dominant themes within the zoharic corpus. In addition, I aimed to challenge Scholem's claim that the zoharic kabbalists display a tendency to escape history and move toward "to the primal days of Creation," to the "inward home," to the mysteries of the emanation without any attempt to move forward and deal with national redemption. Following Yehuda Liebes, who emphasized notions of national and historical redemption in the Zohar, I have claimed that David is the other side of Rashbi, the heroic messianic figure at its core.[17] Idel criticized Scholem's traumatic and catastrophic mindset of popular and binary perceptions of redemption, noting that other models of salvation appeared in the Kabbalah of the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries. My aim was to indicate the junctions where these models cannot be read without gender theory, and to build a new model—one which can explain the widespread identification of King David as the Messiah with the *Shekhinah*.

---

13 As Boyarin notes, Christian depictions of Jewish men as feminized are both accurate (by Christian definitions) and mistaken, insofar as they present the situation as a pathology rather than as a feature. Boyarin, *Unheroic Conduct.*

14 Biale, "Counter-History and Jewish Polemics against Christianity."

15 Idel, *Messianic Mystics*; Idel, "Sexual Metaphors and Praxis in the Kabbalah."

16 Ivan G. Marcus, *Rituals of Childhood: Jewish Acculturation in Medieval Europe* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1996).

17 Liebes, "The Messiah of the Zohar."

Scholars have discussed the novelty of the theosophical Zoharic doctrine and its influence on the world of ritual and belief in the Lurianic Kabbalah.[18] Yet there has to be offered a comprehensive study of gender reversal in messianic thought in Sefadian Kabbalah in light of gender and psychoanalytic theory. The suggested reading might place a new emphasis on the concept of the "gendered messiah" as the key for understanding the canonization of the Zohar in sixteenth-century Sefad and later Kabbalah. In addition, Scholem claims that in Hasidism there first appeared the idea of "the destruction of the exile by its spiritualization."[19] By contrast, I suggest that King David represents a symbolic monarchy and spiritualized kingdom, and thus, on some level, the "neutralization of the messianic national element."[20] In the Zohar, David does not yearn for the hereafter, but instead "draws the world to come into this world" (Zohar 3:21a). Through this reading, we recognize the replacement of external and future redemption in favor of internal redemption already in the Zohar. David as Messiah symbolizes the substitution of the actual monarchy for the process of inner salvation gained through sin and repentance, and, ultimately, through gender reversal.

18 Jacob Katz, *Halakhah ve-Kabbalah: Studies in the History of Judaism* (Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1984); Hallamish, *The Kabbalah in Liturgy, Halakhah, and Custom*; Hallamish, *Kabbalistic Customs of Shabbat*; Huss, *Sockets of Fine Gold*; Fine, *Physician of the Soul*; Meroz, "Redemption in the Lurianic Kabbalah"; Elior, "Exile and Redemption in Jewish Mystical Thought."

19 Gershom Scholem, *The Messianic Idea in Judaism and Other Essays on Jewish Spirituality* (New York: Schocken Books. 1971), 195.

20 Scholem, *The Messianic Idea*, 176–202; Scholem, *Major Trends in Jewish Mysticism*, 329; Ron Margolin, *Mikdash Adam—Sanctuary of Man: Religious Internalization and Shaping the Inner Life of Religion* (Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2005).

Epilogue

This book focused on the figure of King David in Jewish mystical literature. In the course of the study, I have claimed that David is depicted as the Messiah due to his many facets that are rooted in the biblical narratives and continue to develop in rabbinic Midrash up to the book of the Zohar and later kabbalistic texts. Each layer of interpretation added new and unique features to the understanding of David's enigmatic portrait and his complex persona.

Speaking of interpretive layers, let us take for example the following well-known midrash: "When David dug the drainage pits (for the altar in the Temple), the Deep (*tehom*, abyss) arose and threatened to submerge the world." To ward off this cataclysm, David wrote the Divine Name upon a slab (of pottery), "cast it into the Deep and it subsided sixteen thousand cubits. When he saw that it had subsided to such a great extent, he said 'The nearer it is to the earth, the better the earth can be kept watered,' and he uttered the fifteen 'Songs of Ascent' and the Deep ascended fifteen thousand cubits and remained one thousand cubits (below the surface)."[1]

This colorful legend presents David's composition of certain Psalms as a key tool for bringing the ever-threatening Chaos and Void into submission. It also links David's actions as forming a union with the feminine archetypal unconscious, especially in the version brought in *Midrash Shemu'el*.[2] As Nathaniel Berman claims, here "David is driven by an erotically charged hubris," while, in the zoharic homilies that are based on this text, the dichotomy between the formed and the formless, slab and abyss, is dissolved in order to reflect the paradoxical relations between the divine and the demonic.[3]

In the same manner, while the midrash sees David as a male figure that successfully dominates through prayer and poetry the feminine Chaos, the opposite is true for the Zohar, wherein he represents also the feminine aspects of the fluid, amorphous, liminal, and flooding Void. In another reading suggested by Berman, the wish to regulate the uncontrolled depth is indeed a

1 b. Sukkah 53a–b; y. Sanhendrin 10:5 [29a]. On this text, see Yehuda Liebes, *The Creative Theory of the Book of Creation* [Hebrew] (Tel Aviv: Schocken Books, 2000), 180–185. Liebes connects the slab (of pottery) with the Foundation Stone (*even ha-shtiya*). See also Haviva Pedaya, "Metamorphoses in the Holy of Holies: From the Margin to the Center," *Jewish Studies* 37 (1997): 53–110.

2 *Midrash Shemuel* 26:2 (ed. Buber, Vilna 1925, 81). According to this tradition, David wishes to dig the pits, which are symbolized by three archetypal virgins (human virgin, virgin of sycamore, and virgin of the earth).

3 Berman, *Divine and Demonic in the Zohar and Kabbalistic Tradition*, 260–270.

© Ruth Kara-Ivanov Kaniel, 2021 | doi:10.1163/9789004462199_008

"tale of violence and counter-violence" in which "phallic 'scribal impress' [is] resisted by the implicitly 'female crevices.'" In the mythical kabbalistic evolution of the midrash, David's desire for sexual conquest (of the Deep) is seen as a "mad," "demonic act," which demonstrates the indispensability of the demonic force, which is "a necessary partner in the process of Creation" and as such necessary to the process of salvation, as is reflected by the "redemptive potential of extreme danger."[4]

Indeed, King David, the controversial hero of the Jewish myth, combines not only opposite roles such as warrior and poet, sinner and penitent, conqueror and adulterer, but also conflicting and contradictory personality traits and even gender duality (i.e., feminine and masculine aspects). He is "soft like a reed and stiff like a cedar" (b. Taanit 20a), cruel but forgiving, harsh and generous, manipulative as well as genuine and truthful. As the Babylonian Talmud says: "Adino the Eznite—When David would sit and occupy himself with Torah, he would make himself soft (*me'aden*) as a worm, and when he would go out to war, he would make himself hard and strong as a tree (*etz*)" (b. Moed Katan 16b).

At the opening of the book, I claimed that the feminine configuration of "King David" plays a major role in exploring the theological, ethical, and cultural kabbalistic revolution, and the mystical writers' attitudes regarding concepts such as sin, repair, and redemption. While exploring images of the "feminine David" in the Zohar in comparison to other kabbalistic traditions, we have learned that the gendered perception of King David indicates a crucial turning point in Jewish thought, one whose influence was not limited to the mystical realm.

The Book of the Zohar, due to its resourcefulness, creativity, and reach of imagination, possesses a unique liberating quality. Heteroglossia and polyphony are two of the main zoharic features that encourage even today's reader to evolve his or her own open reading of the text. The zoharic text was conceived in creative freedom and was not frozen by its promulgation or by its ultimate publication, but remained in flux, sometimes even added to and reworked, often being reread and reinterpreted by active readers who were inspired by its emancipative style.

Ironically, this fluid text could also be read in a suppressive manner by those who approach the now-sacred text with a more rigid state of mind. Limiting interpretations of the text, even stifling ones, were often utilized as instruments of patriarchal oppression against women. Indeed, throughout history certain

4 Pp. 268–269.

commentators have used the Zohar to bolster their agendas, which served to preserve the male hierarchy and the domination of women by men. This was sometimes accomplished by emphasizing the negative mystical attributes that were tied to the image of the *Shekhinah*. An example of this type of application is found in the choice of R. Joseph Karo, who quoted in all his halakhic writings regarding the *nidda*, only one zoharic homily (found in the section *Sabba deMishpatim*), which deals with the menstruate as a demon possessed by Lilith.[5]

In other cases, kabbalistic thinkers quoted the zoharic definition of the *Shekhinah* as one who "has nothing of her own," as if this statement was to be upheld as a model of feminine essentiality, thereby encouraging their own women to mime the "natural" feminine trait of "lacking." In the same manner, we encounter sources that follow a midrashic statement found in the Babylonian Talmud, where God says to the moon—as a symbol of a feminine archetype—"go and diminish yourself" (b. Chulin 60b). These sources, in their desire to celebrate the divinely ordained shrinkage of the status of women, both socially and spiritually, fail to continue to the end of the talmudic discussion, wherein a divine admission of wrongdoing brings God to demand Israel to bring in His stead a monthly sacrifice to atone for his sin against the vindicated and righteous moon.

The task I set before me in this book was to offer a critical reading of hegemonic and patriarchal attitudes in kabbalistic literature, on the one hand, and raise the possibilities of hearing other polyphonic voices that would empower the female and mediating heteroglossic tendencies that are also present in this multivalent literature, on the other hand. I also tried to explore essential definitions of gender and the tropes of femininity and masculinity in general in medieval mystical literature. Multiple perspectives were utilized within this study as tools with which I used to answer the main riddle that stands at the heart of this project: why was King David "converted" by the Zohar to represent the female presence of the *Shekhinah*?

Throughout the chapters of this book, I have suggested different answers to this question, viewing the topic through the lenses of theology, polemics, ethics, and psychoanalysis, as well as applying the methodologies offered by diverse fields of academic inquiry such as literary theories of myth and mysticism, different constructs used in gender studies, interfaith dialogues, and current theories of masculinity and sexuality. Within the closing pages of

5 R. Joseph Karo, *Beit Yosef* (Bi-Vinetsiyah: Nidpas ba-Beit mi Zorzi Diḳavali, 1595), Yoreh Deah, sec. 197. See also Kara-Ivanov Kaniel, "Between Kabbalah, Gender and Law," n. 182. *Beit Yosef* is a commentary on *Arba'ah Turim*.

this book, I want to add that I see the quality of transformation as the crucial device that the kabbalists use in order to outline and design "identity" both in the human and in the divine world. The mystics are defined in the introduction to the Zohar as those who know how to transform bitter into sweet, and this task follows the trajectory of the whole zoharic project. In the Zohar, the process of transformation is specifically highlighted when dealing with questions of messianic redemption and salvation. If "the world to come" is also here and now, as the Zohar repeats, then the Messiah is *the expert* in transforming faults into virtues and brokenness and vulnerability into resilience and repair.

Consequently, the King-Messiah himself can undergo a transformation of gender—from femininity to masculinity and vice versa. Thus, the zoharic paradigm of the "feminized Messiah" can serve as a liberating model or as oppressive one, the choice being given over to the reader to draw his or her own conclusion. I suggest that the Zohar promotes the redemptive, therapeutic, and transformative role for its Messiah by enlisting the persona of David as the biblical model of a sinner turned penitent. The Zohar also builds on the Midrash, where David's mere existence is an example of a miraculous birth, whose preordained and primeval soul undergoes continual rebirth in the form of a "soon to be resurrected" eternal dynasty. Although the Midrash focused on the nature of David's soul and its consequences for the Davidic line, the Zohar superimposed this view of a fluid, eternal, and transmigrated soul on an ideal and androgynous body—and thus added to this picture an ambivalent sexual metamorphosis.

Zoharic ideas, such as the doubling of the feminine and masculine images, according to which each man has two wives—one corporeal and the other divine—and every woman is married to an earthly husband as well as a supernal righteous *tzadik*, reflect the richness of kabbalistic psychology. In the case of Jacob, his two wives can also symbolize the supernal mother and daughter of the sefirotic system.[6] These complexities tend to deepen the transgressional aspect of the relationships within the divine family, and underscore the oedipal desires, incest, polygamy, and other sexual taboos which exist as part and parcel of the sexual dynamics inherent in the supernal, mystical world. Although the kabbalists themselves adhered to strict rules regarding sexual purity, and by and large encouraged only monogamous marriages, their writings reflect their belief in absolute sexual freedoms permitted in the divine

6 See Yehuda Liebes, "Myth vs. Symbol in the Zohar and Lurianic Kabbalah," in *Essential Papers on Kabbalah*, ed. Lawrence Fine (New York: New York University Press, 1995), 212–242.

world, and they express rich (and not in the least suppressed) sexual fantasies. Even if these medieval zoharic mystics lived ascetic lives, as some scholars have suggested, they cultivated fertile ground for phantasmagoric literary creativity, and surpassed in their scope of imagination previous kabbalistic perceptions of the divine *sefirot*.

Indeed, it is not clear whether the kabbalistic image of the divinely empowered *Shekhinah* influenced the treatment of real women during the Middle Ages. These zoharic texts might have served to increase or decrease the gap between reality and a literary fantasy. Some readers might have venerated the ideal of the *Shekhinah* while at the same time devaluing the role of the real wife and mother found in their own home. But others might have made the imaginative leap between the supernal divine female and the real woman they were living with, choosing to elevate their wife's status by appreciating the creative powers endowed upon her by virtue of her link to the *Shekhinah*.

Of course, I do not claim that the Zohar holds an absolute performative attitude in the sense in which Judith Butler defines the performativity and fluidity of gender identities. In fact, the Zohar is more easily read through the prism of French feminist essential gender theories. Nevertheless, the celebratory manner in which the Zohar presents liminal figures and their often-complicated mental states of mind, and in which it clearly derives inspiration from them, allows it to adopt the structure of the "multiplicity of the self." Despite the overt essentiality of this mystical text, above all the Zohar—as compared to other Jewish canonical texts—reflects freedom of gender choice and the flexibility of the soul.

The very existence of a feminine divinity or a goddess that possesses a rich biography is a significant step in a feministrevolution, now beating at the heart of the New Age religious revival. Medieval Kabbalah is surely one of the main sources for helping to formulate an authentic egalitarian position within Judaism. During the sixteenth century in Sefad, Judaism was revolutionized by the kabbalists with their liturgical additions to Jewish ritual, most of these rituals surrounding the female figure of the exiled *Shekhinah* rising from her ashes. To their credit, the Shabbat service in all Jewish communities now includes the singing of Shlomo Alkabetz's poem *Lekhah Dodi* and the adaptation of the night vigil of the *Tikkun Leil Shavuot*, as well as the more obscure kabbalistic midnight vigil *Tikkun Hatzot*, to name but a few of their innovations. In this vein, in the last few decades we have seen a proliferation of new rituals also connected to the figure of the *Shekhinah*, such as the composing of new liturgical poems, specifically women's prayers, and their performance in women's prayer groups and egalitarian prayer services. There has been considerable

artistic attention given to the practice of the monthly emersion in the *mikveh* (ritual bath), as well as a movement to raise consciousness concerning the details of this female religious rite. On the darker side, we can add a growing literary and psychological interest in the myths devoted to the dangerous female, namely, Lilith. If we are witnessing now what some might consider to be a "New Age of the *Shekhinah*," we should take pause and reflect that it was the men of the medieval zoharic circle who were the first to develop this female persona in all her mythic splendor.

Bibliography

Abram, Jan. *The Language of Winnicott: A Dictionary of Winnicott's Use of Words*. Edited by Galia A. Mizrahi. Translated by Orah Zilberstein. Tel Aviv: Tolaʿat Sefarim, 2019 [Hebrew].

Abrams, Daniel, ed. *The Book Bahir: An Edition Based on the Earliest Manuscripts*. Los Angeles: Cherub Press, 1994 [Hebrew].

Abrams, Daniel. "The Shekhinah Prays Before God: A New Text Toward the Theosophic Orientation of the German Pietists and Their Method for the Transmission of Esoteric Doctrines," *Tarbiẓ* 63, (1994): 509–533 [Hebrew].

Abrams, Daniel, ed. *R. Asher ben David: His Complete Works and Studies in His Kabbalistic Thought*. Los Angeles: Cherub Press, 1996.

Abrams, Daniel. "Knowing the Maiden without Eyes: Reading the Sexual Reconstruction of the Jewish Mystic in a Zoharic Parable." *Daat: A Journal of Jewish Philosophy & Kabbalah* 50–52 (2003): 54–83.

Abrams, Daniel. *The Female Body of God in Kabbalistic Literature: Embodied Forms of Love and Sexuality in the Divine Feminine*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2004 [Hebrew].

Abrams, Daniel. "The Virgin Mary as the Moon that Lacks the Sun: A Zoharic Polemic against the Veneration of Mary." *Kabbalah* 21 (2010): 7–56.

Abramson, Shraga. *Issues in Geonic Literature*. Jerusalem: Mosad HaRav Kook, 1974 [Hebrew].

Afterman, Adam. *Devequt: Mystical Intimacy in Medieval Jewish Thought*. Los Angeles: Cherub Press, 2011 [Hebrew].

Afterman, Adam. *And They Shall Be One Flesh: On the Language of Mystical Union in Judaism*. Leiden: Brill, 2016.

Albeck, Chanoch, and Theodor, Julius, eds. *Midrash Bereshit Rabbah*. 2nd ed. Jerusalem: Shalem Books, 1996.

Alter, Robert. *The Art of Biblical Narrative*. New York: Basic Books, 1981.

Alter, Robert. *The David Story: A Translation with Commentary of Samuel 1–2*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company, 1999.

Amir, Dana. *Bearing Witness to the Witness: A Psychoanalytic Perspective on Four Modes of Traumatic Testimony*. London: Routledge, 2018.

Angelino, Joseph. *Livnat ha-Sapir: Perush Midrash ha-Neʿelam ve-Toseftaʾ le-Sefer ha-Zohar*. Wertheimer ed. Jerusalem: Ezriel, 1913.

Aron, Lewis. "The Internalized Primal Scene." *Psychoanalytic Dialogues* 5 (1995): 195–237.

Aron, Lewis. "Analytic Impasse and the Third: Clinical Implications of Intersubjectivity Theory." *International Journal of Psychoanalysis* 87 (2006): 349–368.

Asher ben David. "Commentary to the Thirteen Attributes." In *R. Asher ben David: His Complete Works and Studies in his Kabbalistic Thought*. Edited by Daniel Abrams, 51–82. Los Angeles: Cherub Press, 1996 [Hebrew].

Ashley, Kathleen M. "Interrogating Biblical Deception and Trickster Theories: Narratives of Patriarchy or Possibility?" *Semeia: An Experimental Journal for Biblical Criticism* 42 (1988): 103–116.

Asulin, Shifra. "The Stature of the Shekhinah: The Place of the Feminine Divine Countenance (Parzuf) in Idra Rabbah and Idra Zuta." In *Spiritual Authority: Struggles over Cultural Power in Jewish Thought*. Edited by Howard Kreisel, Boaz Huss, and Uri Ehrlich, 103–182. Beer Sheva: Ben-Gurion University of the Negev Press, 2009 [Hebrew].

Asulin, Shifra. "The Flaw and Its Correction: Impurity, The Moon and the Shekhinah: A Broad Inquiry into Zohar 3:79 (Aharei Mot)." *Kabbalah* 22 (2010): 193–251 [Hebrew].

Avivi, Yosef. *Kabbalat HaAri*. Jerusalem: Ben-Zvi Institute, 2008.

Azriel of Gerona. *Commentary on Talmudic Aggadoth*. Edited by Isaiah Tishby. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1982 [Hebrew].

Baden, Joel. *The Historical David: The Real Life of an Invented Hero*. New York: HarperCollins, 2013.

Baer, Yitzchak. *A History of the Jews in Christian Spain*. Philadelphia: Jewish Publication Society, 1971.

Baker, Cynthia M. *Rebuilding the House of Israel: Architecture of Gender in Jewish Antiquity*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2002.

Bakhos, Carol, ed. *Current Trends in the Study of Midrash*. Leiden: Brill, 2006.

Bal, Mieke. *Lethal Love: Feminist Literary Readings of Biblical Love Stories*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1987.

Baras, Zvi, ed. *Messianism and Eschatology: A Collection of Essays*. Jerusalem: Zalman Shazar Center, 1983.

Bar-Asher, Avishai. "Penance and Fasting in the Writings of Rabbi Moshe de León and the Zoharic Polemic with Contemporary Christian Monasticism." *Kabbalah* 25 (2011): 293–319 [Hebrew].

Bar-Asher, Avishai. "Sefer ha-Ne'elam, New Parts of Sefer Or Zaru'a and Clarifications Regarding the Early Writings of R. Moses de León: Studies and Critical Editions." *Tarbiz* 83 (2015): 197–329 [Hebrew].

Bar-Asher, Avishai. *Journeys of the Soul: Concepts and Imageries of Paradise in Medieval Kabbalah*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2019 [Hebrew].

Bar-Asher, Moshe, Liebes, Yehuda, Assis, Moshe, and Kaplan, Yosef, eds. *Meir Benayahu Memorial Volume* 2 vols. Jerusalem: Carmel Press, 2019 [Hebrew].

Barnai, Jacob. Two Works about Shabbatai Zevi. Jerusalem: Carmel Press, 2017 [Hebrew].

Bar Sheshet, Jacob. "Ha-'Emuna ve-ha-Bitahon." In *Kitvei RaMBaN*. Edited by Hayyim D. Chavel, vol. II, 353–448. Jerusalem: Mosad HaRav Kook, 1964.

Bauckham, Richard, Davila, James, and Panayotov, Alex. *Old Testament Pseudepigrapha: More Noncanonical Scriptures*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2013.

Baumgarten, Elisheva. "Marking the Flesh: Circumcision, Blood and Inscribing Identity on the Body in Medieval Jewish Culture." *Micrologus* 13 (2005): 313–330.

Benarroch, Jonatan. *Sabba and Yanuka: God, the Son, and the Messiah in Zoharic Narratives*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2018 [Hebrew].

Benayahu, Meir, ed. *Toledot ha-Ari*. Jerusalem: Ben-Zvi Institute, 1967.

Benjamin, Jessica. "Beyond Doer and Done to: An Intersubjective View of Thirdness." *Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 73 (2004): 5–46.

Berman, Nathaniel. *Divine and Demonic in the Zohar and Kabbalistic Tradition: The "Other Side" of Kabbalah*. Leiden: Brill, 2018.

Biale, David. *Eros and the Jews: From Biblical Israel to Contemporary America*. New York: Basic Books, 1992.

Biale, David. *Blood and Belief: The Circulation of a Symbol between Jews and Christians*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2007.

Bion, Wilfred. *Learning from Experience*. London: Tavistock Publications, 1962.

Bion, Wilfred. *Second Thoughts: Selected Papers on Psychoanalysis*. London: William Heinemann Medical Books, 1967.

Blidstein, Gerald, Yassif, Eli, and Salmon, Yosef, eds. *Eshel Beer Sheva III*. Beer Sheva: Ben-Gurion University of the Negev Press, 1986.

Block, Daniel. "My Servant David: Ancient Israel's Vision of the Messiah." In *Israel's Messiah in the Bible and the Dead Sea Scrolls*. Edited by Richard S. Hess and M. D. Carroll, 17–56. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 2003.

Bloom, Harold. *The Book of J*. Translated by David Rosenberg. New York: Grove Press, 1990.

Bloom, Harold. *The Anxiety of Influence: A Theory of Poetry*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1997.

Bohak, Gideon. *Ancient Jewish Magic: A History*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008.

Bollas, Christopher. *The Shadow of the Object*. London: Free Association Books, 1987.

Bollas, Christopher. *Forces of Destiny: Psychoanalysis and Human Idiom*. London: Free Association Books, 1989.

Botterweck, G. Johannes, Ringgren, Helmer, and Fabry, Heinz-Josef, eds. *Theological Dictionary of the Old Testament*. Cambridge: Eerdmans, 2003.

Boustan, Ra'anan S., Herrmann Klaus, Leicht, Reimund, Reed, Annette Y., and Veltri, Giuseppe, eds. *Envisioning Judaism: Studies in Honor of Peter Schäfer on the Occasion of his Seventieth Birthday* 2 vols. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2013.

Boyarin, Daniel. *Carnal Israel: Reading Sex in Talmudic Culture*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1993.

Boyarin, Daniel. *Unheroic Conduct: The Rise of Heterosexuality and the Invention of the Jewish Man*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1997.

Boyarin, Daniel. *Dying for God: Martyrdom and the Making of Christianity and Judaism*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 1999.

Brenner, Athalya, ed. *A Feminist Companion to Samuel and Kings*. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1994.

Bromberg, Philip M. "Shadow and Substance: A Relational Perspective on Clinical Process." *Psychoanalytic Psychology* 10, no. 2 (1993): 147–168.

Bromberg, Philip M. "Standing in the Spaces: The Multiplicity of Self and The Psychoanalytic Relationship." *Contemporary Psychoanalysis* 32 (1996): 509–535.

Brooke, George J., and Feldman, Ariel, eds. *On Prophets, Warriors, and Kings: Former Prophets through the Eyes of Their Interpreters*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2016.

Brooke, George J., and Najman, Hindy. "Dethroning David and Enthroning Messiah: Jewish and Christian Perspectives." In *On Prophets, Warriors, and Kings: Former Prophets through the Eyes of Their Interpreters*. Edited by George J. Brooke and Ariel Feldman, 111–128. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2016.

Brown, Benjamin, Lorberbaum, Menachem, Rosenak, Avinoam, and Stern, Yedidia Z., eds. *Religion and Politics in Jewish Thought: Essays in Honor of Aviezer Ravitzky* 2 vols. Jerusalem: Israel Democracy Institute, 2012.

Brown, Jeremy P. "Distilling Depths from Darkness: Forgiveness and Repentance in Medieval Iberian Jewish Mysticism (12th–13th Century)." PhD Diss., New York University, 2015.

Brown, Raymond E. *The Birth of the Messiah: A Commentary on the Infancy Narratives in the Gospels of Matthew and Luke*. Garden City, NY: Doubleday, 1977.

Brueggemann, Walter. *David's Truth in Israel's Imagination and Memory*. Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1985.

Butler, Judith. "Performative Acts and Gender Constitution: An Essay in Phenomenology and Feminist Theory." *Theatre Journal* 40, no. 4 (1988): 519–531.

Butler, Judith. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. New York: Routledge, 1990.

Bynum, Caroline Walker. *Jesus as Mother: Studies in the Spirituality of the High Middle Ages*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1982.

Bynum, Caroline Walker. *Fragmentation and Redemption: Essays on Gender and the Human Body in Medieval Religion*. New York: Zone Books, 1991.

Camp, Claudia V. *Wise, Strange, and Holy: The Strange Woman and the Making of the Bible*. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 2000.

Campbell, Joseph. *The Hero with a Thousand Faces*. Cleveland: World Publishing Company, 1956.

Carlebach, Elisheva. *The Pursuit of Heresy: Rabbi Moses Hagiz and the Sabbatian Controversies*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1994.

Carlson, Rolf August. *David, the Chosen King: A Traditio-Historical Approach to the Second Book of Samuel*. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell, 1964.

Chajes, Jeffrey H. "In a Different Voice: The Non-Kabbalistic Women's Mysticism of Early Modern Jewish Culture." *Zion* 67, no. 2 (2002): 139–162 [Hebrew].

Chajes, Jeffrey H. *Between Worlds: Dybbuks, Exorcists, and Early Modern Judaism*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2003.

Chavel, Hayyim D., ed. *Kitvei RaMBaN*. Jerusalem: Mosad HaRav Kook, 1964.

Chaze, Micheline. "De l'identification des Patriarches au Char Divi: Recherche du Sens d'un enseignement rabbinique dans le Midrash et dans la Kabbale prézoharique et ses sources." *Revue des Études Juives* 149 (1990): 5–75.

Chodorow, Nancy J. *Feminism and Psychoanalytic Theory*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1989.

Ciucu, Cristina. "Messie au feminin: Mystique juive et messianisme aux XVIIe et XVIIe siecles." *Clio, Femme, genre, histoire* 44 (2016): 63–93.

Cixous, Hélène, and Clément, Catherine. *The Newly Born Woman*. Translated by Betsy Wing. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1986.

Cohen, Jonathan. "The Traditions of Jesus' Birth against the Background of the Birth Stories in the Bible and Jewish Tradition." PhD diss., Hebrew University of Jerusalem, 1989 [Hebrew].

Cohen, Shaye J. D., ed. *The Synoptic Problem in Rabbinic Literature*. Providence. RI: Brown University Press, 2000.

Cole, Peter, and Dykman, Aminadav, eds. *The Poetry of Kabbalah: Mystical Verse from the Jewish Tradition*. Translated by Peter Cole. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2012.

Connell, Raewyn. *Masculinities*. Cambridge: Polity, 2005.

Connell, Raewyn, and Messerschmidt, James. "Hegemonic Masculinity: Rethinking the Concept." *Gender and Society* 19, no. 6 (2005): 829–859.

Cooper, Alan M. "The Life and Times of King David according to the Book of Psalms." In *The Poet and the Historian: Essays in Literary and Historical Biblical Criticism*. Edited by Richard E. Friedman, 117–131. Chico, CA: Scholars Press, 1983.

Cuffel, Alexandra. *Gendering Disgust in Medieval Religious Polemic*. Notre Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press, 2007.

Dan, Joseph. "Rabbi Judah the Pious and Caesarius of Hiesterbach." *Scripta Hierosolymitana* 22 (1971): 18–27.

Dan, Joseph. *Jewish Mysticism: The Modern Period*. Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson, 1999.

Diamond, James A. "King David of the Sages: Rabbinic Rehabilitation or Ironic Parody?" *Prooftexts* 27, no. 3 (2007): 373–426.

Dimen, Muriel. "Deconstructing Difference: Gender, Splitting, and Transitional Space." *Psychoanalytic Dialogues* 1 (1991): 335–352.

Doniger, Wendy. *The Bedtrick: Tales of Sex and Masquerade*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2000.

Dundes, Alan. "The Hero Pattern and the Life of Jesus." In *In Quest of the Hero*. Edited by Robert A. Segal, 179–223. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1990.

Elior, Rachel. "Jacob Frank and His Book *The Sayings of the Lord*: Religious Anarchism as a Restoration of Myth and Metaphor." *Jerusalem Studies in Jewish Thought* 17 (2000): 471–548.

Elior, Rachel. "Exile and Redemption in Jewish Mystical Thought." *Studies in Spirituality* 14 (2004): 1–15.

Elliott, Dyan. *Spiritual Marriage: Sexual Abstinence in Medieval Wedlock*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1993.

Elliot, Dyan. *Fallen Bodies: Pollution, Sexuality, and Demonology in the Middle Ages*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1999.

Elqayam, Abraham. "The Mystery of Faith in the Writings of Nathan of Gaza." PhD Diss., Hebrew University of Jerusalem, 1993 [Hebrew].

Elqayam, Abraham. "To Know Messiah: The Dialectics of Sexual Discourse in the Messianic Thought of Nathan of Gaza." *Tarbiẓ* 65, no. 4 (1996): 637–670 [Hebrew].

Elqayam, Avi. "The Burden of Silence: Queen Esther as a Messianic Prototype of Fluid Identity in Sabbatean Mythopoesis." In *Conceal the Outcasts: Jews with Hidden Identities*. Edited by Avi Elqayam and Yosef Kaplan, 173–250. Jerusalem: Ben-Zvi Institute, 2016 [Hebrew].

Elqayam, Avi, and Kaplan, Yosef, eds. *Conceal the Outcasts: Jews with Hidden Identities*. Jerusalem: Ben-Zvi Institute, 2016.

Epstein, Julia, and Straub, Kristina, eds. *Body Guards: The Cultural Politics of Gender Ambiguity*. New York: Routledge, 1991.

Evans, Craig A., ed. *Of Scribes and Sages: Early Jewish Interpretation and Transmission of Scripture*, Vol. 2. London: Bloomsbury, 2004.

Even-Shmuel, Yehuda, ed. *Midrashei Geula: Chapters of Jewish Apocalypse Dating from the Completion of the Babylonian Talmud until the Sixth Millennium*. Jerusalem: Bialik Institute, 1953.

Felix, Iris. "Theurgy, Magic and Mysticism in the Kabbalah of R. Joseph of Shushan." PhD diss., Hebrew University of Jerusalem, 2005.

Ferenczi, Sándor. "Confusion of the Tongues between the Adults and the Child: The Language of Tenderness and of Passion." *International Journal of Psychoanalysis* 30 (1949): 225–230.

Ferziger, Adam S. "Feminism and Heresy: The Construction of a Jewish Metanarrative." *Journal of the American Academy of Religion* 77, no. 3 (2009): 494–546.

Ferziger, Adam S. "Feminism, Heresy, and the Boundaries of American Orthodox Judaism." In *A New Spirit in the Palace of Torah: Jubilee Volume in Honor of Professor Tamar Ross on the Occasion of Her Eightieth Birthday*. Edited by Dov Schwartz and Ronit Irshai, 327–372. Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University of the Negev Press, 2018 [Hebrew].

Fine, Lawrence, ed. *Judaism in Practice: From the Middle Ages through the Early Modern Period*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2001.

Fine, Lawrence. *Physician of the Soul, Healer of the Cosmos: Isaac Luria and His Kabbalistic Fellowship*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2003.

Finney, Paul Corby. "Orpheus-David: A Connection in Iconography between Greco-Roman Judaism and Early Christianity?" *Journal of Jewish Art* 5 (1978): 6–15.

Fishbane, Eitan P. *As Light before Dawn: The Inner World of a Medieval Kabbalist*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2009.

Fishbane, Eitan P. "The Scent of the Rose: Drama, Fiction, and Narrative Form in the Zohar." *Prooftexts* 29, no. 3 (2009): 324–361.

Fishbane, Eitan P. *The Art of Mystical Narrative: A Poetics of the Zohar.* Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018.

Fishbane, Michael. "Midrash and Messianism: Some Theologies of Suffering and Salvation." In *Toward the Millennium: Messianic Expectations from the Bible to Waco*. Edited by Peter Schäfer and Mark R. Cohen, 57–71. Leiden: Brill, 1998.

Fleischer, Ezra, Blidstein, Gerald, Horowitz, Carmi, and Septimus, Bernard, eds. *Me'ah She'arim: Studies in Medieval Jewish Spiritual Life in Memory of Isadore Twersky*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2001.

Flint, Peter W., and Miller, Jr., Patrick D., eds. *The Book of Psalms: Composition and Reception*. Leiden: Brill, 2005.

Flusser, David. "The Reflection of Jewish Messianic Beliefs in Early Christianity." In *Messianism and Eschatology: A Collection of Essays*. Edited by Zvi Baras, 103–134. Jerusalem: Zalman Shazar Center, 1983 [Hebrew].

Flusser, David. *Judaism and the Origins of Christianity*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1988.

Flusser, David. *Jesus*. With the assistance of R. S. Notley. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2001.

Fonrobert, Charlotte E. *Menstrual Purity: Rabbinic and Christian Reconstruction of Biblical Gender*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2000.

Fonrobert, Charlotte E. "The Handmaid, the Trickster and the Birth of the Messiah." In *Current Trends in the Study of Midrash*. Edited by Carol Bakhos, 245–273. Leiden: Brill, 2006.

Freud, Sigmund. "Three Essays on the Theory of Sexuality." Leipzig: Franz Deutke, 1905.

Freud, Sigmund. *The Interpretation of Dreams*. Edited by James Strachey. London: Penguin Books, 1913.

Freud, Sigmund. *Family Romances*. London: Hogarth, 1953.

Freud, Sigmund. *The Uncanny*. London: Hogarth, 1953.

Freud, Sigmund. *Moses and Monotheism*. London: Hogarth, 1964.

Friedman, Richard Elliott, ed. *The Poet and the Historian: Essays in Literary and Historical Biblical Criticism*. Chico, CA: Scholars Press, 1983.

Gafni, Isaiah. "Rabbinic Historiography and Representations of the Past." In *The Cambridge Companion to the Talmud and Rabbinic Literature*. Edited by Martin S. Jaffee and Charlotte E. Fonrobert, 295–312. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.

Garb, Jonathan. *Manifestation of Power in Jewish Mysticism*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2004 [Hebrew].

Garb, Jonathan. "Gender and Power in Kabbalah: A Theoretical Investigation." *Kabbalah* 13 (2005): 79–107.

Garsiel, Moshe. *The Kingdom of David: Studies in History and Inquiries in Historiography*. Tel Aviv: Don Publishing, 1975 [Hebrew].

Garsiel, Moshe, Vargon, Shmuel, Frisch, Amos, and Kugel, Jacob, eds. *Studies in Bible and Exegesis: Presented to Uriel Simon V*. Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University Press, 2000.

Gerson, Samuel. "When the Third Is Dead: Memory, Mourning, and Witnessing in the Aftermath of the Holocaust." *International Journal of Psychoanalysis* 90, no. 6 (2009): 1341–1357.

Gerster, Daniel, and Krüggeler, Michael, eds. *God's Own Gender? Masculinities in World Religions*. Würzburg: Ergon Verlag, 2018.

Gikatilla, Joseph. *Gates of Light*. Edited by Yosef Ben Shlomo. 2 vols. Jerusalem: Bialik Institute, 1981 [Hebrew].

Gikatilla, Joseph. "The Secret of the Marriage of David and Bathsheba: Text and Annotated Translation." In *Sex of the Soul: The Vicissitudes of Sexual Difference in Kabbalah*. Edited by Charles Mopsik, 171–194. Los Angeles: Cherub Press, 2005.

Giller, Pinchas. *Reading the Zohar: The Sacred Text of the Kabbalah*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001.

Gilligan, Carol. *In a Different Voice: Psychological Theory and Women's Development*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1982.

Gillingham, Susan, ed. *Jewish and Christian Approaches to the Psalms: Conflict and Convergence*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013.

Ginzberg, Louis. *Midrash and Haggadah*. New York: Jewish Theological Seminary of America, 1928 [Hebrew].

Ginzberg, Louis. *The Legends of the Jews*. Philadelphia: Jewish Publication Society, 2003.

Goiten, Shelomo D. "A Report on Messianic Troubles in Baghdad in 1120–21." *The Jewish Quarterly Review* 43, no. 1 (1952): 57–76.

Goldish, Matt, ed. *Spirit Possession in Judaism: Cases and Contexts from the Middle Ages to the Present*. Detroit: Wayne State University Press, 2003.

Goldish, Matt. "The Salvation of Jesus and Jewish Messiahs," *Jesus among the Jews: Representation and Thought*, ed. Netta Stahl, 106–118. Oxford: Routledge, 2012.

Goldreich, Amos. "Sefer Me'irat ʿEinayim by R. Isaac of Acre: A Critical Edition." PhD diss., Hebrew University of Jerusalem, 1982 [Hebrew].

Goldreich, Amos. "The Mystical Self-Image of the Author of Tiqqunei ha-Zohar." In *Massu'ot: Studies in Kabbalistic Literature and Jewish Philosophy in Memory of Prof. Ephraim Gottlieb*. Edited by Michal Oron and Amos Goldreich, 459–496. Jerusalem: Bialik Institute, 1994 [Hebrew].

Goodblatt, David. *The Monarchic Principle: Studies in Jewish Self-Government in Antiquity*. Tübingen: J. C. B. Mohr, 1994.

Gottlober, Abraham Baer. *Memoirs and Travels*. Dorot. 2 vols. Jerusalem: Bialik Institute, 1976 [Hebrew].

Grand, Sue. *The Hero in the Mirror: From Fear to Fortitude*. London: Routledge, 2009.

Green, André. *On Private Madness*. London: International Universities Press, 1986.

Green, Arthur. *Keter: The Crown of God in Early Jewish Mysticism*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1997.

Green, Arthur. "Shekhinah, the Virgin Mary, and the Song of Songs: Reflections on a Kabbalistic Symbol in Its Historical Context." *AJS Review* 26, no. 1 (2002): 1–52.

Green, Arthur. *A Guide to the Zohar*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2004.

Greenspahn, Frederick E., ed. *Jewish Mysticism and Kabbalah: New Insights and Scholarship*. Albany: State University of New York Press, 2011.

Grossman, Avraham. "David—The Loathsome and the Repulsive: A Controversial Midrash of the Middle Ages?" In *Studies in Bible and Exegesis: Presented to Uriel Simon*. Edited by Moshe Garsiel, Shmuel Vargon, Amos Frisch, and Jacob Kugel, 341–349. Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University Press, 2000 [Hebrew].

Gruber, Mayer I. "The Motherhood of God in Second Isaiah." *Revue Biblique* 90, no. 3 (1983): 351–359.

Gurevich, Hayuta. "The Language of Absence and the Language of Tenderness: Therapeutic Transformation of Early Psychic Trauma and Dissociation as Resolution of the 'Identification with the Aggressor.'" *Fort Da: The Journal of the Northern California Society for Psychoanalytic Psychology* 21, no. 1 (2015): 45–65.

Halbertal, Moshe. *By Way of Truth: Nahmanides and the Creation of Tradition*. Jerusalem: Hartman Institute, 2006 [Hebrew].

Halbertal, Moshe, and Holmes, Stephen. *The Beginning of Politics: Power in the Biblical Book of Samuel*, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2017.

Halbertal, Moshe, and Margalit, Avishai. *Idolatry*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1992.

Halbertal, Moshe, and Naeh, Shlomo. "Maʿayynei ha-Yeshuʿah: Interpretative Satire and the Rebuke of the Heretics." In *Higayon L'Yona: New Aspects in the Study of Midrash, Aggadah and Piyut in Honor of Professor Yona Fraenkel*. Edited by Joshua

Levinson, Jacob Elbaum, and Galit Hasan-Rokem, 179–197. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2007 [Hebrew].

Hallamish, Moshe. *The Kabbalah in Liturgy, Halakhah, and Custom*. Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University Press, 2000 [Hebrew].

Hallamish, Moshe. *Kabbalistic Customs of Shabbat*. Jerusalem: Orḥot Press, 2006 [Hebrew].

Halperin, David M., Winkler, John J., and Zeitlin, Froma I., eds. *Before Sexuality: The Construction of Erotic Experience in the Ancient Greek World*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1990.

Halpern, Baruch. *David's Secret Demons: Messiah, Murderer, Traitor, King*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2001.

Hames, Harvey J. *The Art of Conversion: Christianity and Kabbalah in the Thirteenth Century*. Leiden: Brill, 2000.

Hasan-Rokem, Galit. *Web of Life: Folklore and Midrash in Rabbinic Literature*. Translated by Batya Stein. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2000.

Haskell, Ellen Davina. *Suckling at My Mother's Breasts: The Image of a Nursing God in Jewish Mysticism*. New York: New York University Press, 2012.

Haskell, Ellen Davina. *Mystical Resistance: Uncovering the Zohar's Conversations with Christianity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2016.

Hecker, Joel. *Mystical Bodies Mystical Meals: Eating and Embodiment in Medieval Kabbalah*. Detroit: Wayne State University Press, 2005.

Heinemann, Joseph. *Iyyunei Tefillah*. Edited by Avigdor Shinan. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1981.

Heinemann, Joseph. "The Blessing for the Rebuilding of Jerusalem." In *Iyyunei Tefillah*. Edited by Avigdor Shinan, 93–101. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1981.

Heinemann, Joseph, and Noy, Dov, eds. *Studies in Aggadah and Folk-Literature*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1971.

Heller-Wilensky, Sarah, and Idel, Moshe, eds. *Studies in Jewish Thought*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1989.

Hellner-Eshed, Melila. *A River Flows from Eden: The Language of Mystical Experience in the Zohar*. Translated by Nathan Wolski. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2009.

Hellner-Eshed, Melila. "The Zealot of the Covenant and the Ecstatic Elijah and Habakkuk in the Zohar: On the Masculine and Feminine in the Human Psyche." *Kabbalah* 22 (2010): 149–92 [Hebrew].

Hengel, Martin. *The Son of God: The Origin of Christology and the History of Jewish-Hellenistic Religion*. Translated by John Bowden. Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1976.

Hess, Richard S., and Carroll, M. Daniel, eds. *Israel's Messiah in the Bible and the Dead Sea Scrolls*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 2003.

Hirschfelder, Ulrike. "The Liturgy of the Messiah: The Apocalypse of David in Hekhalot Literature." *Jewish Studies Quarterly* 12, no. 2 (2005): 148–193.

Huss, Boaz. *Sockets of Fine Gold: The Kabbalah of Rabi Shim'on Ibn Lavi*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2000 [Hebrew].

Huss, Boaz. *Like the Radiance of the Sky: Chapters in the Reception History of the Zohar and the Construction of Its Symbolic Value*. Jerusalem: Bialik Institute, 2008 [Hebrew].

Huss, Boaz. *The Question about the Existence of Jewish Mysticism: The Genealogy of Jewish Mysticism and the Theologies of Kabbalah Research*. Jerusalem: Van Leer Institute Press, 2016 [Hebrew].

Huss, Boaz. "'The Pleasant Tree Ben Yishai Lives on the Earth': On the Sabbatean Origins of the Tu BiShvat Seder." In *Meir Benayahu Memorial Volume*. Edited by Moshe Bar-Asher, Yehuda Liebes, Moshe Assis, and Yosef Kaplan, 909–920. Jerusalem: Carmel Press, 2019 [Hebrew].

Idel, Moshe. "Patterns of Redemptive Activity in the Middle Ages." In *Messianism and Eschatology: A Collection of Essays*. Edited by Zvi Baras, 253–279. Jerusalem: Zalman Shazar Center, 1983 [Hebrew].

Idel, Moshe. *Kabbalah: New Perspectives*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1988.

Idel, Moshe. "Sexual Metaphors and Praxis in the Kabbalah." In *The Jewish Family: Metaphor and Memory*. Edited by David Kraemer, 197–224. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1989.

Idel, Moshe. "Kabbalah and Elites in Thirteenth-Century Spain." *Mediterranean Historical Review* 9, no. 1 (1994): 5–19.

Idel, Moshe. *Messianic Mystics*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1998.

Idel, Moshe. "The Kabbalah's 'Window of Opportunities,' 1270–1290." In *Me'ah She'arim: Studies in Medieval Jewish Spiritual Life in Memory of Isadore Twersky*. Edited by Ezra Fleischer, Gerald Blidstein, Carmi Horowitz, and Bernard Septimus, 171–208. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2001.

Idel, Moshe. "Androgyny and Equality in the Theosophico-Theurgical Kabbalah." *Diogenes* 52, no. 4 (2005): 27–38.

Idel, Moshe. *Ascensions on High in Jewish Mysticism: Pillars, Lines, Ladders*. Budapest: Central European University Press, 2005.

Idel, Moshe. *Kabbalah and Eros*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2005.

Idel, Moshe. *Nocturnal Kabbalists* (*Mekubalim shel Laila*). Jerusalem: Carmel Press, 2006.

Idel, Moshe. "The Secret of Impregnation as Metempsychosis in Kabbalah." In *Verwandlungen. Archäologie der literarischen Kommunikation IX*. Edited by Aleida Assmann and Jan Assmann, 341–379. Munich: Wilhelm Fink, 2006.

Idel, Moshe. *Ben: Sonship and Jewish Mysticism*. London: Continuum, 2007.

Idel, Moshe. *The Angelic World: Apotheosis and Theophany*. Tel Aviv: Idiot, 2008.

Idel, Moshe. “On Jerusalem as a Feminine and Sexual Hypostasis: From Late Antiquity Sources to Medieval Kabbalah.” In *Memory, Humanity, and Meaning: Selected Essays in Honor of Andrei Pleșu’s Sixtieth Anniversary*. Edited by Mihail Neamțu and Bogdan Tătaru-Cazaban, 65–110. Bucharest: Zeta Books, 2009.

Idel, Moshe. “R. Nehemia ben Shlomo the Prophet on the Star of David and the Name Taftafia: From Jewish Magic to Practical and Theoretical Kabbalah.” In *Ta Shma: Studies in Judaica in Memory of Israel M. Ta-Shm*, Vol. 1. Edited by Avraham Reiner, Joseph R. Hacker, Moshe Halbertal, Moshe Idel, Ephraim Kanarfogel, and Elchanan Reiner, 1–76. Alon Shevut: Tevunot Press, 2011 [Hebrew].

Idel, Moshe. “The Triple Family: Sources for the Feminine Perception of Deity in Early Kabbalah” (Hebrew). In *Tov Elem, Memory, Community and Gender in Medieval and Early Modern Jewish Societies: Essays in Honor of Robert Bonfil*. Edited by Elisheva Baumgarten, Amnon Raz-Krakotzkin, and Roni Weinstein, 91–110. Jerusalem, Mosad Bialik, 2011.

Idel, Moshe. “Commentaries on the ‘Secret of Ibbur’ in Thirteenth-Century Kabbalah and Their Significance for the Understanding of the Kabbalah at Its Inception and Its Development.” *Daat: A Journal of Jewish Philosophy & Kabbalah* 72 (2012): 5–44 [Hebrew].

Idel, Moshe. “The Divine Female and the Mystique of the Moon: Three-Phases Gender-Theory in Theosophical Kabbalah.” *Archaeus* 19–20 (2015): 151–182.

Idel, Moshe. *The Privileged Divine Feminine in Kabbalah*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2019.

Irigaray, Luce. *Speculum of the Other Woman*. Translated by Gillian Gill. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1985.

Irigaray, Luce. *This Sex Which Is Not One*. Translated by Catherine Porter and Carolyn Burke. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1985.

Irigaray, Luce. *Je, Tu, Nous: Towards a Culture of Difference*. Translated by Alison Martin. New York: Routledge, 1993.

Jung, Carl G. *Psychology and Religion*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1938.

Jung, Carl G. *The Practice of Psychotherapy: Essays on the Psychology of the Transference and Other Subjects*. London: Routledge, 1954.

Jung, Carl G. *Answer to Job*. Translated by Richard Francis Carrington Hull. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1958.

Jung, Carl G., and Kerenyi, Carl. *Essays on a Science of Mythology: The Myth of the Divine Child and the Mysteries of Eleusis*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1969.

Kafka, Franz. *Parables and Paradoxes*. Edited by Nahum N. Glatzer. New York: Schocken Books, 1961.

Kahana, Maoz. “Cosmos and Nomos: Rabbi Joseph Karo and Shabtai Zvi as Portable Heavenly Temples.” *El Prezente* 10 (2016): 143–153.

Kalmin, Richard. *The Sage in Jewish Society of Late Antiquity*. London: Routledge, 1999.

Kara-Ivanov Kaniel, Ruth. "Eve, the Gazelle and the Serpent: Narratives of Creation and Redemption, Myth and Gender." *Kabbalah* 21 (2010): 255–309.

Kara-Ivanov Kaniel, Ruth. *Kdeshot u'Kdoshot: Imahot ha-Mashiah ba-Mitos ha-Yehudi*. Ramat Gan: Hakibbutz Hameuchad Press, 2014 [Hebrew].

Kara-Ivanov Kaniel, Ruth. *Holiness and Transgression: Mothers of the Messiah in the Jewish Myth*. Translated by Eugene D. Matanky. New York: Academic Studies Press, 2017.

Kara-Ivanov Kaniel, Ruth. "David and Jerusalem: From Psalms to the Zohar." In *Psalms In/On Jerusalem*. Edited by Ilana Pardes and Ophir Münz-Manor, 67–108. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2019.

Kara-Ivanov Kaniel, Ruth. "Patriarchs and Matriarchs in the Image of God: The Multiple Self of Divinity in Kabbalistic Literature from the Bahir to the Zohar." *Pe'amim: Studies in Oriental Jewry* 157 (2019): 135–175 [Hebrew].

Kara-Ivanov Kaniel, Ruth. "Gender, Identity, and Fluidity in the Zohar: The Figure of Sarah as a Test Case." *Jerusalem Studies in Hebrew Literature* 31 (2020): 1–49 [Hebrew].

Karo, Joseph. *Maggid Mesharim*. Jerusalem: Shemot, 1960.

Karras, Ruth Mazo. *From Boys to Men: Formations of Masculinity in Late Medieval Europe*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2003.

Karras, Ruth Mazo. "'Goliath Thought David Rather Boastful': Royal Masculinity in Kingless Societies." *The Haskins Society Journal* 28 (2016): 83–100.

Karras, Ruth Mazo. "David and Bathsheba: Masculine Sexuality in Medieval Judaism and Christianity." In *God's Own Gender? Masculinities in World Religions*. Edited by Daniel Gerster and Michael Krüggeler, 201–218. Würzburg: Ergon Verlag, 2018.

Katz, Jacob. *Halakhah and Kabbalah: Studies in the History of Jewish Religion, Its Various Faces and Social Relevance*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1984 [Hebrew].

Kerem, Dror, and Zion, Aharon, eds. *The Variety of Views and Opinions in Jewish Culture*. Tel Aviv: Ministry of Education, 2000.

Kessler, Gwynn. *Conceiving Israel: The Fetus in Rabbinic Narrative*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2009.

Klausner, Joseph. *The Messianic Idea in Israel: From Its Beginning to the Completion of the Mishnah*. Translated by W. Forrest Stinespring. New York: Macmillan Company, 1955.

Klein, Melanie. *Love, Guilt and Reparation and Other Works*. New York: Dell Pub. Co., 1977.

Knohl, Israel. *The Messiah before Jesus: The Suffering Servant of the Dead Sea Scrolls*. Translated by David Maisel. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2000.

Knohl, Israel. *The Divine Symphony: The Bible's Many Voices*. Philadelphia: Jewish Publication Society, 2003.

Knohl, Israel. *Biblical Beliefs: The Borders of the Biblical Revolution*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2007 [Hebrew].

Kohut, Heinz. *The Search of the Self—Selected Writings of Heinz Kohut: 1978–1981*. 3 vols. London: Karnac, 2011.

Koren, Sharon. "Immaculate Sarah: Echoes of the Eve/Mary Dichotomy in the Zohar." *Viator* 41, no. 2 (2010): 183–201.

Koren, Sharon. *Forsaken: The Menstruant in Medieval Jewish Mysticism*. Waltham, MA: Brandeis University Press, 2011.

Kosman, Admiel. "An Overview of Masculinity in Judaism: A Bibliographical Essay." In *God's Own Gender? Masculinities in World Religions*. Edited by Daniel Gerster and Michael Krüggeler, 149–184. Würzburg: Ergon Verlag, 2018.

Kottek, Samuel, and Horstmanshoff, Manfred, eds. *From Athens to Jerusalem: Medicine in Hellenized Jewish Lore and in Early Christian Literature*. Rotterdam: Erasmus, 2000.

Kraemer, David, ed. *The Jewish Family: Metaphor and Memory*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1989.

Kreisel, Howard, Huss, Boaz, and Ehrlich, Uri, eds. *Spiritual Authority: Struggles over Cultural Power in Jewish Thought*. Beer Sheva: Ben-Gurion University of the Negev Press, 2009.

Kristeva, Julia. *Tales of Love*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1987.

Kristeva, Julia. *Black Sun: Depression and Melancholia*. Translated by Leon S. Roudiez. New York: Columbia University Press, 1989.

Kron, Tamar. *Us, Adam and Eve: Myths and Psychology of Couple Relationship*. Tel Aviv: kibbutz Hameuchad, 2004 [Hebrew].

Kron, Tamar. *The Archetypal Couple*. Tel Aviv: Contento De Semrik, 2012.

Kruger, Steven F. *The Spectral Jew: Conversion and Embodiment in Medieval Europe*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2006.

Krupp, Michael. *Sefer Toldos Jeschu: A Jewish Life of Jesus*. Altdorf, 1681. Reprint Jerusalem: Hebrew University of Jerusalem Press, 2001.

Kuefler, Mathew. *The Manly Eunuch: Masculinity, Gender Ambiguity, and Christian Ideology in Late Antiquity*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2001.

Lachower, Fischel, and Tishby, Isaiah, eds. *The Wisdom of the Zohar: An Anthology of Texts*, Vol. 1. Translated by David Goldstein. Oxford: Littman Library of Jewish Civilization, 1989.

Lachower, Fischel, and Tishby, Isaiah, eds. *The Wisdom of the Zohar: An Anthology of Texts*, Vol. 2. Translated by David Goldstein. Oxford: Littman Library of Jewish Civilization, 1989.

Lachter, Hartley. *Kabbalistic Revolution: Reimagining Judaism in Medieval Spain*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 2014.

Laplanche, Jean. "Seduction, Persecution, Revelation." *International Journal of Psychoanalysis* 76 (1995): 663–682.

Laqueur, Thomas. *Making Sex: Body and Gender from the Greeks to Freud*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1990.

Lefler, Noam. *Studies in the Sabbatean Doctrine of Occultation*. PhD diss., Hebrew University of Jerusalem, 2019.

León, Moses de. *The Book of the Pomegranate: Moses de León's Sefer ha-Rimmon*. With the assistance of Elliot R. Wolfson. Atlanta: Scholars Press, 1988 [Hebrew].

León, Moses de. *Sefer Sheqel ha-Qodesh: Critically Edited and Introduced*. Edited by Charles Mopsik. Los Angeles: Cherub Press, 1996 [Hebrew].

León, Moses de. *Commentary to Ezekiel's Chariot: Critically Edited and Introduced*. Edited by Asi Farber-Ginat. Los Angeles: Cherub Press, 1998 [Hebrew].

León, Moses de. *Sefer Mishkan ha-Edut: Critically Edited, Introduced and Annotated*. Edited by Avishai Bar-Asher. Los Angeles: Cherub Press, 2013 [Hebrew].

Levinson, Joshua. "Cultural Androgyny in Rabbinic Literature." In *From Athens to Jerusalem: Medicine in Hellenized Jewish Lore and in Early Christian Literature*. Edited by Samuel Kottek and Manfred Horstmanshoff, 119–140. Rotterdam: Erasmus, 2000.

Levinson, Joshua, Elbaum, Jacob, and Hasan-Rokem, Galit, eds. *Higayon L'Yona: New Aspects in the Study of Midrash, Aggadah and Piyut in Honor of Professor Yona Fraenkel*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2007 [Hebrew].

Lieber, Moshe, and Kreutner, Shimshon Ya'akov. *Two Works about Shabbetai Zevi*. Edited by Jacob Barnai. Jerusalem: Carmel Press, 2017 [Hebrew].

Liebes, Yehuda. "The Messiah of the Zohar: The Messianic Character of R. Shimon bar Yohai." In *The Messianic Idea in Israel: A Symposium in Honor of Gerschom Scholem's Eightieth Birthday*. Edited by Shmuel Re'em, 87–236. Jerusalem: Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities, 1982 [Hebrew].

Liebes, Yehuda. "Maẓmiah Qeren Yeshu'ah." *Jerusalem Studies in Jewish Thought* 3, no. 3 (1983): 313–348 [Hebrew].

Liebes, Yehuda. "'Two Young Roes of a Doe': The Secret Sermon of Isaac Luria before his Death." *Jerusalem Studies in Jewish Thought* 10 (1992): 113–169 [Hebrew].

Liebes, Yehuda. "Christian Influences in the Zohar." In *Studies in the Zohar*. Translated by Arnold Schwartz, Stephanie Nakache, and Penina Peli, 139–161. Albany: State University of New York Press, 1993.

Liebes, Yehuda. "De Natura Dei: On the Development of the Jewish Myth." In *Studies in Jewish Myth and Messianism*. Translated by Batya Stein, 1–64. Albany: State University of New York Press, 1993.

Liebes, Yehuda. *Studies in Jewish Myth and Messianism*. Translated by Batya Stein. Albany: State University of New York Press, 1993.

Liebes, Yehuda. *Studies in the Zohar*. Translated by Arnold Schwartz, Stephanie Nakache, and Penina Peli. Albany: State University of New York Press, 1993.

Liebes, Yehuda. "Zohar and Eros." *Alpayyim* 9 (1994): 67–119 [Hebrew].

Liebes, Yehuda. *The Secret of Sabbatean Faith*, Jerusalem: Mosad Bialik, 1995 [Hebrew].

Liebes, Yehuda. "Zohar as Renaissance." *Daat: A Journal of Jewish Philosophy & Kabbalah* 46 (2001): 5–11 [Hebrew].

Liebes, Yehuda. *The Creative Theory of the Book of Creation*. Tel Aviv: Schocken Books, 2000 [Hebrew].

Liebes, Yehuda. "Indeed, the Shekhinah is a Virgin? On the Book by Arthur Green." *Pe'amim: Studies in Oriental Jewry* 101/102 (2005): 303–13 [Hebrew].

Liebes, Yehuda. "Zakkain inun Yisrael: Zoharic blessing and Its Judeo-Christian Context," *Jewish Studies* 3 (2007): 85–94 [Hebrew].

Liebes, Yehuda. *God's Story: Collected Essays on the Jewish Myth*. Jerusalem: Carmel Press, 2009 [Hebrew].

Liebes, Yehuda, ed. and trans. *Mnemosyne: Translations of Classical Poetry*. Jerusalem: Carmel Press, 2011 [Hebrew].

Liebes, Yehuda. "Long Live the King: The Strong Weakness of a Monarch." In *Religion and Politics in Jewish Thought: Essays in Honor of Aviezer Ravitzky*, 2 vols. Edited by Benjamin Brown, Menachem Lorberbaum, Avinoam Rosenak, and Yedidia Z. Stern, 459–489. Jerusalem: Israel Democracy Institute, 2012 [Hebrew].

Limor, Ora. "The Origins of a Tradition: King David's Tomb on Mount Zion." *Traditio* 44 (1988): 453–462.

Liver, Jacob. *The House of David from the Fall of the Kingdom of Judah to the Fall of the Second Commonwealth and After*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1959 [Hebrew].

Lorberbaum, Yair. *Disempowered King: Monarchy in Classical Jewish Literature*. London: Continuum, 2011.

Lord Raglan. "The Hero: A Study in Tradition, Myth, and Drama, Part II." In *In Quest of the Hero*. Edited by Robert A. Segal, 89–175. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1990.

Lubac, Henri de. *Medieval Exegesis: The Four Senses of Scripture*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2000.

Ma'arekhet ha-'Elohut. Jerusalem: Becker, 2012.

Maciejko, Pawel. *Jacob Frank and the Frankist Movement 1755–1816*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2015.

Magid, Shaul. *Hasidism on the Margin: Reconciliation, Antinomianism, and Messianism*. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 2003.

Magid, Shaul. *Hasidism Incarnate: Hasidism, Christianity, and the Construction of Modern Judaism*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2014.

Marcus, Ivan G. *Rituals of Childhood: Jewish Acculturation in Medieval Europe*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1996.

Margolin, Ron. *The Human Temple: Religious Interiorization and the Structuring of Inner Life in Early Hasidism*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2005 [Hebrew].

Mark, Zvi. "Dybbuk and Devekut in Shivhe ha-Besht: Toward a Phenomenology of Madness in Early Hasidism." In *Spirit Possession in Judaism: Cases and Contexts from the Middle Ages to the Present*. Edited by Matt Goldish, 257–301. Detroit: Wayne State University Press, 2003.

Mark, Zvi. *Mysticism and Madness: The Religious Thought of Rabbi Nachman of Bratslav*. London: Continuum, 2009.

Matt, Daniel C. "'New-Ancient Words': The Aura of Secrecy in the Zohar." In *Gershom Scholem's Major Trends in Jewish Mysticism 50 Years After: Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on the History of Jewish Mysticism*. Edited by Peter Schäfer and Joseph Dan, 181–207. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 1993.

McKenzie, Steven L. *King David: A Biography*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000.

Melammed, Renée Levine. "Visionary Experiences among Spanish Crypto-Jewish Women." In *Judaism in Practice: From the Middle Ages through the Early Modern Period*. Edited by Lawrence Fine, 348–352. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2001.

Meltzer, Donald. *The Claustrum: An Investigation of Claustrophobic Phenomena*. Perthshire, UK: Clunie Press, 1992.

Meltzer, Donald, and Williams, Meg Harris. *The Apprehension of Beauty: The Role of Aesthetic Conflict in Development, Art and Violence*. London: Karnac, 2008.

Menn, Esther M. "Prayerful Origins: David as Temple Founder in Rabbinic Psalms Commentary (Midrash Tehillim)." In *Of Scribes and Sages: Early Jewish Interpretation and Transmission of Scripture*, Vol. 2. Edited by Craig A. Evans, 77–89. London: Bloomsbury, 2004.

Meroz, Ronit. "Redemption in the Lurianic Kabbalah." PhD diss., Hebrew University of Jerusalem, 1988 [Hebrew].

Meroz, Ronit. "Messiah Now? Different Positions towards Messianism in the Book of Zohar." In *The Variety of Views and Opinions in Jewish Culture*. Edited by Dror Kerem and Aharon Zion, 59–72. Tel Aviv: Ministry of Education, 2000 [Hebrew].

Meroz, Ronit. "'And I Was Not There?': The Complaints of Rabbi Simeon bar Yoḥai according to an Unknown Zoharic Story." *Tarbiẓ* 71, 1–2 (2001): 163–193 [Hebrew].

Meroz, Ronit. "R. Joseph Angelet and His Zoharic Writings." *Te'uda* 21–22 (2007): 303–404.

Meroz, Ronit. *The Spiritual Biography of Rashbi*. Jerusalem: Bialik Institute, 2018.

Meroz, Ronit. *Headwaters of the Zohar*. Tel Aviv: Tel Aviv University Press, 2019.

Mitchell, Stephen. "Psychoanalysis and the Degradation of Romance." *Psychoanalytical Dialogues* 7, no. 1 (1997): 23–41.

Mizrahi, Galia Avishur. "Introduction." In *The Language of Winnicott: A Dictionary of Winnicott's Use of Words*. Tel Aviv: Tolaʿat Sefarim, 2019.

Mopsik, Charles. *Sex of the Soul: The Vicissitudes of Sexual Difference in Kabbalah*. Los Angeles: Cherub Press, 2005.

Morris, Leah. "The Secret of Beit ha-Reḥem." In *Ma'yan 'Ein Ya'acov: The Fourth Fountain of the Book 'Elima, by R. Moshe Cordovero*. Edited by Bracha Sack, 112–147. Beer Sheva: Ben-Gurion University of the Negev Press, 2009.

Mowinckel, Sigmund. *He That Cometh: The Messiah Concept in the Old Testament and Later Judaism*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1956.

Nagel, Joane. "Masculinity and Nationalism: Gender and Sexuality in the Making of Nations." *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 21, no. 2: 242–269.

Nathan of Gaza. "Derush ha-Taninim." In *Be'Ikvot Mashiaḥ: Anthology of Sources from the Early Days of the Development of the Sabbatean Faith*. Edited by Gershom Scholem, 9–22. Jerusalem: Tarshish, 1944 [Hebrew].

Neamțu, Mihail, and Tătaru-Cazaban, Bogdan, eds. *Memory, Humanity, and Meaning: Selected Essays in Honor of Andrei Pleșu's Sixtieth Anniversary*. Bucharest: Zeta Books, 2009.

Neumann, Erich. *The Origins and History of Consciousness*. Translated by Richard Francis Carrington Hull. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1973.

Neumann, Erich. *Mench und Sinn: Eranos Lectures*. Translated by Tamar Kron and David Viller. Jerusalem: Resling, 2013 [Hebrew].

Niehoff, Maren R. "Mother and Maiden, Sister and Spouse: Sarah in Philonic Midrash." *Harvard Theological Review* 97, no. 4 (2004): 413–444.

Ogden, Thomas. *The Primitive Edge of Experience*. Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson, 1989.

Ogden, Thomas. "On Talking-as-Dreaming." *International Journal of Psychoanalysis* 88 (2007): 575–589.

Oppenheimer, Aharon. *Rabbi Judah Ha-Nasi: Statesman, Reformer, and the Redactor of the Mishnah*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2017.

Oron, Michal. "Artistic Elements in the Homiletics of the Zohar." *Jerusalem Studies in Jewish Thought* 8 (1989): 299–310 [Hebrew].

Oron, Michal. "Terms of the Doctrine of the Soul and of Gilgul in the Kabbalah of the Thirteenth Century and the Writings of Rabbi Todros Halevi Abulafia." In *Studies in Jewish Thought*. Edited by Sarah Heller-Wilensky and Moshe Idel, 277–290. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1989 [Hebrew].

Oron, Michal, and Goldreich, Amos, eds. *Massu'ot: Studies in Kabbalistic Literature and Jewish Philosophy in Memory of Prof. Ephraim Gottlieb*. Jerusalem: Bialik Institute, 1994.

Papo, Eliezer. "'Meliselda' and Its Symbolism for Sabbatai Sevi, His Inner Circle and His Later Followers." *Kabbalah* 35 (2016): 113–132.

Pardes, Ilana. *Countertraditions in the Bible: A Feminist Approach*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1992.

Pardes, Ilana. *The Biography of Ancient Israel: National Narratives in the Bible*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2000.

Pardes, Ilana, and Münz-Manor, Ophir, eds. *Psalms In/On Jerusalem*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2019.

Pedaya, Haviva, ed. *Myth in Judaism*. Eshel Beer Sheva 4. Beer Sheva, 1996.

Pedaya, Haviva. "Sabbath, Sabbatai, and the Diminution of Moon—The Holy Conjunction: Sign and Image." In *Myth in Judaism*. Edited by Haviva Pedaya, 143–191. Beer Sheva: Ben-Gurion University of the Negev Press, 1996 [Hebrew].

Pedaya, Haviva. "Metamorphoses in the Holy of Holies: From the Margin to the Center." *Jewish Studies* 37 (1997): 53–110.

Pedaya, Haviva. *Name and Sanctuary in the Teaching of R. Isaac the Blind: A Comparative Study in the Writings of the Earliest Kabbalists*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2001 [Hebrew].

Pedaya, Haviva. *Vision and Speech: Models of Revelatory Experience in Jewish Mysticism*. Los Angeles: Cherub Press, 2002 [Hebrew].

Pedaya, Haviva. *Nahmanides: Cyclical Time and Holy Text*. Tel Aviv: Am Oved, 2003 [Hebrew].

Pedaya, Haviva. "The Great Mother: The Struggle between Nahmanides and the Zohar Circle." In *Temps i Espais de la Girona Jueva*, ed. Silvia Planas Marcé, 311–328. Girona: Patronat Call de Girona, 2011.

Pedaya, Haviva. *Walking through Trauma: Rituals of Movement in Jewish Myth, Mysticism and History*. Tel Aviv: Resling, 2011 [Hebrew].

Pedaya, Haviva. "And Now We Have No Mother (Ve-Ima Hashata Let Lan): Toward a Genealogy of the Shekhinah and the Mother." In *As a Perennial Spring: A Festschrift Honoring Rabbi Dr. Norman Lamm*. Edited by Bentsi Cohen, 87–151. New York: Downhill Publishing, 2013 [Hebrew].

Pedaya, Haviva. "The Wandering Messiah and the Wandering Jew: Judaism and Christianity as a Two-Headed Structure and the Myth of His Feet and Shoes." In *Religion und Politik*. Edited by Gesine Palmer and Thomas Brose, 73–103. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2013.

Perry, Menachem, and Sternberg, Meir. "The King through Ironic Eyes: Biblical Narrative and the Literary Reading Process." *Poetics Today* 7 (1986): 275–322.

Peskowitz, Miriam B. *Spinning Fantasies: Rabbis, Gender, and History*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1997.

Rank, Otto. *The Myth of the Birth of the Hero*. New York: Vintage Books, 1959.

Rank, Otto. *In Quest of the Hero*. Edited by Robert A. Segal. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1990.

Rapoport-Albert, Ada. *Women and the Messianic Heresy of Sabbatai Zevi, 1666–1816*. Translated by Deborah Greniman. Oxford: Littman Library of Jewish Civilization, 2011.

Rapoport-Albert, Ada. *Studies in Hasidism, Sabbatianism and Gender*. Edited by Immanuel Etkes. Jerusalem: Zalman Shazar Center, 2014 [Hebrew].

Rapoport-Albert, Ada. "From Prophetess to Madwoman: The Displacement of Female Spirituality in the Post-Sabbatian Era." In *Hasidic Studies: Essays in History and Gender*, 269–317. Oxford: Littman Library of Jewish Civilization, 2018.

Rapoport-Albert, Ada. *Hasidic Studies: Essays in History and Gender*. Oxford: Littman Library of Jewish Civilization, 2018.

Rapoport-Albert, Ada. "On Women in Hasidism: S. A. Horodetsky and the Maid of Ludmir Tradition." In *Hasidic Studies: Essays in History and Gender*, 318–367. Oxford: Littman Library of Jewish Civilization, 2018.

Re'em, Shmuel, ed. *The Messianic Idea in Israel: A Symposium in Honor of Gerschom Scholem's Eightieth Birthday*. Jerusalem: Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities, 1982.

Reiner, Avraham, Hacker, Joseph R., Halbertal, Moshe, Idel, Moshe, Kanarfogel, Ephraim, and Reiner, Elchanan, eds. *Ta Shma: Studies in Judaica in Memory of Israel M. Ta-Shma*. Alon Shevut: Tevunot Press, 2011.

Reiner, Elchanan. "Overt Falsehood and Covert Truth: Christians, Jews, and Holy Places in Twelfth-Century Palestine." *Zion* 63, no. 2 (1998): 157–188 [Hebrew].

Rendtorff, Rolf. "The Psalm of David: David in the Psalms." In *The Book of Psalms: Composition and Reception*. Edited by Peter W. Flint and Patrick D. Miller, Jr., 53–64. Leiden: Brill, 2005.

Resnick, Irven M. *Marks of Distinctions: Christian Perceptions of Jews in the High Middle Ages*. Washington, DC: Catholic University of America Press, 2012.

Ricoeur, Paul. *Symbolism of Evil*. Boston: Beacon Press, 1969.

Roberts, Jimmy J. M. "'Whose Child Is This?' Reflections on the Speaking Voice in Isaiah 9:5." *Harvard Theological Review* 90 (1997): 115–130.

Rofé, Alexander. "The Battle of David and Goliath: Folklore, Theology, Eschatology." In *Eshel Beer Sheva III*. Edited by Gerald Blidstein, Eli Yassif, and Yosef Salmon, 55–89. Beer Sheva: Ben-Gurion University of the Negev Press, 1986 [Hebrew].

Roi, Biti. *Love of the Shekhinah: Mysticism and Poetics in Tiqqunei ha-Zohar*. Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University Press, 2017 [Hebrew].

Rosen-Zvi, Ishay. "Misogyny and Its Discontents." *Prooftexts* 25, no. 1 (2005): 217–227.

Rosen-Zvi, Ishay. *Demonic Desires: "Yetzer Hara" and the Problem of Evil in Late Antiquity*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2011.

Rosen-Zvi, Ishay. "The Rise and Fall of Rabbinic Masculinity." *Jewish Studies* 12 (2013): 1–22.

Sabar, Shalom. "King David in the Mirror of Jewish Art." In *David: From Shepherd to Messiah*, 201–244. Jerusalem: Ben-Zvi Institute, 1995.

Sachs-Shmueli, Leore. "R. Joseph Hamadan's Commentary to the Ten Sfirot," *Kabbalah* 32 (2014): 227–232.

Sachs-Shmueli, Leore. "*The Rationale of the Negative Commandments* by R. Joseph Hamadan: A Critical Edition and Study of Taboo at the Time of the Composition of the Zohar." PhD diss., Bar-Ilan University, 2018.

Sachs-Shmueli, Leore. *Kabbalistic Encyclopedia: R. Joseph of Hamadan Sefer Toledot Adam*. Forthcoming.

Sack, Bracha. *The Kabbalah of Rabbi Moshe Cordovero*. Beer Sheva: Ben-Gurion University of the Negev Press, 1995 [Hebrew].

Sack, Bracha, ed. *Ma'yan 'Ein Ya'acov: The Fourth Fountain of the Book 'Elima, by R. Moshe Cordovero*. Beer Sheva: Ben-Gurion University of the Negev Press, 2009.

Sack, Bracha. *Solomon Had a Vineyard: God, the Torah and Israel in R. Shlomo Halevi Alkabetz's Writings*. Beer Sheva: Ben-Gurion University of the Negev Press, 2018 [Hebrew].

Safrai, Uri. "R. Haim Vital's Sermon from Jerusalem on the Coming of the Messiah." *Kabbalah* 46 (2020): 189–208.

Schäfer, Peter. *Mirrors of His Beauty: Feminine Images of God from the Bible to the Early Kabbalah*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2002.

Schäfer, Peter. *The Jewish Jesus: How Judaism and Christianity Shaped Each Other*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2012.

Schäfer, Peter, and Cohen, Mark R., eds. *Toward the Millennium: Messianic Expectations from the Bible to Waco*. Leiden: Brill, 1998.

Schäfer, Peter, and Dan, Joseph, eds. *Gershom Scholem's Major Trends in Jewish Mysticism 50 Years After: Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on the History of Jewish Mysticism*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 1993.

Schäfer, Peter, Schlüter, Margarete, and von Mutius, Hans Georg, eds. *Synopse zur Hekhalot-Literatur*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 1981.

Schneider, Michael. "The Angelomorophic Son of God, Yehoel and the Prince of Peace." *Kabbalah* 21 (2010): 143–254 [Hebrew].

Schneider, Michael. *The Appearance of the High Priest*. Los Angeles: Cherub Press, 2012 [Hebrew].

Scholem, Gershom. "An Inquiry in the Kabbala of R. Isaac ben Jacob Hacohen, II. The Evolution of the Doctrine of the Worlds in the Early Kabbala," *Tarbiẕ* 3 (1931): 415–442 [Hebrew].

Scholem, Gershom. *Major Trends in Jewish Mysticism*. New York: Schocken Books, 1941.

Scholem, Gershom. "New Sabbatian Documents from the Book 'To'ei Ruah'" *Zion* 7, no. 3 (1942): 172–196 [Hebrew].

Scholem, Gershom, ed. *Be'Ikvot Mashiaḥ: Anthology of Sources from the Early Days of the Development of the Sabbatean Faith*. Jerusalem: Tarshish, 1944.

Scholem, Gershom. *Sabbatai Zevi and the Sabbatean Movement during His Lifetime* 2 vols. Tel Aviv: Am Oved, 1957 [Hebrew].

Scholem, Gershom. *The Messianic Idea in Judaism and Other Essays on Jewish Spirituality*. New York: Schocken Books, 1971.

Scholem, Gershom. *Sabbatai Sevi: The Mystical Messiah, 1626–1676*. Translated by Raphael Judah Zwi Werblowsky. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1973.

Scholem, Gershom. "Miẓvah ha-Ba'ah be'Aveirah." In *Studies and Texts Concerning the History of Sabbetianism and Its Metamorphoses*, 9–67. Jerusalem: Bialik Institute, 1982.

Scholem, Gershom. *Studies and Texts Concerning the History of Sabbetianism and Its Metamorphoses*. Jerusalem: Bialik Institute, 1982.

Scholem, Gershom. *On the Mystical Shape of the Godhead: Basic Concepts in the Kabbalah*. Edited by Jonathan Chipman. Translated by Joachim Neugroschel. New York: Schocken Books, 1991.

Scholem, Gershom. *Origins of the Kabbalah*. Edited by Raphael Judah Zwi Werblowsky. Translated by Allan Arkush. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1991.

Scholem, Gershom. *Researches in Sabbateanism*. Tel Aviv: Am Oved, 1991 [Hebrew].

Scholem, Gerhsom. *Gershom Scholem's Zohar with Annotations* 6 vols. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1992 [Hebrew].

Scholem, Gershom. *The Star of David: History of a Symbol*. Edited by Galit Hasan-Rokem. Ein-Harod: Mishkan le-Omanut, 2008 [Hebrew].

Schwartz, Dov, and Irshai, Ronit, eds. *A New Spirit in the Palace of Torah: Jubilee Volume in Honor of Professor Tamar Ross on the Occasion of Her Eightieth Birthday*. Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University Press, 2018.

Schwartz, Seth. "The Davidic Dynasty Tradition in Early Judaism: Its History and Significance for Messianism." *Journal of Jewish Studies* 47, no. 1 (1996): 167–169.

Sefer Ḥemdat Yamim. Turkey: Kushta, 1735.

Sefer Torat ha-Gilgul 2 vols. Jerusalem: Ahavat Shalom, 1982.

Segal, Robert A., ed. *In Quest of the Hero*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1990.

Segal, Robert A. "Introduction." In *In Quest of the Hero*. Edited by Robert A. Segal, vii–xxxix. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1990.

Shapiro, Judith. "Transsexualism: Reflections on the Persistence of Gender and the Mutability of Sex." In *Body Guards: The Cultural Politics of Gender Ambiguity*. Edited by Julia Epstein and Kristina Straub, 248–279. New York: Routledge, 1991.

Shimoff, Sandra R. "Hellenization among the Rabbis: Some Evidence from Early Aggadot Concerning David and Solomon." *Journal for the Study of Judaism in the Persian, Hellenistic, and Roman Period* 18, no. 2 (1987): 168–187.

Shimoff, Sandra R. "David and Bathsheba: The Political Function of Rabbinic Aggada." *Journal for the Study of Judaism in the Persian, Hellenistic, and Roman Period* 24, no. 2 (1993): 246–256.

Shinan, Avigdor. "ʿAl Demuto shel ha-Melekh David be-Sifrut ḤaZaL." In *David: From Shepherd to Messiah*, 181–199. Jerusalem: Ben-Zvi Institute, 1995.

Spiegel, Gabrielle M. *Romancing the Past: The Rise of Vernacular Prose Historiography in Thirteenth-Century France*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1993.

Steger, Hugo. *David Rex et Propheta: König David als vorbildliche Verkörperung des Herrschers und Dichters im Mittelalter, nach Bilddarstellungen des achten bis zwölften Jahrhunderts*. Nuremberg: H. Carl, 1961.

Sternberg, Meir. *The Poetics of Biblical Narrative: Ideological Literature and the Drama of Reading*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1987.

Stichel, Rainer. "Scenes from the Life of King David in Dura Europos and in Byzantine Art." *Journal of Jewish Art* 23–24 (1997–1998): 100–116.

Stone, Sandy. "The Empire Strikes Back: A Posttranssexual Manifesto." *Camera Obscura* 10, no. 2 (1992): 150–176.

Tamar, David. *Studies in the History of the Jews in Palestine and Italy*. Jerusalem: Reuven Mas, 1970 [Hebrew].

Tamari, Assaf. "Human Sparks: Readings in the Lurianic Theory of Transmigration and Its Concept of the Human Subject." MA thesis, Tel Aviv University, 2009 [Hebrew].

The Book of Mirrors: Sefer Marʾot ha-Ẓoveʾot by R. David ben Yehudah he-Ḥasid. Edited by Daniel C. Matt. Chico, CA: Scholars Press, 1982.

Tirosh-Samuelson, Hava. "Gender in Jewish Mysticism." In *Jewish Mysticism and Kabbalah: New Insights and Scholarship*. Edited by Frederick E. Greenspahn, 191–230. Albany: State University of New York Press, 2011.

Tishby, Isaiah. *Paths of Faith*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1964 [Hebrew].

Tishby, Isaiah. *Messianism in the Time of the Expulsion from Spain and Portugal*. Jerusalem: Zalman Shazar Center, 1985 [Hebrew].

Tishby, Isaiah, ed. *The Wisdom of the Zohar: An Anthology of Texts, Vol. 3*. Translated by David Goldstein. Oxford: Littman Library of Jewish Civilization, 1989.

Tishby, Isaiah. *The Doctrine of Evil and the "Kelippah" in Lurianic Kabbalism*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1992.

Toldot ha-Ari. Meir Benayahu edition. Jerusalem: Ben-Zvi Institute, 1967.

Trible, Phyllis. *God and the Rhetoric of Sexuality*. Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1986.

Tsabar, Shalom. "King David in the Mirror of Jewish Art," in *David: From Shepherd to Messiah*, 201–244. Jerusalem: Ben-Zvi Institute, 1995.

Turner, Victor. *The Ritual Process: Structure and Anti-Structure*. Chicago: Aldine, 1969.

Ullman, Chana. "Bearing Witness: Across the Barriers in Society and in the Clinic." *Psychoanalytic Dialogues* 16, no. 2 (2006): 181–198.

Ullman, Chana. "The Hero transformed: The dialectics of Heroism and Psychoanalytic Process." *Psychoanalytic Inquiry* 40, no. 7 (2020): 1–9.

Urbach, Ephraim E. *The World of the Sages: Collected Studies*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1988 [Hebrew].

Valler, Shulamit. "King David and 'His' Women: Biblical Stories and Talmudic Discussions." In *A Feminist Companion to Samuel and Kings*. Edited by Athalya Brenner, 129–142. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1994.

Van der Haven, Alexander. *From Lowly Metaphor to Divine Flesh: Sarah the Ashkenazi, Sabbatai Tsevi's Messianic Queen and the Sabbatian Movement*. Ramat Gan: Idra Press, 2018 [Hebrew].

Van Deusen, Nancy, ed. *The Place of the Psalms in the Intellectual Culture of the Middle Ages*. Albany: State University of New York Press, 1999.

Visotzky, Burton L. *Fathers of the World: Essays in Rabbinic and Patristic Literature*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 1995.

Vital, Hayyim. *Sefer ha-Ḥezyonot*. Edited by Morris M. Faierstein. Jerusalem: Ben-Zvi Institute, 2006 [Hebrew].

Von Rad, Gerhard. *The Problem of the Hexateuch and Other Essays*. London: SCM, 1984.

Warner, Michael. *The Trouble with Normal: Sex, Politics, and the Ethics of Queer Life*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2000.

Weinstein, Roni. *Kabbalah and Jewish Modernity*. Tel Aviv: Tel Aviv University Press, 2011 [Hebrew].

Weiss, Judith. "Polemical Anti-Christian Discourse in the Zohar: The Polyseme 'Dumah,' the Paschal Sacrifice, and the Host of Heaven," *Ma'aseh Sipur: Studies in Jewish Narrative* 4. Edited by Avidov Lipsker, 37–60. Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University, 2018.

Weiss, Tzahi. *Cutting the Shoots: The Worship of the Shekhinah in the World of Early Kabbalistic Literature*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2015 [Hebrew].

Wertheimer, Solomon A., and Wertheimer, Abraham J. *Batei Midrashot*. 2nd ed. 2 vols. Jerusalem: Mosad HaRav Kook, 1951 [Hebrew].

Winnicott, Donald W. "Ego Distortion in Terms of True and False Self." In *The Maturational Processes and the Facilitating Environment: Studies in the Theory of Emotional Development*, 140–152. New York: International Universities Press, 1965.

Winnicott, Donald W. *The Maturational Processes and the Facilitating Environment: Studies in the Theory of Emotional Development*. New York: International Universities Press, 1965.

Winnicott, Donald W. "Fear of Breakdown." *International Journal of Psychoanalysis* 37 (1974): 386–388.

Wirszubski, Chaim. *Between the Lines: Kabbalah, Christian Kabbalah and Sabbatianism*. Edited by Moshe Idel. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1990 [Hebrew].

Wolfson, Elliot R. "Circumcision, Vision of God, and Textual Interpretation: From Midrashic Trope to Mystical Symbol." *History of Religions* 27, no. 2 (1987): 189–215.

Wolfson, Elliot R. "The Image of Jacob Engraved on the Divine Throne: A Further Study in the Esoteric Doctrine of Hasidut Ashkenaz." In *Massu'ot*. Edited by Michal Oron and Amos Goldreich, 131–185. Jerusalem: Bialik Institute, 1994 [Hebrew].

Wolfson, Elliot R. *Through a Speculum that Shines: Vision and Imagination in Medieval Jewish Mysticism*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1994.

Wolfson, Elliot R. *Circle in the Square: Studies in the Use of Gender in Kabbalistic Symbolism*. Albany: State University of New York Press, 1995.

Wolfson, Elliot R. "'Tiqqun ha-Shekhinah': Redemption and the Overcoming of Gender Dimorphism in the Messianic Kabbalah of Moses Ḥayyim Luzzatto." *History of Religions* 36, no. 4 (1997): 289–332.

Wolfson, Elliot R. "Gender and Heresy in Kabbalah Scholarship." *Kabbalah* 6 (2001): 231–262.

Wolfson, Elliot R. *Language, Eros, Being: Kabbalistic Hermeneutics and Poetic Imagination*. New York: Fordham University Press, 2005.

Wolfson, Elliot R. *Open Secret: Postmessianic Messianism and the Mystical Revision of Menaḥem Mendel Schneerson*. New York: Columbia University Press, 2009.

Wolfson, Elliot R. "Patriarchy and the Motherhood of God in Zoharic Kabbalah and Meister Eckhart." In *Envisioning Judaism: Studies in Honor of Peter Schäfer on the Occasion of His Seventieth Birthday* 2 vols. Edited by Raʿanan S. Boustan, Klaus Herrmann, Reimund Leicht, Annette Yoshiko Reed, and Giuseppe Veltri, 1049–1088. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2013.

Yisraeli, Oded. *The Interpretation of Secrets and the Secret of Interpretation: Midrashic and Hermeneutic Strategies in Sabba de-Mishpatim of the Zohar*. Los Angeles: Cherub Press, 2005 [Hebrew].

Yisraeli, Oded. *Temple Portals: Studies in Aggadah and Midrash in the Zohar*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2013 [Hebrew].

Yuval, Israel J. *Two Nations in Your Womb: Perceptions of Jews and Christians in Late Antiquity and the Middle Ages*. Translated by Barbara Harshav, and Jonathan Chipman. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2006.

Zakovitch, Yair. *David: From Shepherd to Messiah*. Jerusalem: Ben-Zvi Institute, 1995 [Hebrew].

Index

Aaron 23, 80, 100, 158
Abba Mari, Machir ben 25
Abigail 24, 36, 73
Abimelech 32, 74
Abishai 154
Abraham (Patriarch) 11, 57, 75, 85, 89–90 n. 17, 95, 97, 102, 104, 113, 115 n. 84, 127–129, 157–158
Abrams, Daniel 45
Absalom 23, 32, 36, 79, 162
absolute otherness 163
abstinence 41, 124
Abulafia, Abraham 169
abyss *see* tehom
Active Intellect 113
Adah 13, 29 n. 63
Adam 30, 56, 75, 80 n. 114, 91–93 n. 26, 106–110, 140, 148–150
ADaM (acronym of Adam, David, Messiah) 92, 107
Adulam (cave) 58
adultery vii, 4, 17 n. 35, 25, 32, 65, 69, 74, 103, 136–137, 143, 149, 153, 162, 172
afterlife 52
Afterman, Adam 89, 113
agency x, 74, 82, 103, 126, 152, 168
ahorayim 15 n. 29, 125
Alkabetz, Shlomo ha-Levi 84, 115–117, 175
allegory 4
Allegory of the Poor Man's Lamb 62, 74, 139, 146–147 n. 25
Alter, Robert 35, 64
Amidah *prayer* 74 n. 105, 88
Amir, Dana 162
Amnon 162
Amram 23, 25 n. 55
Amsterdam 104 n. 55
an angel of YHVH 158
androgynous 44, 46, 52, 66, 79 n. 114, 82, 84, 86 n. 8, 103–104, 117, 174
angel 39, 44, 95, 143, 157–159 n. 53
  Angel of Death 23, 142, 144, 151
Angelet, Joseph 58, 84
Angelomus 4, 148
anthropomorphism 51
Anthropos 85
antinomian 21 n. 46, 28, 72, 121, 124, 147
Apollo 66
apotheosis 11 n. 15, 39 n. 88–90
Ark 8 n. 5, 30, 36–37, 66
Aron, Lewis 160
asceticism 41, 126, 168, 175
'Atara *see* also Malkhut/Shekhinah
atonement 14, 35, 64–65, 73, 79, 165
Augustine 4
averah lishma (holy transgression) 72, 121
Avodat ha-Kodesh 94–96
Azriel of Gerona 88–89, 95, 157

ba'al teshuvah (penitent) 49, 51, 66, 69, 72–73, 80, 83
Baden, Joel 37
bad objects 162
Baer Gottlober, Avraham 119
Baer, Yitzhak 167
Baghdad 123
Bahir 85–87 n. 9, 88–89 n. 15, 90, 108, 111, 113, 115, 166
Bal, Mieke 74
Balaban, Meir 120
Barcelona 111
bar nafle (stillborn, a non-viable infant) x, 29–30, 54 n. 54, 56 n. 62, 92 n. 25, 106 n. 59, 131 n. 134, 139
Bar Sheshet, Jacob 84, 88–89, 102 n. 49, 113, 131
Bar Yohai, Shimon 44, 50, 58–59, 90 n. 17, 93, 114, 144, 169
basic fault 158, 163, 174
Bat Kol 44
Bathsheba 4–5 n. 18, 14, 16, 18, 22, 27–28, 30, 32, 36, 47, 67, 69–74, 78 n. 110, 79 n. 114, 103, 107, 109, 136–137, 140–141 n. 13, 145–153, 155, 158, 162
beauty 13, 50, 55, 61–64, 80–81, 91, 161, 165
bediḥa de-malka (the king's jester, ioculatores Domini) x, 65, 68–73, 80, 140, 146, 150, 162, 167
Beit Midrash 39, 66
Ben Azzai 115

ben David, Asher 113
Benarroch, Jonatan 143
Benjamin 23, 25 n. 55
Berman, Nathaniel 171
Bernard of Clairvaux 167
Bilaam 50
Bilhah 125
Binah 8 n. 6, 33, 43–44, 47, 56 n. 61, 68, 73, 103
birth ix–x, 2, 6, 10, 12, 15–21, 23–24, 27–29, 33, 38, 43, 47, 57, 92, 99 n. 40, 101–102, 109, 166
  birth story 10, 15–16, 18, 27
  miraculous 57 n. 62, 174
  rebirth ix, 2, 77, 128, 174
blood 35, 57, 63, 116, 135
  For menstrual blood *see* "menstruation", For birth blood *see* "birth"
  shedding blood, battlefield 4, 55, 135, 141
Bloom, Harold 18
Boaz 18, 28, 110, 127
Bohak, Gideon 49
Book of Chronicles 3, 24, 31–32, 35, 37, 70
Book of Job 146
Book of Revelation 33
Book of Ruth 22, 27, 29
Book of Samuel 14, 27, 31–33, 37 n. 82, 135
Boyarin, Daniel 41
bread of the poor (*lechem oni*) 128–129
  loaf of bread 96
  morsel of bread 128
Breuer, Josef 123
Brody 120
Bromberg, Philip 160
Butler, Judith 42, 91, 158, 175
Byzantine 3, 65

Cain 105, 112
Cairo Genizah 123, 152
Campbell, Joseph 9, 155
Carlebach, Elisheva 125 n. 119
Carolingian 3, 65
Castile vii, 40, 47, 84, 89–90, 103, 108, 113, 147
Chajes, J. H. 123
Chariot 39, 75, 83–85, 87 n. 9, 88–90, 96, 98–99, 101–102, 104, 112–115, 129–132, 157–158, 166
  Fourth leg x, 49, 57, 84, 88–89, 92, 94, 100 n. 42, 101–102 n. 49, 129, 159, 166
chastity 125 n. 118, 147
  marital 147
chosenness 3, 5, 12, 22, 36, 53–54, 64, 79, 81, 165
Christianity 2, 13, 40, 118, 120, 122–123, 133, 147–148, 165–167
  commentators 4
  interpretation 153
  liturgy 3, 65
  Messiah 145–146
  pilgrimage 76
Christ, Jesus 2, 4, 9, 13, 15, 22, 91, 145–146, 155, 167
Christianity
  influence 33 n. 75
circumcision 25, 144, 150
Cixous, Hélène 44
concubine 28, 30, 106, 125
Connell, Raewyn 125
copulation *see* sexuality
Cordovero, Moses 61 n. 72, 112
corporeality 33, 95, 124, 174
countertransference 157
creation 140, 145, 158–159, 169, 172
cultic poetry 3, 37
cup of blessing 93
cutting of the shoots (kitzutz) 79 n. 114, 84, 132

Dar & Soharet (precious stones) 87–88
David's harp 37 n. 81, 127
David's symbols in the Zohar
  abandoned hero 9–10, 19, 203–204
  another David 61, 91, 160
  bread of the poor (lechem oni) 128–129
  bronze altar 63
  David rex et propheta (David as king and prophet) 4, 65
  defect (*p'gam*), blemish of the moon 54 n. 54
  gatekeeper 52
  having nothing of his own, deprived of light/life (*leit la-mi-garmah kelum*) 56, 91–93, 111, 134, 173
  hero with a thousand faces 85
  "hollow of a sling" (*Kaf ha-Kela*) 59 n. 68

David's symbols in the Zohar (cont.)
ill Messiah 132
moon x, 48, 54, 54 n. 54, 56, 90–91, 93, 134, 157
musician vii, 6–7, 30, 64, 66, 126, 136
nocturnal poet 6, 66
orphan 10–11, 22,
power of the powerless 126, 168
rejected brother 47
Scion of David 1–2
"the anointed", anointment 1, 10, 36, 52–53, 80–81, 127, 130, 154–155
the fourth 83, 158–159
see also Chariot: the fourth leg
the poor 51, 84
the seventh (sefirah) 24, 100, 158
the small 7, 42, 55, 63
vagabond 35
viceroy (David as a viceroy) 1 n. 4
weak Messiah 132
dawn x, 4, 38, 112 n. 78, 127
Dead Sea Scrolls 31, 33
death 4, 10, 18, 23, 25, 29, 47, 57 n. 62, 58, 69, 77, 109, 113, 116, 128, 130, 137–141, 146–149, 151, 153
kiss of 23
death penalties 140
De Leon, Moses 47, 80–81, 84, 94–95
demon 37 n. 81, 43, 149–150, 171, 173. *See* also Devil, Satan
denial x, 79, 135, 138–141, 153, 160, 163
depression 54 n. 54, 111, 104–106, 111, 126
Derush ha-taninim 121, 125, 133
desert 52
devekut 113
Devil 4, 120, 138, 144 n. 23, 146, 148
Diamond, James 135
Dimen, Muriel 160
Din (judgement) 87, 98, 100, 113
Dionysus 66
disintegration 139–140, 161 n. 56, 162
dissociation 160
Divi filius (son of God) 3, 11
Divine Name 147, 150, 171
divine persona 51, 90
divorce 122 n. 109
divorcée 116, 147
doe x, 51–52 n. 49, 90, 113, 122
Doniger, Wendy 27
Dönmeh 124
doppelganger, twin figure 135–136
Dumah (Angel of Death) 72 n. 96, 144–147, 151–153

Ecclesia 85
Ecclesiastes 15
Ecstatic Kabbalah 159, 169
Eden 38, 52 n. 51, 57, 80 n. 114, 101–102, 107, 110, 148
Edom 167
egalitarianism 175
ego 156
Egypt 10, 25 n. 55, 94, 145
Ein Gedi 154
Elijah the Prophet 50, 123
Elqayam, Avraham 122
emanation 41, 71, 81, 84, 97 n. 33, 169
Emden, Jacob 119
Emet (truth) 87
enclothement 90 n. 18, 113
eros 25, 45, 60, 62–64, 72, 80, 139, 166, 171
Esau 167
eschatologicaly 81
eschatology 1, 3, 18, 108. *See* also redemption
esotericism 167
esoterism 77
Europe 3, 65, 167
Eve 14, 21 n. 44, 25, 75, 107, 140, 148–150
evil inclination (yetzer hara) 23, 37 n. 81, 69 n. 92, 80 n. 114, 105, 140, 146, 152 n. 36
exile 70, 75, 95, 130, 143–144, 170, 175
Ezra of Gerona 89

faith 19, 59, 72 n. 97, 94, 104, 122 n. 109, 127, 154–155, 166
interfaith viii, 40, 82, 148, 173
mystery of 118
family romance 9, 156
feminism 44, 104, 118–119, 121, 123, 175. *See* also gender
Ferenczi, Sándor 138
fertility 13, 20, 124
Ferziger, Adam 120
Fine, Lawrence 106
Fishbane, Eitan 113

folklore 9
foreskin (orla) 80 n. 114, 148
forgiveness 62
France 44, 175
Frances, Emmanuel 122
Francis of Assisi 69 n. 91, 167
Frankism 119, 122 n. 109, 125
Frank, Jacob 124–125
Freud, Sigmund 9, 44, 135, 138, 156

gazelle *see* doe
gematria (numerical equivalents) 99 n. 38, 112, 131
gender vii–x, 11, 40–43, 45–46, 63, 89–90, 103, 118, 121, 157–159, 161, 168, 172, 174
  distinction 77
  duality 73, 172
  equality 119
  fluidity 42, 45 n. 23, 46, 62, 86 n. 8, 104, 115, 134, 168, 175
  identity 52 n. 49
  inversion 43
  liberation 119
  power relations 124
  repression 122
  reversal ix, 8, 42, 48, 73, 81, 165, 167, 170–171
  revolution 118
  roles 42, 159
  studies viii, 43, 173
  theories ix–x, 47, 175
  theory 169–170
  transformation 87 n. 9, 163
  transition 48
  transitions 46
genealogy viii, 6, 14, 16, 18, 24, 27 n. 58, 164
Gerona 89 n. 15, 111, 113, 144 n. 24, 157
Gerson, Samuel 162
Gevurah 57, 85, 87, 97 n. 32, 104–105
Gikatilla, Joseph 47, 71, 79 n. 114, 82, 84, 100, 102, 148
gilgul see reincarnation
goddess 175
going on being 134, 139
gold 64
Goliath 36
Grace After Meals (Birkat ha-mazon) 74 n. 105, 88 n. 12, 102
Graetz, Heinrich 119
Grand, Sue 163
Greek 25 n. 54, 36, 61 n. 72, 66, 120
Green, Art 48, 85, 166
Gruenwald, Ithamar 132
Gurevich, Hayuta 138

Habakkuk 50
ha-Kohen, Isaac 89–90 n. 18
ha-Kohen, Jacob 90 n. 18
halakha 21, 55 n. 57, 117–119, 124, 136–138, 173
Halbertal, Moshe 141
Halevi, Judah 113
Hallamish, Moshe 48
Hamadan, Joseph 47, 84, 97, 115 n. 84
Haman 11
Hamnuna Sava 143–144
Hannah 12–13, 29 n. 63
harlotry *see* prostitution
Hasidim 40, 72, 118–119, 146, 170
  Ashkenazi 94, 123
  literature 5, 72 n. 97, 113, 115
Haskala 119
Haskell, Ellen Davina 45, 166
Hayyun, Nehemiah 104 n. 55
Hazal vii–viii, 1, 4–8, 11, 13, 15–17, 19, 22–23, 25, 28–31, 39–41, 50, 55, 58, 65–66, 70, 73, 91–92, 98, 102, 105, 114, 116, 118, 120, 124, 128, 135, 139–141, 144, 147, 153, 157, 162–163, 165–166, 171
heaven 33, 38, 41, 77, 97 n. 32, 143, 167, 169
he-Hasid, David ben Yehuda 47, 148
Hekhalot literature 38, 83
hell 26, 143, 151
Hellner-Eshed, Melila 45
Hemdat Yamim 127–128, 131
heresy 104, 115, 117–118, 120–121, 125–126, 132
hermeneutics 5, 11, 120
Hermes (Mercury) 66
Hermon 34, 80
heroism 36, 135, 153–156, 159, 163
Hesed 57, 85–87, 90 n. 17, 97–98, 100, 113, 117
history vii–viii, 1, 2, 4, 17, 33–34, 44, 55, 70, 81, 91, 118–120, 141, 144, 162, 169, 172
  counter history 169
  fictionalized 35
  Jewish 4 n. 16, 125 n. 119, 141
  pseudo-history 31

Hitzonim (outside forces of evil) 105
Hokhmah 97 n. 32
Holmes, Stephen 141
Holy City *see* Jerusalem
holy deceit/huly ruse 29 n.61, 106, 108
Holy Spirit 22, 113, 152
homoerotic 62
horn of salvation 131
humor 143
husks *see* Kelipot
Huss, Boaz 131

Iberian Peninsula 82, 123
Ibn Gabbai, Meir 95–96
Idel, Moshe 45, 48–49, 89, 108, 150, 159, 169
idolatry 80 n. 114, 167
Idra Zuta 58
Ilan (Divine tree) 84, 117, 131
impregnation (*ibbur*) 16, 20, 22, 49, 109–111, 115, 131 n. 135. *See* also reincarnation
incarnation 49
incest viii, 127 n. 123, 162, 174
Inés from Herrara 123
inferiority complex 35
infertility 9, 73
Inquisition 120
insomnia 54 n. 54, 127
instrumentalization 135, 142, 172
intrusive identification 136, 141
intercourse *see* sexuality
internalization 146
inward acculturation 169
Irenaeus 4
Irigaray, Luce 44
irony 143
Isaac (Patriarch) 9, 57, 75, 85, 89–90 n. 17, 95, 98, 100, 102, 104, 113, 115 n. 84, 127–128, 157–158
Isaac Luriah ix
Isaac of Acre 47, 84, 102, 148
Isaac the Blind 88–89, 102 n. 49, 113
Isaiah 1
Islam 118, 120
Israel 118
  Assembly of 40, 64, 66, 77, 80, 98, 101
  Children of 148
  Community of 87 n. 9
  Knesset Israel 85
  nation of 143
  people of 64, 165
  tribes of 167

Jacob (Patriarch) 27–28, 37 n. 82, 57, 75, 85, 89–90 n. 17, 94–95, 98, 100, 104, 113, 115 n. 84, 127–128, 157–158, 174
jealousy 137
Jeremiah 75
Jerusalem x, 10, 33–37, 51–52, 63, 66, 75, 77–79, 88, 98–99 n. 37, 102
  Heavenly 98
  Supernal 98
Jesse 10 n. 12, 15, 17, 22–23, 25–28, 106, 110–111, 131
Jesus 2, 54 n. 54, 56 n. 61
Joab 23, 146
Job 146
Jonathan 36
Joseph 15, 73 n. 101, 158. *See* also Yesod
Joseph of Shushan 99, 115–116, 148
Josephus 77 n. 109
Judah 10, 18, 28, 99, 101–102, 110, 141 n. 13
Judah ha-Nasi 2, 149
Judah, tribe of 162
Judeo-Christian polemic viii, 11, 16 n. 31, 22, 40, 54 n. 54, 76 n. 106, 82, 85, 103, 133, 145, 147–148, 165, 168 n. 12
  vulnerability viii
Judith 125
Jung, Carl 9, 138, 155

Kafka, Franz 2
Kalmin, Richard 135
Karo, Joseph 116, 173
kawanot (intentions) 113
Kedushah 38, 157
Kedushah prayer 88
Kelipot (husks/shell) 28, 71, 106, 108–109, 111–112, 121
Kessler 19
Kessler, Gwynn 15, 19
Keter 42, 55, 150
Kilab 23, 25 n. 55
Kingdom *see* Malkhut
  spiritual kingdom viii
King of Israel, David 7, 38, 54–55, 136
kingship 48 n. 37, 97 n. 32, 117, 167
king's jester *see* bediḥa de-malka

King Solomon 7, 18, 29–30, 56 n. 61, 146, 150, 152
Kiriath-arba 75
Kise ha-Kavod *see* Throne/Chariot
Klein, Melanie 134, 153, 160
Knesset Israel *see* Israel, Assembly of, 126, 168
Kohut, Heinz 135, 153, 155, 160
Kosofsky Sedgwick, Eve 104
Kristeva, Julia 44

Lacan, Jacques 45
Lamech 13
Lamentations 75
Lanzkron 119
Leah (Matriarch) 13, 24–25 n. 55, 27, 29, 75, 99 n. 40, 125
Lekhah Dodi 175
Lenowitz, Harris 91
Levi 101
Levites 3, 37, 72 n. 97
  The Song of the 35
Liebes, Yehuda 8, 45, 48, 55, 103, 106, 169
Lilith 43–44, 173, 176
liminal 47, 84, 102, 171, 175
liturgy 33, 37, 39, 50–51, 65, 88–89, 127, 141, 175
  holy liturgy 3
Lord Raglan 9
Lord's jester *see* bediḥa de-malka
Lot 28
  daughters of 6, 16, 21, 28, 120, 162
Luke 2
Luria, Isaac 5, 20 n. 43, 29 n. 61, 40, 59 n. 67, 82, 84, 89, 104, 108, 111, 114, 125, 127, 170
lust 21, 26
Luzatto, Moshe Hayyim 121 n. 100

Maʿarekhet ha-ʾElohut 94, 96, 113–114, 127, 157
macula (defect) 54 n. 54, 168 n. 12
Magen David (Shield of David) 49, 128
Maggid Mesharim (Preacher of Righteousness) 116
magic 49, 120
Magid, Shaul 166
Mahlon 110
Maimonides 113
Malkhut 8 n. 6, 48 n. 37, 56 n. 61, 59 n. 67, 73 n. 98, 78 n. 110, 80 n. 114, 81 n. 116, 97 n. 32, 102 n. 49, 152 n. 38
manipulative behavior & mask 142–143, 155, 158, 160, 172
Mar'ah le-Avraham (Vision of R. Abraham) 129
Marcus, Ivan 169
marriage 29 n. 63, 71, 79 n. 114, 111, 117, 147–148, 174
  holy marriage (hieros gamos) 68
martyrdom 155, 168
martyrology 41
Mary 12, 15, 22, 27, 54 n. 54, 122
  cult of 166
  veneration of 85, 165
Mary Magdalen 153
masculinity viii–ix, 2, 4, 7, 12, 22, 40, 43–48, 54, 59 n. 68, 62, 65–66, 71, 73, 76, 81, 85, 87 n. 9, 91, 95, 97, 101–104, 109, 117, 119, 121, 125, 132, 143, 153, 158–159, 161, 164–166, 172–174
Matt, Daniel 79
Matthew 2
Maẓmiah Qeren Yeshu'ah (Horn of Salvation) 128, 131
  David's horn, horn of unguent 81
Mazo Karras, Ruth 4, 65, 103 n. 52, 148, 153, 165
Meirat ʿEinaim 47, 102
Melave Malka 127
menstruation 15, 20–21, 75, 168, 173
  impurity 57
Merkavah *see* Chariot
Merkavah literature 94
Meroz, Ronit 144
Messiah ix–x, 1, 2, 8, 11, 22, 25, 28–29, 32 n. 71, 38 n. 86, 41, 47–48, 54 n. 54, 56, 58–59 n. 68, 60, 80–82, 91–92, 106–108, 111–112, 122, 125–126, 128, 130–132, 134, 145–146, 148, 158–160, 166–171, 174
  failed 91
  feminized viii, ix, 82, 117, 174,
  mother of viii, 6, 47, 120, 122
  Son of David 2, 56, 92 n. 25, 106 n. 58, 106, 108
  Son of Joseph 2, 32 n. 71, 92 n. 25, 106 n. 58
  suffering messiah 32 n. 71, 166

messianism 27 n. 58, 43, 51, 53, 56, 91, 124–125, 143
neutralization of the messianic idea 170
Metatron 38, 49
metempsychosis 111, 117
Michal (David's wife) 36, 73
Middle Ages 12, 40–41, 48, 65, 74, 76, 120, 123, 153, 165, 167–168, 175
Midrash vii, 2, 5–6 n. 1, 7–8 n. 5, 9, 11, 13–21, 24–30, 34, 55–57, 59 n. 68, 68–70, 93 n. 26, 106, 127, 131, 134, 150, 152, 171, 174
Midrash HaGadol 39
Midrash Shemu'el 171
midwifery 120
mikveh (ritual bath) 176
miraculous birth, wondrous pregnancy 13, 57 n. 62, 174
Miriam 12, 23
Miskhan ha-Edut 47
misogyny 74
Mitchell, Stephen 160
mitkalah (cosmic scales) 62
modernization 124
moon 47, 50–51, 53–56, 94 n. 27, 113, 173
new 48, 54
Mopsik, Charles 45, 63
Moses 9, 11–12, 23, 31 n. 69, 50, 59, 100, 115 n. 84, 158
motherhood 6 n. 1, 11, 12 n. 18, 13, 19 n. 37, 29
Mount Zion 75–76 n. 106, 77 n. 109, 94
multiple self 47, 85, 108, 134, 143, 160, 163
murder 70
myrrh 80
mysticism viii, 43, 49, 70, 81, 83, 89–90, 104, 117, 125, 156, 172–175
experience 159
interpretation 77
language 159
literature 46, 48, 171, 173
mystic 64, 118, 159, 165, 172
mystics 55, 103
texts 82
myth viii–ix, 1–2, 6–12, 24, 27 n. 58, 32, 43, 47, 51, 55, 58–59, 84, 89, 92, 102, 114, 120–122, 125, 131, 134–135, 150, 157, 163, 172–173, 176

Nahash *see* serpent
Nahmanides 48, 84, 89, 108, 111, 113, 115, 159 n. 53
Naomi 27
narcissism 135, 155, 158
Nathan of Gaza 28, 84, 111, 117, 121, 125, 129, 132–133
Nathan (Prophet) 14, 16, 32, 62, 138, 146, 149, 162
Near East 94
nefesh (soul) 109
physical soul 109
Nephilim 29
Neumann, Erich 104, 156
New Age 175–176
new soul 112
New Testament 4, 9, 13, 27, 31, 167
Nidah *see* menstruation
Nitzevet (mother of King David) 11–13, 28
nukva 59 n. 67, 109

Obed 27, 110
ocean 113
Oedipus 79, 174
Ogden, Thomas 92 n. 25, 163
Olam ha-Pirrud (World of Seperation) 101
Olam ha-Yiḥud (World of Unity) 101
Olam ha-Zachar (the masculine world, world of the male) 8 n. 6, 43, 47, 101
On the Left Emanation 89
Orpha phenomenon 139
Orpheus 2, 66
Otherness 28, 44, 92, 163, 167

Pahad (fear, judgment) 86–87. *See* Gevurah
Pappenheim, Bertha 123
Paradise 52
paranoid-schizoid 33, 134, 142, 163
Pardes, Ilana 127
Pardes Rimonim 112
Passover 99 n. 37, 130
Patriarchs 56–57, 75, 77, 83, 85–97, 99–104, 113, 128–130, 136, 140, 146, 157–158
as "gods" and "crowns" 88, n. 14, 157, n. 49
as the three festivals 98, 100
chief of the captains (rosh hashalishim) 88, 129

patriarchy 74, 124, 172–173
pauper's prayer 129 n. 130
Pedaya, Haviva 34, 48, 89, 131
Peninnah 13, 29 n. 63
penis envy 44
penitent *see* ba'al teshuvah
Pereṣ, Abraham 28
Perez 110
performance x, 41–42, 84, 91, 175
Perry, Menachem 69, 74
persecution 32–35, 125, 128
Perush ha-aggadot (Commentary on the Talmudic Aggadoth) 157
phallus 144, 172
Pharoah 24
Philo 73
pilgrimage 77
pious of the pious (hasid she-be-hasidim) 14
Poland 120
polemic 76 n. 106
polygamy 174
Prague 124
pregnancy 12–13, 17 n. 35, 19–20, 47, 57 n. 63, 135 n. 2, 145
Priests 1, 3, 65, 72 n. 97, 98, 103
projection x, 135, 141, 153
prophecy 3, 10 n. 12, 14, 32, 39 n. 88, 65, 75, 118, 123, 138, 149, 162
  female 123–125 n. 118, 152
  mass 123 n. 110, 124
  prophet 103
Prophetic Kabbalah *see* Ecstatic Kabbalah
prostitution viii, 13, 29–30, 75, 121, 124–125, 152, 162
Provence 25, 51, 85
Proverbs 8, 72
providence 81
Psalms x, 1, 3, 6–7, 14–16, 20, 31 n. 69, 30–38, 51, 54, 59–60, 66, 126, 135, 140, 171
psychoanalysis viii–x, 6, 43, 47, 92 n. 25, 108, 121, 134–135, 138, 143–144 n. 23, 153, 156–157, 160, 173,
  theories 134, 170
psychology 6 n. 1, 9, 35, 63–64, 78, 104, 141, 161 n. 56, 58, 165, 174, 176
psychosis 139

Queen Esther 97
queer theory 104

R. Aha 14
R. 'Azariah 13
R. Judah (ha-Nasi), Rabi 13
R. Levi 17
R. Yehuda 7
rabbinic *see* Hazal
rabbinization 4 n. 16
Rachel (Matriarch) 13, 25 n. 55, 27, 29, 125
Rahamim (mercy) 100, 113
rainbow 44
Rank, Otto 9, 156–157
rape 121, 138, 162
Rapoport-Albert, Ada 118, 123–125
Rashbi *see* Bar Yochai, Shimon
Rashi 14 n. 26, 144
Rav 7
Raya Mehemana 59 n. 68, 115 n. 84
Raza de-ma'aseh merkavah/Raza de-malka meshiha 130
Rebekah (Matriarch) 75
Recanati, Menachem 84, 115
Redeemer ix, 2, 5, 12, 35, 51, 106, 108, 112, 117, 122, 128
redemption x, 5, 11–12, 19, 26, 28–29 n. 61, 38 n. 86, 40, 46–47, 51–52, 56, 58, 61, 71–72, 75, 80 n. 114, 81, 84, 95, 102, 104, 109, 115, 121, 125–126 n. 121, 127, 132, 134, 143, 150, 159, 165–167, 169–172, 174, ix
  feminine ix, 46–47, 51, 71, 121–122, 124, 132
  internal 170
  national 169
  personal 48
regalim 98, 99 n. 37
regalim (pilgramage festivals) 98, 100, 129–130
reincarnation viii, x, 77, 108–109, 111 n. 74, 115, 147,
  new soul 108
Reiner, Elchanan 76
Renaissance 69
repair 70
reparation 79, 161

repentance ix, 33, 35, 40, 46, 49, 64–66, 68, 78–79 n. 113, 83, 129, 162–163, 167, 170
  Yoke of Repentance (Ula she Teshuva) 79, 135
repression x, 122, 135, 144 n. 23
  "return of the repressed" 22
resurrection 1–2, 56 n. 61, 91, 109–110, 174
Reuben 23, 101
Reumah 125
Rochus 121
Roi, Biti 46, 59 n. 68
Rome 120
rose 90, 113
Rosh Hashanah (New Year) 99 n. 37
Ruth 6, 16, 18, 21, 27–28, 30, 116–117, 120, 127, 162

Sabba de-Mishpatim 68 n. 88, 115, 143, 146–147, 150, 173
Sabbateanism viii–ix, 5, 20 n. 43, 25 n. 54, 28–29 n. 61, 40, 72, 82, 84, 89, 104, 112, 114–115, 117–134, 148,
Sabbath 55 n. 58, 119, 127–128, 130–131, 159, 175
sacrifice 160
Sages *see* Hazal
salvation *see* redemption
Samael 44 n. 17
Samson 9, 11
Samuel 1, 9, 12, 26, 35, 54, 64, 66, 138
Sarah (Matriarch) 75
Sarah (Sabbatai Ṣevi's wife) 122
Satan 136–138, 143, 146, 149
Saul 36–37 n. 81, 105, 142, 154
Schäfer, Peter 39, 85, 166
Schneider, Michael 89
Scholem, Gershom 7, 49, 83, 94, 118, 123, 169–170
secondary elite 47
Second Temple
  literature 1, 31
secularization 124
seduction 30 n. 67, 120, 127, 152–153, 163, 165
Sefad 5, 104, 108, 123, 175
Sefer ha-gilgulim 107
Sefer haBahir 51
Sefer ha-beriah 130, 132
Sefer ha-emuna ve-habitahon 89
Sefer ha-plia 84, 150
Sefer ha-pliah 97, 150
Sefer ha-Shem 47
Sefer Toldot Adam 99
sefirot 44, 50, 84, 86, 98, 101–103, 111, 113, 150, 159, 166, 175
  feminine 68
serpent 17 n. 33, 22, 24–25 n. 54, 28, 67, 132, 148–150
serpent 22–25, 146
Ṣevi, Sabbatai x, 28–29, 84, 92, 111, 117, 118 n. 90, 121, 123, 125–134
sexuality viii–ix, 11, 5 n. 18, 13, 16 n. 31, 41, 44–45, 54 n. 54, 63, 127, 140, 168, 174,
  boundaries 16, 118, 124
  conquest 172
  denial of 123
  desire 18, 54 n. 54, 138, 153
  deviance 5, 119
  deviant 21
  ethic 117
  exploitation 125
  fantasies 28, 175
  freedom 119, 174
  gratification 13
  illicit relations 117, 162
  imagery 43
  improprieties 25
  liberation 119
  misbehavior 147
  overt 65
  perversion 70, 121, 124
  promiscuity 124
  purity 25, 54 n. 54, 144, 174
  relations 15, 21, 26
  repression 122
  roles 161 n. 58
  taboos 174
  theories 47
  transgression ix
  union 132 n. 141, 159
Shapiro, Judith 104
Shekhinah 45 n. 24, 54 n. 54, 55 n. 58, 59 n. 68, 61 n. 72, 78 n. 110, 86 n. 8, 87 n. 9, 90 n. 18, 112 n. 78, 159 n. 53, *see* Malkhut
shemirat habrit (preserving the covenant of circumcision) 144

Shemini Atzeret 98, 100, 128 n. 127
Shimoff, Sandra 135
Shinan, Avigdor 135
shmitah 73
Shoresh Yishai 84, 113, 115, 117
Simeon 101
sin ix–x, 14, 5 n. 18, 15–16, 18–28, 31–33, 35–36, 40, 46, 51–52, 62, 64–66, 68–84, 91, 103–104, 106–107, 109–111 n. 74, 115 n. 84, 121, 127 n. 123, 132, 135–155, 160, 162, 165, 167–174,
primordial 109
Sisra 99 n. 41
sitra aḥra 80 n. 114, 132 n. 141
snake *see* serpent
Sodom 18
Sofia 72
Solomon 8 n. 6
Spain 85, 103
sparks 107–108
sparks (*nitzozot*) 108 n. 69
spiritualization 119, 170
splitting 33, 62–63, 91, 134–135, 138–139 n. 12, 140–141, 153, 161
stabat mater 12
Sternberg, Meir 69, 74
St. Gregory 4, 148
Stone, Sandy 104
Story of the Cave 58 n. 65
Studies in Hysteria 123
Sufi 123
super ego 146
sun 47, 54, 94 n. 27, 157
Sword of the Ammonites 69 n. 92
symbol viii, x, 1, 2–5, 9, 11, 13, 22, 24, 34, 41, 48, 50–54, 59 n. 68, 64–65, 71–72 n. 97, 73–76, 78 n. 110, 80–81, 84–86 n. 8, 87 n. 9, 90–91, 95, 97 n. 32, 103–104, 112 n. 78, 113, 115, 126, 132, 137–140, 143–144, 150, 159–162, 166–174,
Synagoga 85

Talmud 1, 7–8, 11–13, 17–18, 21–22, 28, 54–58, 83, 88, 91, 102 n. 49, 116, 121, 128, 131, 139, 144, 146, 153, 169, 172–173
Tamar 6, 16, 18, 21, 27–28, 117, 120, 141 n. 13, 162
Tefillin (phylacteries) 73
tehom 171
Temple 33–35, 37–39, 72 n. 97, 76
building of 3, 34–35, 37, 57, 171
rites 33, 63, 98
teshuvah *see* repentance
tetragrammaton 102, 113
the booth of David (*sukkat David*) 95
theodicy 111 n. 74
theophany 90
theosophy 41, 43, 47, 50, 77, 90, 103, 117
theurgy 5, 41–43, 47, 50, 56, 69 n. 92, 70–71, 76, 86 n. 8, 95, 97, 108, 159, 166
negative 79
Throne 83, 94, 100, 113
Tiferet 90 n. 17, 97 n. 32, 33, 115 n. 84, 132 n. 141
tikkun 2, 27, 44, 51, 60, 63, 76, 84, 91, 95, 107, 109–112, 115, 121, 143, 149–150, 163, 172, 174
Tikkun Hatzot 175
Tikkun Leil Shavuot 175
Tikla (wheel of souls) 110
Tikunim *see* Tiqqunei ha-Zohar
Tiqqunei ha-Zohar 59 n. 67, 84 n. 6, 115, 143
Tishby, Isaiah 49
To‘ey Ruah 130
Toledot Yeshu 22
Tomb of David 76–77 n. 109
tragic hero 34, 155
transference 157
transformative trauma 164
transgender 104
theory 134
transgenderization 169
transgression viii, 5, 11, 14, 16, 18–25, 28, 72, 78, 115 n. 84, 117, 120, 125 n. 118, 144, 153, 163, 174
transmigration 108, 110–112, 115–116, 174. *See* reincarnation
transsexualism 104
trauma 121, 138, 159, 162, 164, 169
Tree of Life 66, 96–97 n. 32, 116 n. 88, 131
Trinity 56 n. 61, 104 n. 55
male 95, 166
true self/false self 134, 139
Tu bi-Shvat (New Year of the Trees) 131
Turner, Victor 47

tzadik (the righteous, Yesod) 22–24, 29, 60, 66, 70–71, 77, 80 n. 114, 86 n. 8, 87–88, 90 n. 18, 97–100 n. 43, 105, 113–114, 116 n. 86, 135, 141, 146–147, 152 n. 36, 158, 174
tzelem 105

Ulcinj 130
Ullman, Chana 156, 159–160, 162
unconscious 157, 171
unripe 80 n. 114
Uriah 4, 67–69, 103, 107, 142, 145–148, 150–153
Uriah the Hittite 5 n. 18, 147 n. 25, 148 n. 28, 149

Valler, Shulamit 135
Van der Haven, Alexander 122
vessel 53, 64, 79, 113, 124, 159, 161
Vilon (heavenly curtain) 93
virgin 21 n. 46, 54 n. 54, 121, 123–124, 147, 171 n. 2
  cult of 122
virginity 75
Visotzky, Burton 15
Vital, Haim x, 29 n. 61, 84, 105–111, 123
Vital, Samuel 107
Von Hahn, Johann George 9–10

wandering Jew 59, 92, 166
Warner, Michael 104
Weiss, Judith 144, 146
wellspring 113
Wertheimer, Solomon 39
wilderness 47
wine 97
Winnicott, Donald 134, 160
witch hunts 120
Wolfson, Elliot 45, 48, 94
womb 8 n. 5, 16–17 n. 35, 19, 22, 47, 116
  as a tomb (rehem hu kever) 77
  of God 12, 38
  of the Shekhinah 58
  womb of God 10 n. 14
World to Come 141, 174

Yalkut ha-Makhiri 27–29
Yalkut ha-Reuveni 148, 150
Yavan, Baruch 120
Yehudah 101
Yesod (sefirah of, Righteous) 47, 56 n. 61, 57, 86 n. 8, 87 n. 9, 97–102, *see* also tzadik
yirah (fear) 132
Yishai *see* Jesse
Yom Kippur (Day of Atonement) 121, 151–152

Zakovitch, Yair 32
Zeruiah 24
Zif Desert 154
Zillah 13, 29 n. 63
Zilpah 125
Zion 33, 52, 75, 80–81
Zohar vii–x, 5, 8, 31, 33, 39–48, 50–66, 68–97, 99–117, 124, 129–135, 139, 142–148, 150–153, 158–159, 161, 163, 165–176

Index of Rabbinic and Zoharic Primary Sources

Midrash
- Genesis Rabbah 22:2 25
- Genesis Rabbah 23:2 13
- Genesis Rabbah 47:6; 69:3; 82:6 83, 88, 98
- Genesis Rabbah 85:1 12
- Exodus Rabbah 1:13 24
- Leviticus Rabbah 12:1 21
- Leviticus Rabbah 14:2-3 19
- Leviticus Rabbah 14:5 15, 17, 19
- Leviticus Rabbah 19:5 21
- Leviticus Rabbah 23:12 17
- Leviticus Rabbah 15:5 21
- Leviticus Rabbah 22:10 21
- Numbers Rabbah 4:20 8
- Numbers Rabbah 14:11 30, 56, 92
- Song of Songs Rabbah 1:10 29
- Lamentations Rabbah 5:17 21
- Sifra Meṣora 5:8 21
- Midrash Tehilim 1, 2 31
- Yalkut ha-Makhiri on Psalms 118:28 25–28
- Yalkut ha-Reuveni 1, 72 150

Talmud
- b. Berakhot 4a 57 n63; 135 n2, 141
- b. Berakhot 7b 39 n87
- b. Berakhot 10a 8 n5
- b. Berakhot 16 157 n50
- b. Shabbat 30a–b 128 n127
- b. Shabbat 55b 23 n49
- b. Shabbat 56a 23, 25 n56, 55, 66, 69n90, n92, 141, 145, 147, 149
- b. Shabbat 152b 144
- b. Eruvin 13b 54 n53
- b. Eruvin 96a-b 73 n99
- b. Pesahim 117a 128 n129
- b. Pesahim 117b 31 n69
- b. Rosh haShana 25a 7
- b. Yoma 29a 28 n60
- b. Sukkah 47a–48a 98 n36
- b. Sukkah 52a 54 n54, 56 n62, 92 n25, 152 n36
- b. Sukkah 53a 171 n1
- b. Taanit 20a 172
- b. Megillah 14a 24 n52
- b. Moed Katan 16b 88 n11, 129, 135 n3, 172
- b. Hagigah 14a 1 n4
- b. Yevamot 63b 116 n85
- b. Ketubot 103b n127 128
- b. Nedarim 20a 17 n35, 20 n43
- b. Nedarim 20b 17 n34
- b. Sotah 12a 24 n50
- b. Kidushin 43a 69 n92
- b. Kidushin 70a 70 n94
- b. Baba Metziah 58b 141 n13
- b. Baba Batra 14b 31 n69
- b. Baba Batra 17a 23 n49, 25 n54
- b. Baba Batra 91a 12 n17
- b. Sanhedrin 94a 144
- b. Sanhedrin 96b 30 n64
- b. Sanhedrin 96b 92 n25
- b. Sanhedrin 98b 1 n3, 56 n60, 91
- b. Sanhedrin 104b 7
- b. Sanhedrin 107a 88, 107, 127 n123, 137, 140, 145, 147
- b. Avodah Zarah 5a 79 n113, 135 n3
- b. Chulin 60b 173
- b. Nidah 13b 108
- b. Niddah 31b 20 n44
- b. Niddah 73a 21 n45
- y. Berkahot 2:4 [13d] 1 n3
- y. Berakhot 82,4 56 n60
- y. Sanhendrin 10:5 [29a] n1 171

Zohar
- Zohar 1:5a 131
- Zohar 1:8a–b 142–146
- Zohar 1:11a 58 n65
- Zohar 1:35b 146
- Zohar 1:60b 87 n9
- Zohar 1:82a 66 n87
- Zohar 1:82b 1 n3
- Zohar 1:84a 60

Zohar 1:96a 90 n17
Zohar 1:138b 75
Zohar 1:148a 43 n17, 72, 146
Zohar 1:150a 90 n17
Zohar 1:168a 30 n65
Zohar 1:168b 57, 92, 95 n28, 129 n130
Zohar 1:181a–b 54 n54, 55 n58
Zohar 1:216a–216b 90 n17, 114
Zohar 1:223b–224a 83, 93
Zohar 1:226b 99 n37
Zohar 1:238a 54 n54, 134
Zohar 1:248b 95 n28
Zohar 1:250b 129 n130
Zohar 1:223b–224a 114
Zohar Hadash 26c 158
Zohar 2:23a 90 n17
Zohar 2:24a–b 64
Zohar 2:73a–74a 111
Zohar 2:103b 110
Zohar 2:106a 95
Zohar 2:106b–107a 68
Zohar 2:127b 8
Zohar 2:138b 42, 63
Zohar 2:140a 52, 112
Zohar 2:154b 101
Zohar 2:219b 52
Zohar 2:227a 56
Zohar 2:232b–233a 42, 52, 53, 91, 111
Zohar 3:6a–b 73 n98, 152 n38
Zohar 3:20 23
Zohar 3:20a–23a 52 n51
Zohar 3:21a 85
Zohar 3:21b 52 n50
Zohar 3:37a 73 n98
Zohar 3:74b–76a 152 n38
Zohar 3:79 72 n96
Zohar 3:84a 62
Zohar 3:87a 62 n74
Zohar 3:108b 73 n98
Zohar 3:115a 73 n98
Zohar 3:144b 128 n128
Zohar 3: 166b 59
Zohar 3:195a 129 n130
Zohar 3:213b 90 n17
Zohar 3:233a 168 n12
Zohar 3:268a 44 n18
Zohar 3:279a 30 n65, 57 n62, 92 n25
Zohar 3:288a 58
Zohar 3:301b–302b 158 n52